

The Whiter Smile Limited

The Whiter Smile Limited

Inspection Report

9 Artillery Lane
London
E1 7LP
Tel: 020 8563 8063
www.bakerstreetdental.com

Date of inspection visit: 2 October 2015
Date of publication: 29/10/2015

Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 2 October 2015 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

The Whiter Smile Limited (also known as) Liverpool Street Dental Clinic, is located in the London Borough of Tower Hamlets. The practice is part of a group of three practices which form the 'Baker Street Dental Group.'

The Liverpool Street Dental Clinic premises consist of two treatment rooms, a dedicated decontamination room and an X-ray room. There are also toilet facilities, a waiting room, a reception area, an administrative office and a store room.

The practice provides private dental services and treats both adults and children. The practice offers a range of dental services including routine examinations and treatment, veneers, crowns and oral hygiene.

The staff structure of the practice is comprised three dentists, a specialist orthodontist, two dental nurses, two receptionists and a practice manager.

The practice manager is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

The practice is open Monday to Sunday from 9:00am to 5:00pm. The inspection took place over one day and was carried out by a CQC inspector and a dental specialist advisor.

Summary of findings

We received 25 CQC comment cards completed by patients who were positive about the care they received from the practice. They were complimentary about the friendly and caring attitude of the staff.

Our key findings were:

- Patients' needs were assessed and care was planned in line with best practice guidance, such as from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE).
- Equipment, such as the autoclave (steriliser), fire extinguishers, oxygen cylinder and X-ray equipment had all been checked for effectiveness and had been regularly serviced.
- The practice ensured staff maintained the necessary skills and competence to support the needs of patients.
- Patients indicated that they felt they were listened to and that they received good care from a helpful and patient practice team.
- The practice had implemented clear procedures for managing comments, concerns or complaints.
- The practice had a clear vision for the services it provided and staff told us they were well supported by the management team.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Review staff awareness and training to manage medical emergencies giving due regard to guidelines issued by the Resuscitation Council (UK), and the General Dental Council (GDC) standards for the dental team.
- Review the practice's protocols for recording in the patients' dental care records or elsewhere the reason for taking the X-ray and quality of the X-ray giving due regard to the Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations (IR(ME)R) 2000.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had robust arrangements for essential areas such as infection control, clinical waste control, management of medical emergencies at the practice and dental radiography (X-rays). We found that all the equipment used in the dental practice was well maintained. The practice took their responsibilities for patient safety seriously and staff were aware of the importance of identifying, investigating and learning from patient safety incidents. The practice carried out and reviewed risk assessments to identify and manage risk. There were sufficient numbers of suitably qualified staff working at the practice. Staff had received safeguarding training and were aware of their responsibilities regarding safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.

Are services effective?

The dental care provided was evidence based and focused on the needs of the patients. The practice used national guidance including that from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) to guide their practice. The staff received professional training and development appropriate to their roles and learning needs. Staff who were registered with the General Dental Council (GDC), had frequent continuing professional development (CPD) and were meeting the requirements of their professional registration.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback from patients through comment cards that they were treated with dignity and respect. They noted a positive and caring attitude amongst the staff. We found that dental care records were stored securely and patient confidentiality was well maintained.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients had good access to appointments, including emergency appointments, which were available on the same day. Members of staff spoke a range of languages which supported good communication between staff and patients. Patients were invited to provide feedback via satisfaction surveys and a suggestions book in the waiting area. There was a clear complaints procedure and information about how to make a complaint was displayed in the reception area.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

There were good clinical governance and risk management systems in place. There were regular staff meetings and systems for obtaining patient feedback. We saw that feedback from staff or patients had been carefully considered and appropriately responded to. The practice had a clear vision and these values were shared and understood by all staff.

Staff described an open and transparent culture where they were comfortable raising and discussing concerns with the principal dentist or practice manager. All staff were supported to pursue development opportunities and had good access to training.

The Whiter Smile Limited

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

We carried out an announced, comprehensive inspection on 2 October 2015. The inspection took place over one day. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector. They were accompanied by a dental specialist advisor.

We reviewed information received from the provider prior to the inspection.

During our inspection visit, we reviewed policy documents. We spoke with four members of staff including a dentist, dental nurse, practice manager and receptionist. We conducted a tour of the practice and looked at the storage arrangements for emergency medicines and equipment. We observed the dental nurse carrying out decontamination procedures of dental instruments and also observed staff interacting with patients.

We reviewed 25 Care Quality Commission (CQC) comment cards completed by patients. Patients who completed comment cards were positive about the care they received from the practice. They were complimentary about the friendly and caring attitude of the dental staff.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

There was an effective system in place for reporting and learning from incidents. There had been no incidents or accidents reported in the past year. There was a policy in place which described the actions that staff needed to take in the event that something went wrong or there was a 'near miss'. The practice manager confirmed that if patients were affected by something that went wrong, they would be given an apology and informed of any actions taken as a result.

Staff understood the process for accident and incident reporting including the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). There had not been any such incidents in the past 12 months.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had policies and procedures in place for child protection and safeguarding adults. The practice manager took the lead in managing safeguarding issues and maintained contact details for the local authority safeguarding team, social services and other agencies, such as the Care Quality Commission. Staff had completed safeguarding training and were able to describe what might be signs of abuse or neglect and how they would raise concerns with the safeguarding lead. There had been no safeguarding issues reported by the practice to the local safeguarding team.

The practice carried out a range of risk assessments and implemented policies and protocols with a view to keeping staff and patients safe. For example, risk assessments undertaken included fire safety and as per Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) 2002 Regulations.

The practice followed national guidelines on patient safety. For example, the practice used rubber dam for root canal treatments. A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth.

Medical emergencies

The practice had a medical emergency policy and resuscitation protocol which provided staff with clear guidance about how to deal with medical emergencies. This was in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines and the British National Formulary (BNF).

The emergency resuscitation kits, oxygen and emergency medicines were stored securely upstairs in the practice with easy access for staff working in any part of the practice. The practice had an Automated External Defibrillator (AED) to support staff in a medical emergency. (An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm).

Records showed weekly checks for emergency medicines and equipment were carried out to ensure these were safe to use. Staff were knowledgeable about what to do in a medical emergency. However we found one of the receptionists had not been provided with basic life support training. The practice carried out medical emergency simulations every month to ensure they were familiar with the procedures.

Staff recruitment

The practice had a recruitment policy in place for the safe recruitment of staff which included seeking references, proof of identity, checking qualifications and professional registration. We reviewed documentation and found the recruitment procedure had been followed.

The recruitment policy outlined that recruitment of staff was dependent upon satisfactory references and Disclosure and Barring service (DBS) checks. These checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable. Records showed these checks were in place.

Newly employed staff had a period of induction to familiarise themselves with practice procedures and complete mandatory training such as health and safety and infection control, before being allowed to work unsupervised. Staff were also required to sign to confirm they had read and understood the policies and procedures within the practice.

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

Are services safe?

The practice had arrangements in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies. A risk management policy and health and safety procedures were in place to ensure the safety of patients and staff. For example, we saw completed risk assessments for fire and Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH). The assessments were reviewed annually and included the controls and actions to manage risks.

The practice had a business continuity plan in place to deal with any emergencies that may occur which could disrupt the safe and smooth running of the service. This included key contact numbers for electricity and water suppliers.

Staff had received fire training and we saw evidence of fire procedure notices displayed throughout the practice. Fire alarm checks were undertaken and we saw evidence of a log of fire drills which had been practiced to ensure patients and staff could be evacuated in the event of a fire. An external agency provided fire protection equipment servicing and a fire risk assessment for the practice had been carried out to identify actions required to maintain fire safety.

Infection control

The reception area and treatment rooms were clean and well maintained at the time of our inspection. The practice had infection control systems and processes in place including an infection control policy, regular checks on equipment, infection control audits and staff training.

The practice had followed national guidance on the essential requirements for infection control as set out in the Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05; National guidance from the Department of Health for infection prevention control in dental practices).

A separate area was available for decontamination of used instruments. Instruments were transported between the treatment rooms and the decontamination room in designated containers. Personal protective equipment such as gloves, masks, aprons and eye protection were provided for staff to use.

The dental nurse showed us the steps they would undertake while cleaning and decontaminating instruments. This was in accordance with the procedure for decontamination of instruments which was displayed for staff to follow.

A clear flow from dirty to clean area was maintained to minimise infection risks and designated dirty and clean areas within the treatment rooms were clearly marked. A separate sink was available for rinsing instruments. An illuminated magnifier was used to inspect the instruments to check the effectiveness of the decontamination process. Sterilized instruments awaiting usage were stored in clear pouches.

The dental nurse showed us the various checks that were undertaken on equipment such as the autoclave and the ultrasonic cleaners. Staff followed recommended protocols to manage the dental unit water lines (DUWL).

A Legionella risk assessment had been completed and appropriate actions taken as per advice given as part of the risk assessment (Legionella is a bacterium that can grow in contaminated water and can be potentially harmful).

There were protocols in place for the safe management, segregation and disposal of clinical, non-clinical, and used sharp instrument waste. Staff we spoke with were aware of The Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013 and were able to describe the procedure to follow in the event of a sharps injury.

Equipment and medicines

The practice maintained a list of equipment including dates when maintenance contracts were renewed. Records showed contracts were in place to ensure annual servicing and routine maintenance work occurred in a timely manner.

We found that the equipment used at the practice was regularly serviced and well maintained. For example, we saw documents showing that the X-ray equipment had been inspected and serviced. Portable appliance testing (PAT) was completed in accordance with good practice guidance. PAT is the name of a process during which electrical appliances are routinely checked for safety.

Radiography (X-rays)

The practice kept a radiation protection file in relation to the use and maintenance of X-ray equipment. There were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment. The procedures and equipment had been assessed by an external radiation protection adviser (RPA) within the recommended timescales. The owner of the practice was the radiation protection supervisor (RPS). We

Are services safe?

saw evidence of an audit in radiography, however radiographs were not being assessed for quality assurance and the practice were not recording grades and analysing results.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

Patient's needs were assessed and treatment was planned and delivered in line with their individual treatment plan. Staff explained they asked patients' information on associated medical conditions and relevant aspects of medical and social history such as smoking status and eating habits as part of the treatment.

During the course of our inspection we checked dental care records to confirm the findings. The dental care records we looked at showed that medical history, consent and assessment of the periodontal tissues using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) screening tool was consistently recorded. (The BPE is a simple and rapid screening tool that is used to indicate the level of examination needed and to provide basic guidance on treatment need).

We saw evidence of a dental records audit which was undertaken in 2015. The audit found dental care records sampled were well written, up to date and consent and medical history recorded.

The dentists took into consideration national guidelines such as those issued by National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the Faculty of General Dental Practice (UK) while planning care and treatment for patients. They told us they followed guidelines issued by NICE when prescribing antibiotics.

Health promotion & prevention

There was a range of information available for patients on the practice waiting area. This included information such as oral cancer and preventing infections. Oral cancer screening was undertaken as part of the initial examination and the smoking status was recorded and smoking cessation advice provided accordingly. Patients were given advice on healthy eating habits and encouraged to maintain healthy life styles and we saw evidence of dietary advice leaflets in the waiting area.

Staffing

Staff received an induction when they started working at the practice which ensured they were aware of relevant

procedures and policies. The induction included orientation with key personnel and services, fire safety and job description tasks. The practice had identified key staff training including infection control, safeguarding of vulnerable adults and children and basic life support. However from reviewing staff training records we found one receptionist had not been provided with formal basic life support training. We discussed this with the practice manager at the time of our inspection and arrangements were made for

Staff we spoke with told us they were clear about their roles and responsibilities and had access to the practice's policies and procedures. We saw evidence of detailed work instruction documents within individual staff folders. For example, dental nurses had 'Nurse Checklist' which listed key tasks to be undertaken daily. Staff had access to practice policies and procedures and we saw evidence of annual appraisals. Staff were supported to attend training courses appropriate to the work they performed and develop their skills. For example, one of the receptionists had been supported to undertake a customer services course.

Working with other services

The practice manager informed us that where needed they would involve other professionals and refer patients to other services if they needed specialist treatment. The practice manager explained that specialist dental services were provided in-house and they had staff with the relevant expertise to manage most conditions. However, where required the practice would refer patients externally for the necessary support and treatment.

Consent to care and treatment

The dentist and staff we spoke with were aware of their responsibilities to ensure patients' consent to care and treatment was obtained and recorded appropriately. From the comment cards we received, patients stated they were given time to make an informed decision. We saw evidence of staff training on the requirements of the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for health and care professionals to act and make decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions for themselves.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

The comments cards we received all commented positively on staff's caring and helpful attitude. Patients who reported some anxiety about visiting the dentist commented that the dental staff made them feel comfortable and they were well-supported by the staff. We asked staff how they catered for patients who were anxious about receiving dental treatments. Staff provided us with examples of how they put patients at ease which included providing explanations of the equipment and allowing patients to become comfortable sitting in the dental chair prior to their appointment.

We observed staff were welcoming and helpful when patients arrived for their appointment. The receptionist spoke politely and calmly to all of the patients. The practice manager was often available to speak to patients in the waiting area and staff clearly knew some of the patients well.

Doors were always closed when patients were in the treatment rooms. The treatment rooms were situated away from the waiting area so conversations could not be overheard and frosted glass walls provided additional privacy. Patients indicated they were treated with dignity and respect at all times.

Dental care records were stored electronically and in a paper-based format. Electronic records were password protected and regularly backed up. We observed that paper records were stored in a locked cabinet in the reception area. Staff understood the importance of data protection and confidentiality. The receptionist's computer screen was positioned in such a way that it could not be seen by patients in the waiting area. Staff also told us that people could request to have confidential discussions in an empty treatment room if necessary.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The practice displayed information in the waiting area which gave details of the dental charges or fees.

Staff told us that they took time to explain the treatment options available. They spent time answering patients' questions and gave patients a copy of their treatment plan. There was a range of information leaflets in the waiting area which described the different types of dental treatments available. The patient feedback we received via comment cards confirmed that patients felt appropriately involved in the planning of their treatment and were satisfied with the descriptions given by staff. They told us that treatment options were well explained; the dentist listened and understood their concerns, and respected their choices regarding treatment.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

The practice provided patients with information about the services they offered in leaflets and on their website. The services provided include preventative advice and treatment and routine and restorative dental care. We found the practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. The practice manager was qualified as a dental nurse and was therefore knowledgeable on which types of treatment or reviews would require longer appointments. The dentist also specified the timings for some patients when they considered that the patient would need an appointment that was longer than the typical time.

The feedback we received from patients confirmed that they could get an appointment within a reasonable time frame and that they had adequate time scheduled with the dentist to assess their needs and receive treatment.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had recognised the needs of different groups in the planning of its service. Staff told us they treated everybody equally and welcomed patients from a range of different backgrounds, cultures and religions. We also saw evidence of staff training in equality and diversity in the personnel files.

Staff spoke a range of different languages including Italian, Portuguese and Lithuanian. The practice manager told us that staff working at the practice were also able to work at the sister practice or vice versa to assist patients with communication needs or alternatively arrangements would be made for patients to receive treatment at the sister practice if necessary. The practice website also provided patients with information in various languages.

The practice provided written information such as the complaints procedure in large print for patients with visual impairments.

The practice was not wheelchair accessible. The practice leaflet informed patients that the premises were not suitable for wheelchairs and staff informed us they would sign-post patients to one of their sister practices - the Dental Lounge; which could accommodate the needs of disabled patients.

Access to the service

The practice is open Monday to Sunday from 9.00am to 5.00pm. The practice displayed its opening hours on their premises and on the practice website. New patients were also given a practice information leaflet which included the practice contact details and opening hours.

Patients could book an appointment up to six months in advance. Patients told us that they could get an appointment in good time and did not have any concerns about accessing the dentist. We asked the receptionist and practice manager about access to the service in an emergency or outside of normal opening hours. They told us the practice leaflet and website gave details on how to access out of hours emergency treatment. A 24 hour, seven days a week emergency dental service was available from the sister practice for both existing and new patients.

The practice manager and receptionist told us that the dentist also had 15 minute slots between each patient appointments which meant that patients, who needed to be seen urgently, for example, because they were experiencing dental pain, could be accommodated.

Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy which provided staff with clear guidance about how to handle a complaint. Staff told us they raised any formal or informal comments or concerns with the practice manager to ensure these were responded to. The practice manager was the designated lead for investigating and responding to patient complaints.

We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients. We found there was a system in place which ensured a timely response. The complaints procedure was displayed on the reception desk and this was also available as a large print version for patients with visual impairments.

We observed a comments and suggestions book in the waiting area which was available for patients to facilitate feedback.

We saw evidence of a complaints log and summary which included the outcome of investigations and communication with the patients concerned.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The practice had good governance arrangements with an effective management structure. The practice manager had implemented suitable arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks through the use of scheduled risk assessments and audits. There were relevant policies and procedures in place. These were all frequently reviewed and updated. Staff were aware of these policies and procedures and acted in line with them. We saw evidence of a staff signature log to confirm their understanding and compliance with practice policies.

There were weekly informal practice meetings, as well as more formal staff meetings every two to four weeks, where necessary, to discuss key governance issues. For example, we saw minutes from meetings where issues such as practice policies had been discussed. This facilitated an environment where improvement and continuous learning were supported.

Leadership, openness and transparency

The staff we spoke with described a transparent culture which encouraged candour, openness and honesty. Staff said that they felt comfortable about raising concerns with the dentists or practice manager. They felt they were listened to and responded to when they did so.

The practice aimed to provide consistently high-quality dental care in a relaxing and caring environment and to treat patients as individuals. This vision was advertised in the practice leaflet and we observed staff were committed to both maintaining and continuously improving the quality of the care provided. For example, staff were proactive in making changes to improve the practice and there was an open culture for learning and sharing information.

The staff we spoke with all told us they enjoyed their work and were well-supported by the management team. There was a system of staff appraisals to support staff in carrying out their roles to a high standard. The appraisals successfully identified staff training and career goals.

Learning and improvement

All staff were supported to pursue development opportunities. Staff had good access to training and the practice manager monitored staff training. We saw evidence that staff were working towards completing the required number of CPD hours to maintain their professional development in line with requirements set by the General Dental Council (GDC). However we found formal basic life support training had not been provided for one member of the reception team.

The dentists and dental nurses working at the practice were registered with the GDC. The GDC registers all dental care professionals to make sure they are appropriately qualified and competent to work in the United Kingdom.

The practice audited areas of their practice each year as part of a system of continuous improvement and learning. These included audits of dental care records, health and safety and infection control.

The audits included the outcome and actions arising from them to ensure improvements were made. For example, we saw evidence of a health and safety audit which was undertaken that identified improvements needed with the directional information signs for fire exits. Subsequently arrangements were made to increase the fire exit signage throughout the practice and we observed exit routes were clearly visible.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice gathered feedback from patients through the use of a patient satisfaction survey during the past year. The overwhelming majority of feedback had been positive. We noted that the practice acted on feedback from patients where they could. The practice manager analysed feedback received from staff and patients and shared this at the team meetings. Staff gave us an example of patient feedback related to selling dental products such as interdental brushes at the practice which the practice was in the process of implementing. This showed that the feedback had been used to improve patient's experiences of coming to the practice. Staff told us they felt confident about raising concerns or making suggestions.