

Rochdale Road Medical Centre Quality Report

48a Rochdale Road Middleton M24 2PU Tel: 0161 643 0131 Website: www.rochdaleroadmedicalcentre.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 22 November 2016 Date of publication: 09/12/2016

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good
Are services safe?	Good
Are services effective?	Good
Are services caring?	Good
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good
Are services well-led?	Good

Contents

Summary of this inspection	Page
Overall summary	2
The five questions we ask and what we found	4
The six population groups and what we found What people who use the service say	7
	11
Detailed findings from this inspection	
Our inspection team	12
Background to Rochdale Road Medical Centre	12
Why we carried out this inspection	12
How we carried out this inspection	12
Detailed findings	14

Overall summary

Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Rochdale Road Medical Centre on 22 November 2016. Overall the practice is rated as good.

The practice had been previously inspected on 5 November 2015. Following that inspection the practice was rated as requires improvement with the following domain ratings:

Safe – Requires Improvement

Effective – Requires improvement.

Caring – Good

Responsive – Requires improvement

Well Led – Requires Improvement

The practice provided us with an action plan detailing how they were going to make the required improvements.

The inspection on 22 November 2016 was to confirm the required actions had been completed and award a new rating if appropriate.

Following this re-inspection on 22 November 2016, our key findings across all the areas we inspected were as follows:

Our key findings across all the areas we inspected were as follows:

- There was an open and transparent approach to safety and an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.
- The practice had a systematic process of dealing with and monitoring updates and guidelines from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence.(NICE)
- Feedback from patient surveys undertaken by the practice and CQC patient comment cards were consistently positive about the practice. Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in their care and decisions about their treatment.
- Staff assessed patients' needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance. Staff had been trained to provide them with the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.

- Information about services and how to complain was available and easy to understand. Improvements were made to the quality of care as a result of complaints and concerns.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs. The practice had submitted plans for an extension to the building which would enable them to offer more services from the surgery.
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted on.
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour.

Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP Chief Inspector of General Practice

The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for providing safe services.

- There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events
- Lessons were shared to make sure action was taken to improve safety in the practice.
- When things went wrong patients received reasonable support, truthful information, and a written apology. They were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.
- All clinical and non clinical staff had received face to face training from the local CCG safeguarding team to a level 3.
- All staff that acted as chaperones had received a check with the Disclosure and Barring Service. (DBS) (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).

Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for providing effective services.

- Data from the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) showed patient outcomes were similar to the CCG and national averages.
- Staff assessed needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance.
- Clinical audits demonstrated quality improvement.
- Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- There was evidence of appraisals and personal development plans for all staff.
- Staff worked with other health care professionals to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs.

Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for providing caring services.

Good

Good

- Data from the national patient survey showed patients rated the practice lower than others for several aspects of care. However the CQC comment cards we received and a practice survey showed higher satisfaction scores.
- The practice patient survey and CQC comments cards showed that patients felt that they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in decisions about their care and treatment.
- Information for patients about the services available was easy to understand and accessible.
- We saw staff treated patients with kindness and respect, and maintained patient and information confidentiality.
- The practice nurse was pro-active in identifying patients in need of extra support and carried out monthly home visits to offer support where it was needed.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

The practice is rated as good for providing responsive services.

- Practice staff reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with the NHS England Area Team and Clinical Commissioning Group to secure improvements to services where these were identified.
- In response to patient comments in the national patient survey, the practice had increased the number of GP appointments offered from an average of 55 per day to an average of 89 per day.
- The practice were in the process of implementing electronic prescribing which would enable patients to nominate a pharmacy where the GP can send prescriptions to directly, making it more convenient for the patient.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- The practice had recently purchased a portable hearing loop for patients with hearing difficulties. As the hearing loop was portable this meant the patient could use it in different parts of the surgery.
- Information about how to complain was available and easy to understand and evidence showed the practice responded quickly to issues raised. Learning from complaints was shared with staff and other stakeholders.

Are services well-led?

The practice is rated as good for being well-led.

Good

- The practice had a clear vision and strategy to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients. Staff were clear about the vision and their responsibilities in relation to it.
- There was a clear leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice had a number of policies and procedures to govern activity and held regular governance meetings.
- There was an overarching governance framework which supported the delivery of the strategy and good quality care. This included arrangements to monitor and improve quality and identify risk.
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place for notifiable safety incidents and ensured this information was shared with staff to ensure appropriate action was taken
- The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients through its own survey and the family and friends test, which it acted on. The patient participation group which was newly formed was active and currently had 8 members.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels.
- The practice had a staff development programme for any member of staff who wished to train in other roles such as phlebotomy or to become a Health Care Assistant.
- The GPs took part in peer to peer review of consultations where the GPs would take a random sample of another GPs consultations and review and discuss them with each other.
- The practice had achieved level 3, the highest level, in the CCG Primary Care Quality Standards.

The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

Older people

The practice is rated as good for the care of older people.

- The practice offered proactive, personalised care to meet the needs of the older people in its population. At the time of inspection 48% of patients had a care plan in place.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older people, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- All elderly patients had a named GP.
- The practice embraced the Gold Standards Framework for end of life care. This included supporting patients' choice to receive end of life care at home.
- All elderly patients were offered same day appointments or home visits, as required.
- The practice nurse visited elderly patients each month in their own homes and offered support where needed.
- The practice offered dementia screening to all its elderly patients.

People with long term conditions

The practice is rated as good for the care of people with long-term conditions.

- Nursing staff had lead roles in chronic disease management and patients at risk of hospital admission were identified as a priority.
- 84% of patients on the diabetes register had a record of a foot examination and risk classification within the preceding 12 months compared to the national average of 88%.
- Longer appointments and home visits were available when needed.
- Urgent appointments were available for all patients with long term conditions such as asthma, epilepsy, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
- All these patients had a named GP and a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For those patients with the most complex needs, the named GP worked with relevant health and care professionals to deliver a multidisciplinary package of care.
- The practice held weekly clinics dedicated to patients with long term conditions.



• Patients with complex needs were offered personalised care plans.

Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for the care of families, children and young people.

- There were systems in place to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of A&E attendances. Immunisation rates were relatively high for all standard childhood immunisations.
- All clinical and non clinical staff had received face to face training to a level 3 in safeguarding children and adults which included training in awareness of and recognising female genital mutilation.
- Patients told us that children and young people were treated in an age-appropriate way and were recognised as individuals, and we saw evidence to confirm this.
- 78% of women aged between 25 and 64 had their notes recorded that a cervical screening test had been performed in the preceding five years compared to the national average of 82%.
- Appointments were available outside of school hours and the premises were suitable for children and babies.
- All children under the age of 12 were offered same day appointments when needed.
- We saw positive examples of joint working with midwives, health visitors and school nurses.
- Well man and well women clinics were held by the practice nurse.
- One of the female GP partners was also a consultant gynaecologist and offered a full contraceptive service including the fitting of coils and contraceptive implants.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

The practice is rated as good for the care of working-age people (including those recently retired and students).

• The needs of the working age population, those recently retired and students had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. Good

- The practice was proactive in offering online services as well as a full range of health promotion and screening that reflects the needs for this age group.
- The practice offered telephone appointments for patients that required them.
- The practice were part of a group of practices that offered patients access to a GP or practice nurse at evenings, weekends and bank holidays.
- The practice offered a confidential chlamydia screening service.

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

The practice is rated as good for the care of people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- The practice offered longer appointments for patients with a learning disability.
- The practice regularly worked with other health care professionals in the case management of vulnerable patients.
- The practice informed vulnerable patients about how to access various support groups and voluntary organisations.
- Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in vulnerable adults and children. Staff were aware of their responsibilities regarding information sharing, documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact relevant agencies in normal working hours and out of hours.
- All clinical and non clinical staff were trained to level 3 in safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

The practice is rated as good for the care of people experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

- 79% of patients diagnosed with dementia who had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the last 12 months, which was lower than the national average 84%.
- 100% of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in their record in the preceding 12 months which was above the national average of 89%, however the exception rate was 15% which was 2.5% above the national rate.

Good

Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients are unable to attend a review meeting or certain medicines cannot be prescribed because of side effects.

- The practice regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of patients experiencing poor mental health, including those with dementia.
- The practice offered dementia screening for all its elderly patients.
- The practice carried out advance care planning for patients with dementia.
- The practice had told patients experiencing poor mental health about how to access various support groups and voluntary organisations.
- The practice had a system in place to follow up patients who had attended accident and emergency where they may have been experiencing poor mental health.
- All clinical and non clinical staff had received training in the Mental Capacity Act and Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards.
- Staff had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and dementia.
- Longer appointments were available for patients in this population group.

What people who use the service say

The national GP patient survey results were published in July 2016 and the results showed the practice was performing in line with local and national averages. 301survey forms were distributed and 108 were returned this was a completion rate of 36% and represented 2.55% of the practice's patient list.

- 55% of patients found it easy to get through to this practice by phone compared to the national average of 73%.
- 58% of patients were able to get an appointment to see or speak to someone the last time they tried compared to the national average of 76%.
- 69% of patients described the overall experience of this GP practice as good compared to the national average of 85%.
- 59% of patients said they would recommend this GP practice to someone who has just moved to the local area compared to the national average of 79%.

In response to the poor results the practice had increased the number of appointments available from an average of 55 per day to an average of 89 per day. Since the last inspection in November 2015 the practice had recruited another GP partner.

As part of our inspection we also asked for CQC comment cards to be completed by patients prior to our inspection. We received 33 comment cards which were all positive about the standard of care received. One card received mentioned the lack of parking available and one mentioned that it was sometimes difficult to get an appointment. Other comments received mentioned the excellent service they received and that staff were friendly and helpful and treated the patients with dignity and respect.



Rochdale Road Medical Centre

Detailed findings

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC Lead Inspector and included a GP specialist adviser.

Background to Rochdale Road Medical Centre

Rochdale Road Medical Centre provides primary medical services in Middleton near Manchester from Monday to Friday. The practice is open between 8.45am and 6.15pm. The first appointment of the day with a GP is 9:00am and the last appointment with a GP is 5:10pm, Monday to Thursday and until 5.30pm on Fridays. The practice is part of a group of local practices who offer appointments with a GP and nurse every weekday evening and at weekends and bank holidays.

Rochdale Road Medical Centre is situated within the geographical area of Heywood, Middleton and Rochdale Commissioning Group (CCG).

The practice has a Personal Medical Services (PMS) contract. The PMS contract is the contract between general practices and NHS England for delivering primary care services to local communities.

Rochdale Road Medical Centre is responsible for providing care to 4225 patients.

The practice consists of four partners three male and one female and one long term sessional female GP, one

practice nurse and one Health Care assistant and three part time phlebotomists. The practice is supported by a practice manager, assistant practice manager, administration/ reception manager and receptionists.

The practice is a teaching practice with regular medical students and year two foundation doctors.

When the practice is closed patients are directed to the out of hour's service run by Bury and Rochdale Doctors on Call (BARDOC)

Why we carried out this inspection

We carried out a comprehensive inspection of this service under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. The inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

A previous inspection had been carried out 5 November 2015 and as a result requirement notices had been issued to the practice. This inspection was also to check the required improvements had been made.

Detailed findings

How we carried out this inspection

Before visiting, we reviewed a range of information we hold about the practice and asked other organisations to share what they knew. We carried out an announced visit on 22 November 2016. During our visit we:

- Spoke with a range of staff including GPs, nurse, the practice manager and members of the administration team
- Reviewed an anonymised sample of the personal care or treatment records of patients.
- Reviewed comment cards where patients and members of the public shared their views and experiences of the service.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- · Is it safe?
- \cdot Is it effective?

- · Is it caring?
- · Is it responsive to people's needs?
- · Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services were provided for specific groups of people and what good care looked like for them. The population groups are:

- · Older people
- · People with long-term conditions
- · Families, children and young people
- \cdot Working age people (including those recently retired and students)
- · People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable
- \cdot People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

Please note that when referring to information throughout this report, for example any reference to the Quality and Outcomes Framework data, this relates to the most recent information available to the CQC at that time.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Safe track record and learning

There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.

- Staff told us they would inform the practice manager of any incidents and there was a recording form available on the practice's computer system. The incident recording form supported the recording of notifiable incidents under the duty of candour. (The duty of candour is a set of specific legal requirements that providers of services must follow when things go wrong with care and treatment).
- We saw evidence that when things went wrong with care and treatment, patients were informed of the incident, received reasonable support, truthful information, a written apology and were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice carried out a thorough analysis of the significant events.

We reviewed safety records, incident reports, patient safety alerts and minutes of meetings where these were discussed. We saw evidence that lessons were shared and action was taken to improve safety in the practice. For example, staff were reminded that all tasks must be completed daily so that urgent ones were not missed.

Overview of safety systems and processes

The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse, which included:

 Arrangements were in place to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. These arrangements reflected relevant legislation and local requirements. Policies were accessible to all staff. The policies clearly outlined who to contact for further guidance if staff had concerns about a patient's welfare. There was a lead GP for safeguarding. The GPs attended safeguarding meetings when possible and always provided reports where necessary for other agencies. Staff demonstrated they understood their responsibilities and all had received training on safeguarding children and vulnerable adults. GPs, the nursing team and all non clinical staff had recently attended training by the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) Safeguarding team and were trained to child protection or child safeguarding level 3. This training included an awareness of and recognising Female Genital Mutilation.

- A notice in the waiting room advised patients that chaperones were available if required. All staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check.
 (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- The practice maintained appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene. We observed the premises to be clean and tidy. The practice nurse was the infection control clinical lead who liaised with the local infection prevention teams to keep up to date with best practice. The practice manager was the infection control non clinical lead and there was a lead representative from the cleaning company commissioned by the practice. There was an infection control protocol in place and staff had received up to date training. Annual infection control audits were undertaken and we saw evidence that action was taken to address any improvements identified as a result.
- The arrangements for managing medicines, including emergency medicines and vaccines, in the practice kept patients safe (including obtaining, prescribing, recording, handling, storing, security and disposal).
 Processes were in place for handling repeat prescriptions which included the review of high risk medicines. The practice carried out regular medicines audits, with the support of the local CCG pharmacy teams, to ensure prescribing was in line with best practice guidelines for safe prescribing. Blank prescription forms and pads were securely stored and there were systems in place to monitor their use.
- Patient Group Directions had been adopted by the practice to allow nurses to administer medicines in line with legislation. Health Care Assistants were trained to administer vaccines and medicines against a patient specific prescription or direction from a prescriber.
- We reviewed four personnel files and found appropriate recruitment checks had been undertaken prior to

Are services safe?

employment. For example, proof of identification, references, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and the appropriate checks through the Disclosure and Barring Service.

Monitoring risks to patients

Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.

- There were procedures in place for monitoring and managing risks to patient and staff safety. There was a health and safety policy available with a poster in the reception office which identified local health and safety representatives. The practice had up to date fire risk assessments and had recently carried out a full fire drill. All electrical equipment was checked to ensure the equipment was safe to use and clinical equipment was checked to ensure it was working properly. The practice had a variety of other risk assessments in place to monitor safety of the premises such as control of substances hazardous to health and infection control and legionella (Legionella is a term for a particular bacterium which can contaminate water systems in buildings).
- Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number of staff and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs. There was a rota system in

place for all the different staffing groups to ensure enough staff were on duty. Staff were also being trained in different roles so that they were able to cover all job roles if required.

Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents

The practice had adequate arrangements in place to respond to emergencies and major incidents.

• There was an instant messaging system on the computers in all the consultation and treatment rooms which alerted staff to any emergency.

• All staff received annual basic life support training and there were emergency medicines available in the treatment room.

• The practice had a defibrillator available on the premises and oxygen with adult and children's masks. A first aid kit and accident book were available.

• Emergency medicines were easily accessible to staff in a secure area of the practice and all staff knew of their location. All the medicines we checked were in date and stored securely.

• The practice had a comprehensive business continuity plan in place for major incidents such as power failure or building damage. The plan included emergency contact numbers for staff.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment

The practice assessed needs and delivered care in line with relevant and current evidence based guidance and standards, including National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) best practice guidelines.

- The practice had systems in place to keep all clinical staff up to date. Staff had access to guidelines from NICE and used this information to deliver care and treatment that met patients' needs.
- The practice monitored that these guidelines were followed through risk assessments, audits and random sample checks of patient records.

Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

The practice used the information collected for the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) and performance against national screening programmes to monitor outcomes for patients. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice). The most recent published results were 98% of the total number of points available with an exception reporting rate of 10%. (Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients are unable to attend a review meeting or certain medicines cannot be prescribed because of side effects).

This practice was not an outlier for any QOF (or other national) clinical targets. The latest data available showed:

- Performance for diabetes related indicators was similar to the national average. 84% of patients on the diabetes register had a record of a foot examination and risk classification within the preceding 12 months compared to the national average of 88%.
- Performance for mental health related indicators was better than the national average 100% of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in their record in the preceding 12 months which was above the national average of 89%.
- The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that included an assessment of asthma control using

the three Royal College of Physicians questions was 80%, the same as the national average. However the practice exception reporting rate was 0.8% and the national rate was 7.9%.

There was evidence of quality improvement including clinical audit.

- Since the last inspection in November 2015 the practice had started a programme of clinical audit. There had been five clinical audits completed in the last year, one of these was a completed audit where the improvements made were implemented and monitored.
- The practice participated in local audits, national benchmarking, accreditation, peer review and research.
- Findings were used by the practice to improve services. For example, recent action taken as a result included . The practice was able to identify all patients at high risk of stroke were identified, reviewed and (where appropriate) provided with a treatment plan that would optimise management and reduce their risk of stroke.

Information about patients' outcomes was used to make improvements such as: ensuring that all GPs in the practice were following agreed, recommended, national guidelines when prescribing antibiotics to patients presenting with certain symptoms.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.

- The practice had an induction programme for all newly appointed staff. This covered such topics as safeguarding, infection prevention and control, fire safety, health and safety and confidentiality.
- The practice could demonstrate how they ensured role-specific training and updating for relevant staff. For example, for those reviewing patients with long-term conditions.
- Staff administering vaccines and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training which had included an assessment of competence. Staff who administered vaccines could demonstrate how they stayed up to date with changes to the immunisation programmes, for example by access to on line resources and discussion at practice meetings.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

- The learning needs of staff were identified through a system of appraisals, meetings and reviews of practice development needs. Staff had access to appropriate training to meet their learning needs and to cover the scope of their work. This included ongoing support, one-to-one meetings, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and facilitation and support for revalidating GPs. All staff had received an appraisal within the last 12 months.
- Staff received training that included: safeguarding, fire safety awareness, basic life support, mental capacity act and information governance. Staff had access to and made use of e-learning training modules and in-house training.

Coordinating patient care and information sharing

The information needed to plan and deliver care and treatment was available to relevant staff in a timely and accessible way through the practice's patient record system and their intranet system.

- This included care and risk assessments, care plans, medical records and investigation and test results.
- The practice shared relevant information with other services in a timely way, for example when referring patients to other services.

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs and to assess and plan ongoing care and treatment. This included when patients moved between services, including when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. Meetings took place with other health care professionals on a monthly basis when care plans were routinely reviewed and updated for patients with complex needs.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff sought patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Staff understood the relevant consent and decision-making requirements of legislation and guidance, including the Mental Capacity Act 2005.
- When providing care and treatment for children and young people, staff carried out assessments of capacity to consent in line with relevant guidance.

- Where a patient's mental capacity to consent to care or treatment was unclear the GP or practice nurse assessed the patient's capacity and, recorded the outcome of the assessment.
- The process for seeking consent was monitored through patient records audits.

Supporting patients to live healthier lives

The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support. For example:

 Patients receiving end of life care, carers, those at risk of developing a long-term condition and those requiring advice on their diet, smoking and alcohol cessation and HIV. Patients were signposted to the relevant service.

The practice's uptake for the cervical screening programme was 78%, which was similar to the CCG average of 80% and the national average of 82%. There was a policy to offer telephone reminders for patients who did not attend for their cervical screening test. The practice demonstrated how they encouraged uptake of the screening programme by using information in different languages and for those with a learning disability and they ensured a female sample taker was available. The practice also encouraged its patients to attend national screening programmes for bowel and breast cancer screening. There were failsafe systems in place to ensure results were received for all samples sent for the cervical screening programme and the practice followed up women who were referred as a result of abnormal results.

Childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given were comparable to CCG and national averages. For example, childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given to under two year olds ranged from 82% to 100% and five year olds from 82% to 97%.

Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks. These included health checks for new patients and NHS health checks for patients aged 40–74. Appropriate follow-ups for the outcomes of health assessments and checks were made, where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, dignity, respect and compassion

We observed members of staff were courteous and very helpful to patients and treated them with dignity and respect.

- Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.
- We noted that consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations; conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard.
- Reception staff knew when patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.

All of the 33 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were positive about the service experienced. Patients said they felt the practice offered an excellent service and staff were helpful, caring and treated them with dignity and respect.

Comment cards highlighted that staff responded compassionately when they needed help and provided support when required.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. The practice results were mixed for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses. For example:

- 83% of patients said the GP was good at listening to them compared to the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 88% and the national average of 89%.
- 81% of patients said the GP gave them enough time compared to the CCG average of 87% and the national average of 87%.
- 94% of patients said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw compared to the CCG average of 95% and the national average of 95%.
- 81% of patients said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the national average of 85%.
- 92% of patients said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the national average of 91%.

• 74% of patients said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful compared to the CCG average of 84% and the national average of 87%.

CQC comment cards and results from the practice's more recent survey showed that patients scored higher than the national patient survey when asked about the care that they received from the GPs and nurses.

Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Patients told us they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment available to them. Patient feedback from the comment cards we received was also positive and aligned with these views. We also saw that care plans were personalised.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were mixed when compared with local and national averages. For example:

- 80% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared to the CCG average of 87% and the national average of 86%.
- 70% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care compared to the national average of 82%.
- 84% of patients said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care compared to the national average of 85%.

The practice provided facilities to help patients be involved in decisions about their care:

- Staff told us that translation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. We saw notices in the reception areas informing patients this service was available.
- Information leaflets were available in easy read format.

Patient and carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment

Are services caring?

Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access a number of support groups and organisations. Information about support groups was also available on the practice website.

The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. The practice had identified 31 patients as

carers (0.73% of the practice list). One member of staff was the Carers Advocate and ensured that written information was available to direct carers to the various avenues of support available to them.

Staff told us that if families had suffered bereavement, their usual GP contacted them. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with the NHS England Area Team and Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to secure improvements to services where these were identified.

- The practice were part of a group of practices who offered appointments with a GP and practice nurse every week day evening and at weekends for patients who could not attend during normal opening hours.
- There were longer appointments available for patients with a learning disability.
- Home visits were available for older patients and patients who had clinical needs which resulted in difficulty attending the practice.
- Same day appointments were available for children under 12 and those patients with medical problems that require same day consultation.
- Patients were able to receive travel vaccinations available on the NHS as well as those only available privately.
- There were disabled facilities, a hearing loop and translation services, including British sign language, available.
- The practice was planning to extend the building including which included installing a lift to improve access and to offer more services from the practice.

Access to the service

The practice was open between 8.45am and 6.15pm Monday to Friday. Appointments were from 9am to 11.50am every morning and 2.50pm to 5.10 Monday to Friday and until 5.30pm on Fridays. The practice were part of a group of practices who offered appointments with a GP and practice nurse every weekday evening until 9pm and every Saturday and Sunday. In addition to pre-bookable appointments that could be booked up to four weeks in advance, urgent appointments were also available for people that needed them.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed that patient's satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment was lower than local and national averages.

- 62% of patients were satisfied with the practice's opening hours compared to the national average of 79%.
- 55% of patients said they could get through easily to the practice by phone compared to the national average of 73%.

In response to these results the practice had increased the number of appointments with a GP from an average of 55 per day to an average of 89 per day. Since the last inspection in November 2015 the practice had recruited another practice partner. They monitored patient satisfaction through the family and friends test and regular practice surveys which showed an improvement in satisfaction. CQC comment cards also showed that patients were happy with how they could access care and treatment.

The practice had a system in place to assess:

- whether a home visit was clinically necessary; and
- the urgency of the need for medical attention.

One of the GPs triaged all requests for home visits and in cases where the urgency of need was so great that it would be inappropriate for the patient to wait for a GP home visit, alternative emergency care arrangements were made. Clinical and non-clinical staff were aware of their responsibilities when managing requests for home visits.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had an effective system in place for handling complaints and concerns.

- Its complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England.
- There was a designated responsible person who handled all complaints in the practice.
- We saw that information was available to help patients understand the complaints system, there was a poster in the reception area and leaflets available to patients.

We looked at four complaints received in the last 12 months and found that these were satisfactorily handled and dealt with in a timely way with openness and transparency when dealing with the complaint. Lessons were learnt from individual concerns and complaints and

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

also from analysis of trends and action was taken to as a result to improve the quality of care. For example, the practice added more book on the day appointments as a result of a complaint received.

Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Our findings

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients.

- The practice had a mission statement which was displayed in the office area and staff knew and understood the values.
- The practice had a robust strategy and supporting business plans which reflected the vision and values and were regularly monitored.

Governance arrangements

The practice had an overarching governance framework which supported the delivery of the strategy and good quality care. This outlined the structures and procedures in place and ensured that:

- There was a clear staffing structure and that staff were aware of their own roles and responsibilities.
- Practice specific policies were implemented and were available to all staff.
- A comprehensive understanding of the performance of the practice was maintained
- A programme of continuous clinical and internal audit was used to monitor quality and to make improvements.
- There were robust arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks, issues and implementing mitigating actions.
- The GPs took part in peer to peer review of consultations where the GPs would take a random sample of another GPs consultations and review and discuss them with each other.

Leadership and culture

On the day of inspection the partners in the practice demonstrated they had the experience, capacity and capability to run the practice and ensure high quality care. They told us they prioritised safe, high quality and compassionate care. Staff told us the partners were approachable and always took the time to listen to all members of staff.

The provider was aware of and had systems in place to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour. (The duty of candour is a set of specific legal requirements that providers of services must follow when things go wrong with care and treatment).This included support training for all staff on communicating with patients about notifiable safety incidents. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place to ensure that when things went wrong with care and treatment::

- The practice gave affected people reasonable support, truthful information and a verbal and written apology
- The practice kept written records of verbal interactions as well as written correspondence.

There was a clear leadership structure in place and staff felt supported by management.

- Staff told us the practice held regular team meetings.
- Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity to raise any issues at team meetings and felt confident and supported in doing so. We noted team away days were held every six months.
- Staff said they felt respected, valued and supported, particularly by the partners in the practice. All staff were involved in discussions about how to run and develop the practice, and the partners encouraged all members of staff to identify opportunities to improve the service delivered by the practice.

Seeking and acting on feedback from patients, the public and staff

The practice encouraged and valued feedback from patients, the public and staff. It proactively sought patients' feedback and engaged patients in the delivery of the service.

- The practice had gathered feedback from patients through surveys and complaints received. The practice had a newly formed patient group (PPG) which had met once and was due to meet later this month. The plan was to meet regularly and to assist in carrying out patient surveys and to submit proposals for improvements to the practice management team.
- The practice had gathered feedback from staff through staff away days and generally through staff meetings, appraisals and discussion. Staff told us they would not hesitate to give feedback and discuss any concerns or issues with colleagues and management. Staff told us they felt involved and engaged to improve how the practice was run.

Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Continuous improvement

There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. The practice team was forward thinking and part of local pilot schemes to improve outcomes for patients in the area. For example:

- The practice had submitted plans for an extension to their building which would enable them to increase the number of clinical rooms available and offer additional services from the surgery.
- Develop the text messaging system further to include sending test results by text.
- Electronic prescribing was going live in December making it more convenient for patients.