

Colwall Surgery

Inspection report

Stone Drive
Colwall
Malvern
Worcestershire
WR13 6QJ
Tel: 01684540323
www.colwallsurgery.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 26 June 2018
Date of publication: 31/07/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this location

Good 

Are services safe?

Good 

Are services effective?

Good 

Are services caring?

Good 

Are services responsive?

Good 

Are services well-led?

Good 

Overall summary

This practice is rated as Good overall.

The key questions at this inspection are rated as:

Are services safe? – Good

Are services effective? – Good

Are services caring? – Good

Are services responsive? – Good

Are services well-led? – Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Colwall Surgery on 26 June 2018. This inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

At this inspection we found:

- The new provider had thoroughly reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines and best practice.
- There was an open and transparent approach to safety and a system in place for recording, reporting and learning from significant events. The practice had clear

systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.

- There was clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse and for identifying and mitigating risks of health and safety.
- There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support effective governance.
- The practice worked proactively with other organisations to ensure patients had access to a range of services to support their health and wellbeing.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- The practice responded to complaints in a timely and open manner.
- The practice had an established and engaged Patient Participation Group (PPG) who were integral to the development of the practice.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.

Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP

Chief Inspector of General Practice

Please refer to the detailed report and the evidence tables for further information

Population group ratings

Older people	Good 
People with long-term conditions	Good 
Families, children and young people	Good 
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Good 
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Good 
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Good 

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser.

Background to Colwall Surgery

Colwall Surgery operates from a purpose built building providing services for patients living in Colwall and surrounding villages including parts of Malvern and Ledbury. Services are provided under a General Medical Services (GMS) contract with NHS England for delivering primary care services to local communities.

The provider is registered with CQC to deliver the following regulated activities; diagnostic and screening procedures, maternity and midwifery services, treatment of disease, disorder or injury and screening and surgical procedures.

At the time of the inspection the practice served a population of 3,150 patients. The practice provides primary medical care to people living in care homes in the catchment area. The area has a high elderly population and most patients speak English as their first language. The practice population is the eight least deprived decile in England. Level one represents the highest levels of deprivation and level 10 the lowest.

The provider is a single handed female GP who registered with CQC in July 2017. The practice employs a number of long term locum GPs (male), a locum nurse, a healthcare assistant and a number of administration staff. At the time of inspection the practice had recently

appointed two practice nurses. The practice is supported by a practice manager, who is also a part time practice nurse. Pharmacy support is also available from the clinical commissioning group (CCG).

The practice has three ground floor consultation rooms and two treatment rooms. There is suitable access to the building for patients with a disability or those patients who use a wheelchair. A car park with disabled parking for patients is available.

The practice treats patients of all ages and provides a range of medical services. This includes disease management such as asthma, diabetes and lung diseases. Other appointments are available for health checks, childhood vaccinations and screening.

Home visits are available for patients who are housebound or too ill to attend the practice for appointments. There is also an online service which allows patients to order repeat prescriptions book appointments and to view medical records.

The practice is a training practice for trainee GPs (qualified doctors who are training to become GPs).

Opening hours are from 8am to 6pm on Monday to Friday each week with appointments between these times. The practice does not provide an out-of-hours service to their own patients. When the practice is closed patients are directed to contact Primecare via NHS 111.

The provider registered with CQC in July 2017. Unless stated, results used throughout the report relate to the previous provider.

The practice website can be viewed at:
Colwallsurgery.co.uk

Are services safe?

We rated the practice as good for providing safe services.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Learning from safeguarding incidents were available to staff. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out appropriate staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis, this included locum staff.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control and an up to date audit was in place.
- The practice had systems and processes to ensure that facilities and equipment were safe, in good working order and maintained regularly.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics. Due to the difficulties faced with recruiting a permanent GP, the provider had reviewed their workforce and some staff in the practice had dual roles. The practice had secured four long term locums and a receptionist apprentice. The practice manager was a part time nurse, in addition to weekly support from a clinical pharmacist from the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG).

- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis. Staff had access to written guidance on the practice computer system and information was available to staff in the reception area.
- When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to staff. There was a documented approach to managing test results.
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, minimised risks.
- Staff prescribed and administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had undertaken an audit of its antibiotic prescribing and had reaudited the process and had taken action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance by reducing the number of antibiotics prescribed.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up on appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines.

Track record on safety

The practice had a good track record on safety.

Are services safe?

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a range of sources.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.

Are services effective?

We rated the practice and all of the population groups as good for providing effective services .

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that the new provider had re-assessed patient needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. The practice used an appropriate tool to identify patients aged 65 and over who were living with moderate or severe frailty. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Practice staff worked with a diabetes specialist nurse who provided visits to patients with complex diabetes.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training and educational updates.

- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- Adults with newly diagnosed cardiovascular disease were offered statins (medicines prescribed to help lower cholesterol levels) for secondary prevention. People with suspected hypertension were offered ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and patients with atrial fibrillation were assessed for stroke risk and treated as appropriate.
- The practice was able to demonstrate how it identified patients with commonly undiagnosed conditions, for example diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), atrial fibrillation and hypertension).

Families, children and young people:

- Childhood immunisation uptake rates were carried out in line with the national childhood vaccination programme. Uptake rates for vaccines given were in line with the target percentage of 90% or above in three out of four target areas.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.
- The practice provided access to a midwife to help monitor pregnant women and worked alongside other staff in addressing any physical and mental health needs.
- The practice provided family planning services, including coil fitting.
- Receptionists were aware of 'red flag' sepsis symptoms that might be reported by patients and knew how to respond.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 78% which was comparable with other practices nationally but was below the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme. The practice had systems in place to follow up patients that did not attend screening appointments. The practice showed us evidence of unpublished data to demonstrate that 96% of women eligible had cervical screening in the last five years.
- The practice's uptake for breast and bowel cancer screening were in line with the national average.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have appropriate vaccinations.

Are services effective?

- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability and substance misuse patients. In addition, the practice had an alert board in reception for those patients critically ill and at the end of life.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practice offered annual health checks to patients with a learning disability.
- The practice's performance on quality indicators for mental health was above or in line with local and national averages. For example, 100% of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months. In addition the practice exception reporting rate was 5% which was lower than local and national averages.

(Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients decline or do not respond to invitations to attend a review of their condition or when a medicine is not appropriate.)

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. For example, the practice audited and actioned the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) about the risks associated with a medicine used for epilepsy in pregnancy.

- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements. The practice had undertaken a comprehensive matrix and action plan linked to the five key CQC questions and six population groups. The matrix provided the action taken in response to these areas. Examples included how the practice responds with safeguarding concerns and medicines management.
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

- Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people requiring contraceptive reviews.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop.
- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. There was an induction programme for new staff. This included one to one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and revalidation.

Are services effective?

- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when discussing care delivery for people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home residents. They shared information with, and liaised, with community services, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who have relocated into the local area.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.

Are services caring?

We rated the practice as good for caring.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treat people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The practice's GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to kindness, respect and compassion. Patients expressed positive levels of satisfaction in relation to their last experience of nurse consultations compared with local and national averages.
- Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)
- Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy

services. The practice had proactively identified 76 carers (2% of the patient list). For example the practice is part of the Herefordshire Carers Charter and had a carers champion in place who acted as a link between carers and the GP to provide a range of information in relation to advice and support to carers. A carers toolkit was in place and all carers could be issued with a card to identify their role in the event of an emergency.

- The practice's GP patient survey results were in line with local and national averages for questions relating to involvement in decisions about care and treatment.
- The practice sent out condolence cards to bereaved families of patients at the time and on their one year anniversary as a way to support them through a difficult time.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services .

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- Telephone consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who are more vulnerable or who have complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.
- The practice held monthly meetings involving the whole multi-disciplinary team (MDT) including GPs, practice nurse, district nurse, clinical pharmacist, palliative care nurse, physiotherapist, clinical psychiatric nurse (CPN), social workers and health visitors.
- The practice provided private clinics with a osteopath, chiroprapist and physiotherapist.

Older people:

- All patients over the age of 75 had a named GP.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs. The practice also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice.
- The practice worked with community service and the patient participation group (PPG) to promote monthly lunch clubs to help reduce social isolation and promote wellbeing of their patients.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- The practice held a weekly anticoagulant (blood) clinic and a nurse led ulcer clinic.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- The GP provided a weekly clinic at two neighbouring schools and attended regular safeguarding meetings.
- Baby changing facilities and breast feeding was welcomed in the practice.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, access to online appointments and a fast prescription turnaround.
- The practice provided a telephone triage service offering advice and support to benefit patients without the need to take time off work.
- Vascular health checks were offered to patients 40-74 years.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including those with a learning disability and substance misuse. This included a staff board of patients who are critically ill or end of life treatment.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

- Home visits were made to those patients unable to attend the practice.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice held monthly mental health clinics which included memory loss and dementia screening. Patients who failed to attend were proactively followed up by a phone call from a GP.

Timely access to care and treatment

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Some patients reported delays in being seen or making an appointment, however most patients reported that this was improving and managed appropriately.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- The practice worked in conjunction with the patient participation group (PPG) on access and introduced

additional telephone lines. A telephone triage was also available and the practice was reviewing their workforce to improve patient access to care and treatment. For example the practice had weekly access to a clinical pharmacist to deal with minor ailments to enable the GPs to deal with complex cases. Care navigation had also been introduced at the practice so patients were encouraged to share reasons for their call so that they could be directed to the most appropriate service without the need to wait to see a GP.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.

Are services well-led?

We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues, challenges and priorities relating to the quality and future of services and had worked to address these since the new provider registered with the CQC in July 2017.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities. The practice developed its vision, values and strategy jointly with patients, staff and external partners.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social care priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice and felt the transition to the new provider had been positive.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values of the practice.

- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff and patients.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.
- Communication was effective at the practice and organised through structured minuted multidisciplinary team meetings, patient participation group meetings, practice meetings and an open door policy used by the GPs and practice manager.
- Patient received a monthly newsletter with updates on practice news, health promotion and links to community activities.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and responsibilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control

Are services well-led?

- Practice leaders had established policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended. We saw that policies and procedures were regularly reviewed and available to staff.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Practice leaders had oversight of safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality and follow best practice guidance.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.
- The practice considered and understood the impact on the quality of care of service changes or developments.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability and recent changes made by the new provider were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account. Staff were allocated specific roles to ensure quality was maintained.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.

- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture.
- There was a well-established, active and engaged PPG. This group was involved in the management of the practice and felt respected and valued by the practice staff.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance. Issues and changes were discussed regularly with the PPG.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were evidence of systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a renewed focus on continuous learning and improvement. For example, the practice completed healthchecks for any patients with a body mass index (BMI) of 35 and over.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- The practice benchmarked their performance against other similar practices and used the knowledge of their peers to improve services where possible.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.