

Dr. Mahsa Shoaei Drury Lane Dental Care Inspection report

59 Shorts Gardens Covent Garden London WC2H 9AA Tel: 02072401778 www.dldc.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 9 February 2023 Date of publication: 08/03/2023

Overall summary

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 9 February 2023 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment and the premises.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.
- 1 Drury Lane Dental Care Inspection report 08/03/2023

Summary of findings

- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines, however, improvements were required in relation to the completeness of patient care records.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.
- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- Staff felt involved, supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The practice had information governance arrangements.

Background

The provider has 2 practices, and this report is about Drury Lane Dental Care.

Drury Lane Dental Care is in the London Borough of Camden and provides private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

The main entrance into the practice has steps; however, there is a step free access to the rear of the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchair. Limited car parking spaces including dedicated parking for disabled people, are available to patients, but must be arranged in advance with the practice.

The dental team includes the principal dentist, 1 associate dentist, 1 visiting prosthodontist specialist, 2 qualified dental nurses, 1 trainee dental nurse, and 2 receptionists. They are supported by a full-time practice manager who is also enrolled on to the dental nursing diploma course. The practice has 3 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke with 2 dentists, 1 dental nurse and the practice manager. We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday 8.30am to 5pm,

Tuesday 8.30am to 7pm and

Wednesday to Friday 8.30am to 5pm.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Improve the practice protocols regarding auditing patient dental care records to check that necessary information is recorded.
- Take action to ensure the clinicians take into account the guidance provided by the College of General Dentistry when completing dental care records.

Summary of findings

- Implement audits for prescribing of antibiotic medicines taking into account the guidance provided by the College of General Dentistry.
- Take action to ensure all clinicians are adequately supported by a trained member of the dental team when treating patients in a dental setting taking into account the guidance issued by the General Dental Council.
- Take action to ensure audits of radiography are undertaken at regular intervals to improve the quality of the service. Practice should also ensure that, where appropriate, audits have documented learning points and the resulting improvements can be demonstrated.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?	No action 🖌
Are services effective?	No action 🖌
Are services caring?	No action 🖌
Are services responsive to people's needs?	No action 🖌
Are services well-led?	No action 🖌

Are services safe?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella, or other bacteria, developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice appeared clean and there was an effective schedule in place to ensure it was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff, including for agency or locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use, maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations.

A fire safety risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. The management of fire safety was effective.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and the required radiation protection information was available for one of the two intra-oral X-ray. We saw that servicing was carried out on the downstairs X-ray unit on 21 March 2021, but not on the equipment in the upstairs surgery. We received evidence following the inspection that the X-ray equipment had now received servicing and was in good working order. Furthermore, we also saw evidence that both equipment had passed the annual electro-mechanical servicing.

Risks to patients

The practice had implemented some systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety and sepsis awareness.

A dental hygienist worked at the practice without chairside support; improvements could be made to have in place a lone worker risk assessment to help them manage risks for clinical staff who worked without chairside support.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance. However, we found the provider did not have Buccal Midazolam (Oromucosal) as part of their standard emergency drugs; instead they held rectal diazepam. (Buccal midazolam has been tested and approved for seizure management and is now the National Institute for Clinical Excellence recommended drug of choice for emergency treatment for prolonged convulsive seizures). The provider told us this would be replaced.

Glucagon (a medicine used to treat severe hypoglycaemia) was stored in the fridge, however, staff did not monitor the temperature of the fridge to ensure it was stored between 2 and 8 degrees, as per manufacturer`s guidance. The provider ordered a thermometer and following the inspection they provided us with evidence that they had implemented a monitoring system for the fridge.

Are services safe?

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Patient care records were complete, legible, kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements. However, we saw instances where clinical staff had failed to document in the dental care records that they had risk assessed patients' periodontal, oral cancer, tooth wear and caries statuses.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Although antimicrobial prescribing audits were not carried out, clinicians demonstrated good understanding of the current guidance. For example, we saw evidence that staff contacted patients after two days to check if they were still symptomatic and advised accordingly.

Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

The practice had systems to review and investigate incidents and accidents. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

We saw the provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance by the visiting specialist.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice focused heavily on preventive dentistry and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

Staff were aware of local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. They understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept patient care records in line with recognised guidance, however, there were inconsistencies in the comprehensiveness of clinical notes. This was because not all records we looked at included detailed risk assessments of patients' oral health.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice relied on an external body to undertake radiograph audits; however, we noted that this was not done six-monthly in line with current guidance.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Feedback left by patients indicated that they were satisfied with the care and treatment provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected. Comments highlighted that staff responded compassionately when they needed help and provided support when required.

Privacy and dignity

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

The practice had installed closed-circuit television in communal areas to improve security for patients and staff. Relevant policies and protocols were in place.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's website provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options. These included for example, photographs, study models and X-ray images.

Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

Staff had carried out a detailed disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients. The practice is limited by space as it is part of a large hotel; therefore, they were unable to make major structural adjustments to the building. For patients in wheelchairs and those with mobility issues they were recommended to other locations; however, if they insisted on attending, they were made aware that washroom facilities were not accessible.

Timely access to services

The practice displayed its opening hours and provided information on their website.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's website and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open.

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered one in a timely manner. When the practice was unable to offer an urgent appointment, they worked with partner organisations to support urgent access for patients. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Leadership capacity and capability

The provider demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership with emphasis on peoples' safety and continually striving to improve.

Systems and processes were embedded, and staff worked together in such a way that the issues or omissions highlighted during the inspection was immediately addressed and rectified by the practice staff.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

Culture

Staff could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during annual appraisals where they also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

Governance and management

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a governance system which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there were processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and demonstrated a commitment to acting on feedback.

Feedback from staff was obtained through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on where appropriate.

The practice was also a member of a good practice certification scheme.

Continuous improvement and innovation

The practice had systems and processes for learning, quality assurance, continuous improvement. These included audits of patient care records, disability access, radiographs, and infection prevention and control. Staff kept records of the

Are services well-led?

results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements. We noted that the provider relied on an external organisation to undertake patient care record and radiograph audits which was acceptable, however, we found that X-ray audit had lapsed. This was raised with the provider who told us they would implement six-monthly in-house auditing in these areas.