

# Royd House Dental Practice Limited Royd House Dental Practice Limited

**Inspection report** 

99 East Street Lindley Huddersfield HD3 3NF Tel: 01484537150

Date of inspection visit: 17/01/2024 Date of publication: 23/02/2024

#### **Overall summary**

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 17 January 2024 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had some systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment and the premises. Improvements should be made to the fire and electrical safety, and incident reporting arrangements.
- 1 Royd House Dental Practice Limited Inspection report 23/02/2024

## Summary of findings

- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.
- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.
- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved, supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The practice had information governance arrangements.

#### Background

Royd House Dental Practice Limited is in Lindley, Huddersfield and provides NHS and private dental care and treatment for adults and children. The practice is a foundation training practice. Newly qualified dentists work in approved practices and are employed as foundation dentists by General Dental Practitioners who are selected and appointed as educational supervisors.

A ramp is provided for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. The practice has a car park, and staff will assist disabled patients as needed to ensure parking spaces are available. The practice has made reasonable adjustments to support patients with access requirements.

The dental team includes 4 dentists (1 of whom is in the foundation dentist), 8 dental nurses (4 of which are trainees), 1 dental hygienist, 2 dental therapists, 1 practice manager and 1 receptionist. The practice has 4 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with 1 dentist, 2 dental nurses, 1 dental therapist, the receptionist and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Thursday 8.50am to 5.30pm

Friday 8.50am to 4.15pm

Saturday once per month 8.50am to 12.30pm

The practice had taken steps to improve environmental sustainability. For example, they had signed up to an environmental and sustainability project and resources to support them to understand and reduce their impact on the environment.

#### There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

## Summary of findings

- Take action to implement any recommendations in the practice's fire safety risk assessment and ensure ongoing fire safety management is effective.
- Take action to ensure the suitability of the premises. In particular, ensuring periodic electrical installation inspections are carried out at the appropriate intervals.
- Implement an effective system for recording, investigating and reviewing accidents, incidents and significant events with a view to preventing further occurrences and ensuring that improvements are made as a result.

## Summary of findings

#### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?	No action	
Are services effective?	No action	$\checkmark$
Are services caring?	No action	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	No action	$\checkmark$
Are services well-led?	No action	

### Are services safe?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. There was a safeguarding lead with additional training to oversee safeguarding awareness and training.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance. The practice had appointed an infection prevention and control lead to oversee and maintain standards.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella, or other bacteria, developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice appeared clean and there was an effective schedule in place to ensure it was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff, including for agency or locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had appropriate professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use, maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations. Electrical installation inspections had not been carried out and the provider was not aware when this was last carried out. The manager confirmed a contractor was scheduled to carry out an assessment of the practice's electrical systems.

The management of fire safety was not effective. A fire safety risk assessment had been carried out in 2022 in line with the legal requirements, but not all the recommendations in the report had been acted on. These included seeking a competent person assessment to advise whether additional fire detection systems, emergency lighting and signage were necessary, and the installation of fire doors. Recommendations to ensure staff received fire safety training had been actioned. There was 1 smoke detector, staff carried out and documented weekly checks of this. There was no evidence that fire drills were carried out to ensure evacuation procedures were effective.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and the required radiation protection information was available.

#### **Risks to patients**

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance. A child-sized oxygen mask was not available, and the aspirin was not dispersible as specified in nationally agreed guidance, these were ordered immediately.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

### Are services safe?

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Patient care records were complete, legible, kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

#### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out. We noted there was no system to identify any fraudulent activity or if any NHS prescriptions were missing. A prescription tracking log was implemented immediately.

#### Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

The practice had systems to review and investigate incidents and accidents. We reviewed a recent sharps safety incident. There was no evidence the practice procedure had been followed to ensure the appropriate action was taken after the incident or whether any learning occurred to prevent reoccurrence.

The practice did not have a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. We signposted them to systems to support this process. After the inspection the practice confirmed this had been implemented.

### Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health. Oral health care products were on sale. Information leaflets were available to patients as recommended by the dentist or upon request.

Staff were aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. They understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

#### Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed patient care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits six-monthly following current guidance.

#### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

#### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentist confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

## Are services caring?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

On the day of inspection, we spoke with 2 patients. These and additional feedback we reviewed provided a positive view of the dental team and care provided by the practice. Patient comments included that staff were welcoming and attentive, and showed compassion and understanding when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

#### **Privacy and dignity**

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

#### Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentist explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options. These included study models, videos, X-ray images and an intra-oral camera.

## Are services responsive to people's needs?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments, including a portable ramp, ground floor surgery and wheelchair accessible bathroom.

Staff had carried out a disability access audit, we discussed additional reasonable adjustments including providing grab rails and an emergency pull cord that could be considered to improve access for patients.

#### Timely access to services

The practice displayed its opening hours and provided information on their website and patient information leaflet.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open.

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered one in a timely manner. When the practice was unable to offer an urgent appointment, they worked with partner organisations to support urgent access for patients. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.

## Are services well-led?

### Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Leadership capacity and capability

The practice demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership with emphasis on peoples' safety and continually striving to improve.

Systems and processes were embedded, and staff worked together in such a way that where the inspection highlighted any issues, they were open to discussion and feedback to understand the issues, and action was taken to address these immediately.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

#### Culture

Staff could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during annual appraisals, 1 to 1 meetings and during clinical supervision. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

#### **Governance and management**

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a governance system which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were processes for managing risks, issues and performance. The inspection highlighted some areas of risk to review. In particular, fire and electrical safety, and incident reporting arrangements.

#### Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of protecting patients' personal information.

#### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and demonstrated a commitment to acting on feedback.

Feedback from staff was obtained through meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on where appropriate.

The practice was also a member of a good practice certification scheme.

#### Continuous improvement and innovation

### Are services well-led?

The practice had systems and processes for learning, quality assurance and continuous improvement. These included audits of patient care records, disability access, radiographs, antimicrobial prescribing, and infection prevention and control. Staff kept records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements. We noted there was no evidence the findings of the most recent infection prevention and control audit had been analysed, we found this was due to a new tool being used which staff were not familiar with. We showed staff how to produce the action plan to support this process in the future.

The practice had signed up to an environmental and sustainability project and resources to support them to understand and reduce their impact on the environment.