

Dr. Gulam Patel

Wigan Dental Centre

Inspection Report

44 Whelley Whelley Wigan WN1 3PQ

Tel: 01942 826366

Website: www.wigandentalcentre.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 17 November 2017 Date of publication: 10/01/2018

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 17 November 2017 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Wigan Dental Centre is in Whelley and provides private treatment to adults and children. They also provide dental implants, under sedation where appropriate.

The practice is located in converted business premises. The treatment room is located on the first floor of the premises which is not accessible to people who use wheelchairs. On street parking is available near the practice. The dentist also works at a practice nearby; arrangements can be made to see wheelchair users at that location.

Summary of findings

The dental team includes a dentist, three dental nurses (one of whom is a trainee and one of whom is also the practice manager), and a dental hygienist. The practice has one treatment room.

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

On the day of inspection we collected 47 CQC comment cards filled in by patients. This information gave us a positive view of the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with the dentist, one dental nurse and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday 9.00 to 19.00

Tuesday and Thursday 9.00 to 13.00

Wednesday 9.00 to 18.00

Friday 9.00 to 17.00

Our key findings were:

- The practice was clean and well maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk.
- The practice had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.

- The practice had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice had effective leadership. Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review the current legionella risk assessment and ensure the treatment of dental unit waterlines are appropriate taking account of the guidelines issued by the Department of Health - Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices and The Health and Social Care Act 2008: 'Code of Practice about the prevention and control of infections and related guidance.
- Review the storage of products identified under the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 Regulations to ensure they are kept in appropriately labelled containers.
- Review the availability of an interpreter service for patients who do not speak English as their first language.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Risk assessments were in place for Control of Substances Hazardous to Health substances. We observed that the staff were decanting soap into dispensers labelled for other products.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed essential recruitment checks.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies.

Improvements were needed to the procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice carried out conscious sedation for patients who could benefit. This included people who were very nervous of dental treatment and those who needed complex or lengthy treatment.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.

The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback about the practice from 47 people. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were polite, friendly and caring. They said that they were given helpful, honest explanations about dental treatment, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

No action



No action



No action \checkmark



Summary of findings

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.

Staff considered patients' different needs. This included reasonable adjustments and providing alternative facilities where necessary for disabled patients. The practice did not have access to interpreter services.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written or typed and stored securely.

The practice monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.

No action



No action





Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had policies and procedures to report, investigate, respond and learn from accidents, incidents and significant events. Staff knew about these and understood their role in the process.

The practice recorded, responded to and discussed all incidents to reduce risk and support future learning.

The practice manager told us they had identified that national patient safety and medicines alerts from the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Authority (MHRA) had not been received. A system had been set up to receive these prior to the inspection. We discussed recent relevant alerts and checked the relevant items to confirm they were not affected.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns. The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. These included risk assessments which staff reviewed every year. A sharps risk assessment had been carried out and the dentist used a safer sharps system. The practice followed relevant safety laws when using needles and other sharp dental items. The dentist used rubber dam in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events which could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

Medical emergencies

Staff knew what to do in a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year. Staff involved in the sedation service also received training in immediate life support.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. Staff kept records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order. A second source of oxygen (required for the sedation service) was not available but immediate action was taken to order this on the day of the inspection.

Staff recruitment

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. This reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at the staff recruitment files. These showed the practice followed their recruitment procedure. The practice manager had identified that DBS checks had not been carried out for dental nurses. We saw evidence that these had been applied for and risk assessed prior to the inspection day.

Clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had appropriate professional indemnity cover.

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice's health and safety policies and risk assessments were up to date and reviewed to help manage potential risk. These covered general workplace and specific dental topics. A fire risk assessment was in place and regular checks of the fire detection systems and fire drills were carried out and documented. Risk assessments were in place for Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) substances. We observed that the staff were decanting soap into dispensers labelled for other products. The practice manager told us that they would take action to update the risk assessment process and review the practice's handling of COSHH substances.

The practice had current employer's liability insurance and checked each year that the clinicians' professional indemnity insurance was up to date.

A dental nurse worked with the dentist and the dental hygienist when they treated patients.

Infection control



Are services safe?

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures to keep patients safe. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of Health. Staff completed infection prevention and control training every year.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment staff used for cleaning and sterilising instruments was maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance. Records of manual cleaning water temperatures, changing heavy duty gloves and the long handled scrubbing brush were not kept. The practice manager gave assurance that a system to record these would be implemented.

The practice carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. They had recently introduced a new system to maintain the water quality in the dental unit waterlines. Evidence was not available that this had been implemented correctly in line with the manufacturer's recommendations. We discussed this with the dentist and practice manager to review their processes.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed this was usual.

The staff records we reviewed with the practice manager provided evidence to support the relevant staff had received inoculations against Hepatitis B. It is recommended that people who are likely to come into contact with blood products or are at increased risk of needle-stick injuries should receive these vaccinations to minimise risks of acquiring blood borne infections. One member of staff was a low responder and had received an additional booster but the practice manager was not aware if they had been tested to ensure they had adequate protection. The practice manager told us this would be followed up and risk assessed immediately.

Equipment and medicines

We saw servicing documentation for the equipment used. Staff carried out checks in line with the manufacturers' recommendations.

The practice had suitable systems for prescribing, dispensing and storing medicines.

The practice stored and kept records of prescriptions as described in current guidance.

Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentist justified, graded and reported on the X-rays they took. The practice carried out X-ray audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuous professional development in respect of dental radiography.



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentist assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentist recorded the necessary information.

The practice carried out conscious sedation for patients who could benefit. This included people who were very nervous of dental treatment and those who needed complex or lengthy treatment. The practice had systems to help them do this safely. These were in accordance with guidelines published by the Royal College of Surgeons and Royal College of Anaesthetists in 2015.

The practice's systems included checks before and after treatment, emergency equipment requirements, medicines management, sedation equipment checks, and staff availability and training. They also included patient checks and information such as consent, monitoring during treatment, discharge and post-operative instructions.

The practice assessed patients appropriately for sedation. The dental care records showed that patients having sedation had important checks carried out first. These included a detailed medical history, blood pressure checks and an assessment of health in accordance with current guidelines. The records showed that staff carried out important checks at regular intervals. These included pulse, blood pressure, breathing rates and the oxygen saturation of the blood

Two dental nurses with appropriate additional training supported the dentist treating patients under sedation. The dental nurses' names were recorded in patients' dental care records.

Health promotion & prevention

The practice provided preventative care and support to patients in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit. They displayed oral health education information throughout the practice. Patients' comments confirmed that the dentist and hygienist were very informative and gave them information to improve their oral health.

The dentist told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children as appropriate.

The dentist told us they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

Staffing

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuous professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council and the practice supported them to complete their training by offering in-house training, lunch and learn sessions and online training.

The provider used the skill mix of staff in a variety of clinical roles. For example, a dental hygienist, and dental nurses, to deliver care in the best possible way for patients. One of the dental nurses had enhanced skills training in sedation.

Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals. We saw evidence of completed appraisals.

Working with other services

The dentist confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide. This included referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. The practice monitored urgent referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentist told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Bespoke consent forms were in use which clearly explained risks and benefits. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy did not refer to Gillick competence but the dentist was aware of the need to consider this when treating young

people under 16. Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.



Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion and empathy

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were polite, friendly and caring. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully, appropriately and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Nervous patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Several commented that staff made them feel at ease and this was the first dentist they felt comfortable attending on a regular basis.

The layout of the reception and waiting area did not provide privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients, but staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Staff told us that patients were offered a choice of music in the treatment room and there were magazines, practice information folders and thank you cards in the waiting area for patients to read.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. The dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them, discussed options for treatment with them and gave them the opportunity to ask questions.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice. These included general dentistry and treatments for gum disease and more complex treatment such as dental implants and sedation.

The treatment room had a screen so the dentist could show patients photographs, videos and X-ray images when they discussed treatment options. Staff also used videos to explain treatment options to patients needing more complex treatment.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

The practice appointment system responded to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting. Staff were flexible and accommodated patient requests for early and later appointments where possible.

Staff told us that they currently had some patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment. This included arranging to see them at an accessible practice nearby where appropriate.

Patients were sent text message and email reminders for upcoming appointments. Staff told us that they telephoned some patients on the morning of their appointment to make sure they could get to the practice. Staff also telephoned patients after complex treatment to check on their well-being and recovery.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had made some reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. These included installing a second hand rail on the staircase and providing an adapted pen at the reception for patients with limited dexterity. Wheelchair users were accommodated at an accessible practice nearby where the dentist worked regularly.

Staff said they could provide information in different formats and languages to meet individual patients' needs. They did not have access to interpreter/translation services but staff told us they had not needed this service.

Access to the service

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, their information leaflet and on their website.

We confirmed the practice kept waiting times and cancellations to a minimum.

The practice was committed to seeing patients experiencing pain on the same day and kept appointments free for same day appointments. They took part in an emergency on-call arrangement with some other local practices. The website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint. The practice manager was responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The practice manager told us they aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the last 12 months. These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.



Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The practice was a member of a 'good practice' certification scheme. This is a quality assurance scheme that demonstrates a visible commitment to providing quality dental care to nationally recognised standards.

The principal dentist had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities. A dental clinical governance compliance package was in use to help them to meet the required standards.

The practice had policies, procedures and risk assessments to support the management of the service and to protect patients and staff. These included arrangements to monitor the quality of the service and make improvements.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Staff were aware of the duty of candour requirements to be open, honest and to offer an apology to patients if anything went wrong.

Staff told us there was an open, no blame culture at the practice. They said the principal dentist and practice manager encouraged them to raise any issues and felt confident they could do this. They knew who to raise any issues with and told us the principal dentist and practice manager were approachable, would listen to their concerns and act appropriately. They discussed concerns at staff meetings and it was clear the practice worked as a team and dealt with issues professionally.

The practice held regular informal discussions and meetings where staff could raise any concerns and discuss clinical and non-clinical updates. Immediate discussions were arranged to share urgent information.

Learning and improvement

During the inspection the provider was responsive to feedback and actions were taken quickly to address any concerns, for example, obtaining additional oxygen. The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, X-rays, sedation and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The principal dentist showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff. The dental nurses had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff told us they completed highly recommended training, including medical emergencies and basic life support, each year. The General Dental Council requires clinical staff to complete continuous professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice encouraged patients to complete online reviews, patient surveys and asked for verbal comments to obtain their views about the service. We saw examples of suggestions from patients and staff which the practice had acted on, for example, providing an additional handrail on the stairs, a doorbell to summon staff when the door was locked and the dexterity pen.