

Turning Point Hancox Close

Inspection report

7-8 Hancox Close Weston Under Wetherley Leamington Spa Warwickshire CV33 9GD

Tel: 01926633548 Website: www.turning-point.co.uk Date of inspection visit: 28 February 2020

Good

Date of publication: 16 April 2020

Ratings

Overall rating for this service

Is the service safe?	Good
Is the service effective?	Cood •
	Good •
Is the service caring?	Good 🔍
Is the service responsive?	Good •
Is the service well-led?	Good 🔍

Summary of findings

Overall summary

About the service

Hancox Close is a residential care home, providing personal care and accommodation for up to six people. There were six people living at the home at the time of the inspection. The home was divided into bedrooms, two shared kitchens, lounges, gardens and dining room areas.

The service has been developed and designed in line with the principles and values that underpin Registering the Right Support and other best practice guidance. This ensures that people who use the service can live as full a life as possible and achieve the best possible outcomes. The principles reflect the need for people with learning disabilities and/or autism to live meaningful lives that include control, choice, and independence. People using the service receive planned and co-ordinated person-centred support that is appropriate and inclusive for them.

People's experience of using this service and what we found People felt safe at Hancox Close. Staff understood how to keep people safe and embraced team working to reduce potential risks to people.

People and their relatives were placed at the heart of the service and were involved in choosing their care and support, from pre-admission to living in the home. People were supported to have maximum choice and control of their lives and staff supported them in the least restrictive way possible and in their best interests; the policies and systems in the service supported this practice.

The staff team worked hard to promote people's dignity and prevent people from becoming socially isolated within the home. Respect and dignity were cornerstones of the values upheld by the staff.

People received kind, responsive person-centred care from staff who were well trained, motivated and supported by a registered manager who led the staff team to provide the best care they could. People using the service benefited from a well led service. Partnership working enabled people to maintain their wellbeing.

Rating at last inspection: The last comprehensive inspection report for Hancox Close was published in October 2017 and we gave an overall rating of Good in all areas. At this inspection we found the service continued to be Good and have rated the service as Good in all areas.

For more details, please see the full report which is on the CQC website at www.cqc.org.uk.

Why we inspected

This was a planned inspection based on the rating at the last inspection.

Follow up

2 Hancox Close Inspection report 16 April 2020

We will continue to monitor intelligence we receive about the service until we return to visit as per our reinspection programme. If any concerning information is received, we may inspect sooner.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Is the service safe?	Good •
The service was Safe.	
Details are in our Safe findings below.	
Is the service effective?	Good 🔍
The service was Effective.	
Details are in our Effective findings below.	
Is the service caring?	Good 🔍
The service was Caring.	
Details are in our Caring findings below.	
Is the service responsive?	Good 🔍
The service was Responsive.	
Details are in our Responsive findings below.	
Is the service well-led?	Good •
The service was Well Led.	
Details are in our Well Led findings below.	



Hancox Close

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

The inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection checked whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

Inspection Team

The inspection team consisted of one inspector.

Service and service type

Hancox Close is a care home. People in care homes receive accommodation and personal care. CQC regulates both the premises and the care provided, and both were looked at during this inspection.

The service had a manager registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC). This means that they and the provider are legally responsible for how the service is run and for the quality and safety of the care provided.

Notice of inspection

The inspection took place on 28 February 2020 and was unannounced.

What we did before the inspection

We reviewed information we had received about the service since the last inspection. This included information received from the provider about deaths, accidents and incidents and safeguarding alerts which they are required to send to us by law. We used information the provider sent to us in the Provider Information Return. This is information we require providers to send us at least once a year to give some key information about the service, what the service does well and improvements they plan to make. We requested feedback from the Local Authority quality monitoring officers. We used all this information to plan our inspection.

During our inspection

We spoke with one person living at the home. Some people, due to their complex care needs and disabilities were unable to give us detailed feedback about the home. We spent time with people to see how staff supported them. We also spoke with two care workers and the registered manager.

We reviewed a range of records, including two people's care records and medication records. We also looked at records relating to the management of the service, including audits and systems for managing any complaints. We reviewed the registered manager's records of their visits to the service; and records of when checks were made on the quality of care provided.

Is the service safe?

Our findings

Safe – this means we looked for evidence that people were protected from abuse and avoidable harm.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained Good. People were safe and protected from avoidable harm.

Assessing risk, safety monitoring and management

• People told us, or indicated with gestures, they enjoyed living and Hancox Close and they felt safe there.

• Risks to people's health and wellbeing were assessed and mitigation plans were in place to reduce risks. For example, where people were at risk of seizure, risk management plans described the different types of seizures and how staff should react, including when staff should call for emergency support. One person however did not have a risk assessment and risk management plan in place to track the healing of a lesion to their skin, whilst this was being treated by the district nursing team. The registered manager put a plan in place at our inspection when we raised this with them. It was clear staff knew people well and were managing risks to people.

• Equipment around the home was maintained, and the fire alarm system was fit for purpose.

• People had Personal Emergency Evacuation Plans (PEEPS) which detailed information about the level of support or special evacuation equipment they may require in the event of an emergency.

Learning lessons when things go wrong

- Staff knew how to report and record accidents and incidents. The registered manager was responsible for analysis of accidents and incidents to identify patterns and trends and prevent a reoccurrence. Learning from incidents was shared with the staff team, to drive forward best practice.
- Staff who administered medicines reported any errors they made, and these were investigated, so that further training and learning reduced the risks of future errors.

Staffing and recruitment

- People, relatives and staff told us they felt there were sufficient staff to safely meet people's needs, as staffing levels were based around people's assessed health and care needs. Staffing levels were changed when people's needs changed.
- Throughout our inspection visit we saw people's needs were met in a timely way. Staff were not rushed and had time to spend with people.
- The registered provider undertook background checks of potential staff to assure themselves of the suitability of staff to work at the home. Staff were recruited based on their character and values, the registered manager told us, "We want people who share our passion for turning potential into reality."

Systems and processes to safeguard people from the risk of abuse

• Staff had received training and understood their roles and responsibilities in keeping people safe. Staff told us, they would report any concerns if they suspected abuse and had confidence the registered manager would investigate.

• The registered manager understood their legal responsibilities to protect people and share important information with the local authority and CQC. Notifications about specific events had been sent as required.

Preventing and controlling infection

- The service was very well presented, clean and tidy throughout and there were no odours.
- Staff had received training in infection control and worked in line with NHS England's Standard Infection control precautions and national hand hygiene protocols.
- Staff understood the importance of using gloves and aprons to reduce risks of cross contamination.

Using medicines safely

- The provider was following safe protocols for the receipt, storage, administration and disposal of medicines.
- Staff were trained in medicine administration and their competencies assessed to ensure they worked in line with the provider's policies and procedures.
- Medicine Administration Records (MAR) were completed as required and people had their prescribed medicines available to them when they needed them.

Is the service effective?

Our findings

Effective – this means we looked for evidence that people's care, treatment and support achieved good outcomes and promoted a good quality of life, based on best available evidence.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question continues to be rated as Good. This meant people's outcomes were consistently good, and feedback confirmed this.

Staff support: induction, training, skills and experience

- People and relatives felt staff had the skills they needed to effectively support them. We saw staff were using their training to protect people from the risks of choking and poor nutrition. One staff member told us, "Staff are all provided with person centred training, based around the needs and health conditions of people who we support."
- Staff told us they received an induction when they started work which included working alongside an experienced member of staff. The provider's induction procedures and ongoing training provided staff with the skills and competencies to carry out their role effectively.
- Staff were supported through one to one and team meetings. All staff told us they felt supported by the management team.
- Assessing people's needs and choices; delivering care in line with standards, guidance and the law
 Prior to people moving into the service, the registered manager undertook a comprehensive needs assessment. This was done in consultation with people, advocates and family members. The assessment was used to determine if the service could meet the person's needs and to inform their care plan.
- Protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010 were considered. For example, people were asked about any religious or cultural needs so these could be met. The provider had policies in place to ensure they protected people's, and staff's rights, regarding equality and diversity.

Supporting people to eat and drink enough to maintain a balanced diet

- People chose what they ate and drank. People were offered a range of visual choices at mealtimes, to ensure food met their support needs and preferences. Staff supported them to prepare what they liked.
- People's dietary preferences were met and respected by staff. For example, where people required a soft diet, pureed diet, or were vegetarian, different food options were available.
- People were referred to healthcare professionals when dietary guidance was needed.

Adapting service, design, decoration to meet people's needs

- Areas of the home were designed to support people with their specific needs. People had individually decorated bedroom doors with photos or objects important to them to help them decorate their personal space.
- The home provided people with a secure and safe outside garden area and patio area.

Staff working with other agencies to provide consistent, effective, timely care;

Supporting people to live healthier lives, access healthcare services and support

• Staff communicated effectively with each other. There were systems in place, such as daily care records,

handover meetings, staff briefings, and a communication book to share information amongst staff. This meant that staff knew when changes occurred that might affect people's support needs.

- Staff considered people's feelings, and regularly checked if people were okay. For example, we saw staff checked if people felt well, or needed help with their daily tasks or plans.
- People had access to health professionals. People saw their doctor, dentist and other health professionals when needed to maintain their health. Where advice was provided from health professionals, care records were updated, and the advice was discussed with people and their representatives to ensure they understood how this might impact on their health.

Ensuring consent to care and treatment in line with law and guidance

The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for making particular decisions on behalf of people who may lack the mental capacity to do so themselves. The Act requires that, as far as possible, people make their own decisions and are helped to do so when needed. When they lack mental capacity to take some decisions, any made on their behalf must be in their best interests and as least restrictive as possible.

People can only be deprived of their liberty to receive care and treatment when this is in their best interests and legally authorised under the MCA. In care homes, and some hospitals, this is usually through MCA application procedures called the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS).

We checked whether the service was working within the principles of the MCA, and whether any conditions on authorisations to deprive a person of their liberty had the appropriate legal authority and were being met.

• The management team and staff were working within the Act.

- Where people had restrictions placed on their care, appropriate DoLS applications were made to the local authority.
- Care staff understood the importance of gaining people's consent and explaining what was happening. For example, before supporting them with personal care.

• People's capacity to make decisions had been assessed and 'best interests' decisions had been made with the involvement of relatives, staff and health care professionals.

Is the service caring?

Our findings

Caring – this means we looked for evidence that the service involved people and treated them with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question continued to be rated as Good. This meant people were supported and treated with dignity and respect; and involved as partners in their care.

Ensuring people are well treated and supported; respecting equality and diversity

- People described the care provided as being 'very good'. One person indicated to us, they enjoyed living at the home with nods and gestures.
- Staff communicated with people in a warm and friendly manner. People's responses, body language and actions indicated they were well treated and enjoyed the company of staff.
- The provider and staff respected people's equality and diversity, and protected people against discrimination. Staff were recruited based on their values and abilities.

People and staff were treated equally according to the guidance on protected characteristics.

- Staff knew about people's cultural and diverse needs and how this may affect how they required their care. For example, respecting people's spiritual needs or choices and the gender of the staff member providing their personal care.
- People were supported to live their lives in the way they chose. Staff supported people to make new relationships with people through social events. People were supported to maintain important relationships with each other.
- People had communication plans in place, which instructed staff on how each person communicated and the best ways to involve people in decision making. This meant people were involved, as much as possible, in making decisions about their care and treatment.
- People had regular reviews to discuss their health and support needs with their representatives, to make decisions about how their care should continue to be delivered.

Respecting and promoting people's privacy, dignity and independence

- Care staff respected people's individual privacy in the home by knocking on doors before entering their room, and by providing people with space to be alone when they needed it.
- Friends and families could visit people when they wished, and people regularly stayed with their family on home visits.
- One person showed us how they maintained their independence, making drinks in the kitchen when they wished for themselves and visitors. Another person assisted staff with the unpacking of shopping.
- The service complied with data protection law. The information we saw about people was either kept in lockable cabinets in locked offices or on password protected computers. This meant people's private information was kept securely.

Is the service responsive?

Our findings

Responsive – this means we looked for evidence that the service met people's needs.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained Good. This meant people's needs were met through good organisation and delivery.

Planning personalised care to ensure people have choice and control and to meet their needs and preferences

• Each person had care plans and records to show their health and support needs. Care plans covered topics such as people's physical and health needs, their life history, activity engagement, hobbies, daily routines, preferences and risk assessments.

• Care records were written with the person, their family members and professionals. Care records were relevant and based around each person's individual needs and staff knew how to support them in the best way possible.

Supporting people to develop and maintain relationships to avoid social isolation; support to follow interests and to take part in activities that are socially and culturally relevant to them

- Activities took place with individuals, based on their personal preferences, each day. Staff consistently looked for opportunities to engage with people during our inspection visit.
- People chose whether they went out each day, spent time alone, or spent time with staff in the communal areas of the home and the gardens which provided benches, raised planting beds and shaded areas.
- When people engaged in activities and hobbies they enjoyed, staff kept records of these to base future activities on, and to help reminisce about things people had enjoyed through images and pictures. We saw some events people had enjoyed included seasonal events and celebrations.

Meeting people's communication needs

Since 2016 onwards all organisations that provide publicly funded adult social care are legally required to follow the Accessible Information Standard (AIS). The standard was introduced to make sure people are given information in a way they can understand. The standard applies to all people with a disability, impairment or sensory loss and in some circumstances to their carer.

• Staff demonstrated they knew people well and what support each person required to make decisions about their everyday lives. Where people had specific disabilities that affected their communication, the provider used a range of techniques to communicate with people such as large print, sign language and pictures.

Improving care quality in response to complaints or concerns

- People told us they knew how to raise concerns or complaints with staff and the management team if they needed to.
- The provider had a complaints policy and procedure that staff were aware of and these had been provided to people in an easy read format and large print. The easy read and different format information informed people how to keep themselves safe and how to report any issues of concern or raise a complaint.

• The service had a complaints log where all complaints were recorded. However, there had been no recent complaints at the service.

Is the service well-led?

Our findings

Well-Led – this means we looked for evidence that service leadership, management and governance assured high-quality, person-centred care; supported learning and innovation; and promoted an open, fair culture.

At the last inspection this key question was rated as Good. At this inspection this key question has remained Good. This meant the service was consistently managed and well-led. Leaders and the culture they created promoted high-quality, person-centred care.

Promoting a positive culture that is person-centred, open, inclusive and empowering, which achieves good outcomes for people. How the provider understands and acts on the duty of candour, which is their legal responsibility to be open and honest with people when something goes wrong.

- The systems in place focused on the individuals using the service and sought to meet their needs and provide them with good quality care.
- People and staff told us the managers were always available, had an 'open door' policy, and were approachable.

Engaging and involving people using the service, the public and staff, fully considering their equality characteristics

- The provider ensured staff, people and their relatives could attend regular meetings and events at the home to share their feedback about the service with managers.
- Regular quality assurance surveys were conducted with people and their relatives, so that feedback could be gathered and acted upon.
- We saw people's feedback helped to develop the service, for example, people were able to design their personal space, and designated areas around the home that suited their individual needs.

Managers and staff being clear about their roles, and understanding quality performance, risks and regulatory requirements

- The service was led by a registered manager and deputy manager, who with the provider's support strived to deliver the best person-centred care possible in accordance with the regulations.
- The staff team understood their roles and responsibilities toward people living in the home and embraced further learning and developmental opportunities, so people received the best care and support possible.
- The management team ensured staffing practices met their expectations by working alongside them, where they demonstrated best practices.
- The registered manager understood their regulatory responsibilities. For example, they ensured that the rating from the last Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspection was prominently displayed and, there were systems in place to notify CQC of incidents at the home.

Continuous learning and improving care

• The provider had systems and processes to monitor the quality of the services provided which the registered manager implemented. The registered manager undertook audits and looked for continuous ways where improvements could be made. Audits included checks on medicines, infection control and

health and safety.

• All actions from audits were added to an action plan the registered manager and provider oversaw. The audits and action plan helped the provider to monitor and improve care for the people using the service. The registered manager told us of an improvement initiative saying, "We are in the process of updating the format and detail of care records, updating all support plans and archiving older paperwork, this should be completed by April 2020."

• The provider facilitated 'registered manager' and management team meetings which ensured opportunities were offered to managers to share their practices and learn from one another.

• The registered manager joined registered manager networks to share best practice and attended conferences and discussion forums. They cascaded their learning to the management team through regular meetings and updates, that kept managers updated with changes within the care sector.

Working in partnership with others

• The service had links with external services, such as government links to renewed best practice guidance, charities, commissioners of services, nurses and health professionals. These partnerships demonstrated the provider sought best practice to ensure people received good quality care and support.

• The registered manager actively sought opportunities to work with other bodies to increase people's enjoyment in life. For example, local church and community centres and charities to increase people's opportunities for social interaction.