

Mr. Philip Caswell

Chase Dental Practice

Inspection Report

Chase Dental Practice
11a Wolverhampton Road
Cannock
WS11 1AP
Tel: 01543503130
Website: www.chasedentalpractice.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 20 June 2018
Date of publication: 27/07/2018

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	No action	✓
Are services safe?	No action	✓
Are services effective?	No action	✓
Are services caring?	No action	✓
Are services responsive?	No action	✓
Are services well-led?	No action	✓

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 20 June 2018 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Summary of findings

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Chase Dental Practice is in Cannock and provides NHS and private treatment to adults and children.

There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including for blue badge holders, are available near the practice.

The dental team includes one dentist, two dental nurses, one dental hygienist and a receptionist who also works as the practice manager. The practice has two treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

On the day of inspection we collected 31 CQC comment cards filled in by patients and spoke with three other patients.

During the inspection we spoke with the principal dentist, two dental nurses and the receptionist who also works as the practice manager. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open: Monday to Friday from 9am to 12.45pm and 2pm to 5pm.

Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The practice staff had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were not all available, but these were purchased immediately following this inspection.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk. Risk assessments were reviewed and updated on a regular basis.
- The practice staff had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children. Staff had completed safeguarding training.
- The practice had thorough staff recruitment procedures. The practice had a stable staff group with staff having worked at the practice for over 17 years.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice staff had suitable information governance arrangements.

There was an area where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the practice's responsibilities to take into account the needs of patients with disabilities and to comply with the requirements of the Equality Act 2010.
- Review the availability of equipment in the practice to manage medical emergencies taking into account the guidelines issued by the Resuscitation Council (UK) and the General Dental Council.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice had procedures in place in order to complete essential recruitment checks.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies. Some items of equipment were missing from the emergency medical kit, these were purchased immediately following this inspection.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as professional, efficient and gentle. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.

The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.

No action



Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback about the practice from 34 people. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were caring, kind and professional.

They said that they were given detailed, helpful, explanations about dental treatment, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

No action



Summary of findings

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.

Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for disabled patients and families with children. The practice had access to telephone and face to face interpreter services and had arrangements to help patients with sight or hearing loss.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and had systems in place to respond to concerns and complaints quickly. The practice had not received any complaints within the last few years.

No action



Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written or typed and stored securely.

The practice monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.

No action





Are services safe?

Our findings

Safety systems and processes (including staff recruitment, Equipment & premises and Radiography (X-rays))

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

There was a system to highlight vulnerable patients on records e.g. children with child protection plans, adults where there were safeguarding concerns, people with a learning disability or a mental health condition, or who require other support such as with mobility or communication.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination. Staff said that they worked well as a team and were encouraged to speak out if they had concerns.

The dentists used rubber dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where the rubber dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, this was suitably documented in the dental care record and a risk assessment completed.

The practice had a business continuity plan although this was not sufficiently detailed and did not describe how the practice would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice. We were told that the practice manager had all the emergency contact numbers on their mobile phone and these were also available at the reception desk. We were told that the business continuity plan would be updated to include more guidance for staff and the emergency contact phone numbers. Following this inspection, we received a copy of an updated business continuity plan which contained all relevant information.

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We discussed staff recruitment with the practice manager but did not look in detail at staff recruitment records as all staff had been employed at the practice for a minimum of 17 years. As such the procedures pertaining to the recruitment process and collation of personnel documentation had changed significantly since these staff were employed.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances. We saw that portable electrical appliances were being checked regularly and we were shown a copy of the gas safety certificate. We saw that the last electrical five-year fixed wire safety certificate was dated August 2011. Following this inspection, we were told that an electrician had been booked to undertake a fixed wiring text.

Records showed that fire detection and firefighting equipment such as smoke detectors and fire extinguishers were regularly tested. The practice did not have any emergency lighting and no alternative methods in case of a power cut were available. We were told that a large high-powered torch would be purchased as an alternative to emergency lighting. Following this inspection, we were sent photographic evidence that a torch had been purchased and was available for use.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took but these were not always justified in patient dental records. The practice carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.



Are services safe?

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were up to date and reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. We asked for but were not provided with a copy of a lone worker risk assessment. We were told that this would be completed immediately. Following this inspection, we were sent a copy of a lone worker policy and risk assessment. The practice had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had not been undertaken; we were told that it was only the dentist who handled sharps. The dentist confirmed that they would complete a sharps risk assessment immediately. The practice were using safer sharps. Following this inspection, we were sent a copy of a completed sharps risk assessment.

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year.

Not all emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. For example, the oxygen cylinder was a small size and would not give a flow rate of 15 litres per minute for 30 minutes. There was no spacer device and no oxygen face mask with reservoir and tubing for a child. We were told that these would be purchased immediately. Following this inspection, we received confirmation that the spacer device and oxygen face mask with reservoir and tubing had been purchased. We were told that the practice were sourcing an appropriate sized oxygen cylinder and would purchase this.

Staff kept monthly records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order. This is not at the frequency suggested in the Resuscitation Council Guidelines. Staff were checking the automated external defibrillator daily. Following this inspection, we were sent a daily oxygen cylinder check sheet.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists and the dental hygienist when they treated patients in line with GDC Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Infection prevention and control policies were reviewed on an annual basis. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments were validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

The practice had in place systems and protocols to ensure that any dental laboratory work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before the dental laboratory work was fitted in a patient's mouth.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. The risk assessment was completed in September 2015 and reviewed in November 2017. All recommendations had been actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed that this was usual.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance. We saw that a clinical waste acceptance audit had been carried out in April 2018.

The practice carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit was completed in January 2018. There was no report or action plan following this audit and no learning outcomes were recorded. Following this inspection, we were sent a copy of an audit which was completed on 20 June 2018 with a resulting action plan. The practice achieved a score of 100%.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment



Are services safe?

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were accurate, complete, and legible and were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) protection requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

The practice stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

Track record on safety

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. We looked at the practice's accident book and separate accident report forms. The last accident recorded was October 2015. This accident would fit the criteria for recording as a safety incident. We saw a copy of a notification sent to the Health and Safety Executive regarding this incident. Documentation recorded the changes to be made to prevent such occurrences happening again in the future.

Lessons learned and improvements

The staff were aware of the Serious Incident Framework. Systems were in place to record, respond to and discuss all incidents to reduce risk and support future learning in line with the framework. The principal dentist was a member of the local dental committee and confirmed that patient safety incidents were a topic for discussion at a recent meeting.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep the dental practitioner up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that the principal dentist assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The dentists told us that where applicable they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

The practice was aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes available in supporting patients to live healthier lives. For example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The dentist described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcome of periodontal treatment. This involved preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and detailed charts of the patient's gum condition

Patients with more severe gum disease were referred to the hygienist to review their compliance and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment

options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age can consent for themselves. The staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff had worked at the practice for a minimum of 17 years. We were shown induction documentation which would be completed by any newly employed staff. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals, personal development plans were also available for each member of staff. We saw evidence of completed appraisals and how the practice addressed the training requirements of staff.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.



Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

The practice also had systems and processes for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

The practice monitored all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly. The practice was using an online referral system which enabled them to check the status of any NHS referral they had made.



Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were friendly, caring and professional. We saw that staff treated patients with dignity and respect and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Patients who were anxious about visiting the dentist said that staff made them feel at ease.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Information folders, patient survey results and thank you cards were available for patients to read.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the requirements under the Equality Act:

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, for example, staff used a white board to write messages to patients who were hearing impaired. Information could be made available in large print.

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice. The practice produced a private fee guide which detailed costs for private treatment.

The dentist described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example photographs, models, X-ray images and giving patients written information. Reception staff also asked the patient if they understood the information given to them and whether they had any further questions.



Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear on the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care. We were told that patients who experienced dental phobia were given longer appointment times. Patients from nearby sheltered accommodation visited the practice with a family member or carer.

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

Staff told us that they currently had no patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment.

The reception and waiting area for this practice were located on the ground floor, the patient toilet was located up one flight of stairs and the two treatment rooms up another flight of stairs. Staff told us that if patients required assistance getting up the stairs they offered support. The practice did not have a hearing loop, a magnifying glass or accessible toilet with hand rails and a call bell. The practice leaflet informed patients that they do not have any ground floor treatment rooms but could be referred to hospital based services if required. We were told that where patients were hearing impaired a whiteboard was used to write messages, sign language interpreter services were also available.

Staff told us that patients could receive a letter or phone call to remind them of their appointment. They telephoned some older patients on the morning of their appointment to make sure they could get to the practice. Patients who had a lengthy treatment or difficult extraction were given a follow up call after their treatment.

Timely access to services

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, and included it in their practice information leaflet and on their website.

The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day. Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

They took part in an emergency on-call arrangement with a local dental practice and the NHS 111 out of hour's service.

The practice information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. The practice website directed patients to call the practice for advice. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. This could be made available in large print for visually impaired patients. Other information available to patients included NHS constitution leaflets regarding how to make a complaint and leaflets from the Patient Advice and Liaison Service (PALS). The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The practice manager was responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The practice manager told us they aimed to settle complaints in-house and would invite patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns. For example, contact details were available for the General Dental Council and the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman.

The practice had not received any verbal or written complaints since 2010.



Are services well-led?

Our findings

Leadership capacity and capability

The principal dentist had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

The principal dentist had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

Vision and strategy

There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

The practice focused on the needs of patients. Staff said that patients were their main priority and they always tried to accommodate patient's wishes and meet their needs.

Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.

The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.

Governance and management

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The principal dentist had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Staff knew the management

arrangements and their roles and responsibilities. The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis. The practice manager told us that all staff were involved in the annual review and update of policies and procedures.

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information. Staff had completed information governance and general data protection regulations training.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

The practice used patient surveys and verbal comments to obtain patients' views about the service. We saw a patient feedback folder which contained thank you cards and completed patient surveys. Positive comments were recorded.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used. The NHS Choices website records that 100% of patients who responded would recommend this practice (15 responses).

The practice gathered feedback from staff through meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on. Daily informal meetings were held as well as regular formal practice meetings.



Are services well-led?

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation. The principal dentist was a member of the local dental committee (LDC) and the LDC practitioner led advice and support scheme.

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs and infection prevention and control. We also saw that audits were completed regarding prescriptions, patient waiting times and smoking. The infection prevention and control audit had not been reported on or had a resulting action plan. Following this inspection, we were sent a copy of an infection prevention and control audit that had been completed on the day of inspection with a copy of the action plan.

The principal dentist showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff.

The whole staff team had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of appraisals completed in May 2017 in the staff folders.

Staff told us they completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually.

The General Dental Council also requires clinical staff to complete continuing professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.