

### Dr. Linda Powell

# Osgodby Dental Centre

### **Inspection Report**

131/133 Acklam Road Acklam Middlesbrough TS5 5HR Tel: 01642850011 Website: www.osgodby-dental.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 25 September 2018 Date of publication: 25/10/2018

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 25 September 2018 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

### **Our findings were:**

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Background**

Osgodby dental centre is in Middlesbrough and provides NHS and private treatment to adults and children.

There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces are available near the practice, including two designated spaces for blue badge holders.

The dental team includes six dentists (including the principal dentist), 12 dental nurses (one of whom is a trainee), a dental therapist, a practice manager and a receptionist. The practice has six treatment rooms.

### Summary of findings

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

On the day of inspection, we collected 40 CQC comment cards filled in by patients. These provided us with a positive view of the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with five dentists, nine dental nurses and the receptionist. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday 8am to 5.30pm

Thursday 8am to 7pm

Friday 8am to 2pm.

### Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available -apart from a child air mask and airways.
- The practice had suitable systems to help them manage risks. The provider needed to review their control measures for Legionella and their protocols for responding to significant events.
- The practice had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures. The process for obtaining photographic identification of staff required reviewing.

- · Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- The appointment system met patients' needs.
- The practice had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a
- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The practice had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the practice's recruitment policy and procedures to ensure accurate, complete and detailed records are maintained for all staff, in particular photographic identification.
- Review the practice's Legionella risk assessment and implement any recommended actions, taking into account the guidelines issued by the Department of Health in the Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices, and having regard to The Health and Social Care Act 2008: 'Code of Practice about the prevention and control of infections and related guidance.'
- Review the practice's system for recording, investigating and reporting incidents or significant events with a view to preventing further occurrences and ensuring that improvements are made as a result.
- Review the guidelines set out by the British Society for Disability and Oral Health when providing dental care in domiciliary settings such as care homes or in people's residence.

## Summary of findings

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment.

The provider needed to ensure the control measures for Legionella were in line with that suggested by the Legionella risk assessment.

They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve; the process for recording and reporting incidents was inconsistent.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed essential recruitment checks. We noted the processes for checking photographic identification of staff required improving.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies. We found two items of equipment were not present; these were ordered immediately.

No action



#### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as excellent, professional and of a high standard. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.

The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this.

The staff were involved in quality improvement initiatives, such as peer review, with other dentists in the region as part of its approach in providing high quality care. The practice was involved in training programmes for newly qualified dentists and for trainee dental nurses.

Dentists provided dental care in domiciliary settings such as care homes or in people's residence. They did not take medical emergency equipment and drugs to domiciliary visits nor was a risk assessment in place to mitigate the risk of not doing so.

### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.



No action



## Summary of findings

We received feedback about the practice from 40 people. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were kind, professional and extremely friendly.

They said that they were given helpful, honest explanations about dental treatment, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that staff took their individual needs into account and made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

#### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system was efficient and met patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.

Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for disabled patients and families with children. The practice had access to face to face interpreter services and had arrangements to help patients with sight loss.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.

#### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written typed and stored securely.

The practice monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.

No action



No action \



### Are services safe?

### **Our findings**

# Safety systems and processes (including staff recruitment, equipment & premises and Radiography (X-rays)).

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that all staff received safeguarding training to the highest level (three). Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns. The principal dentist was not aware of the need to notify the CQC of safeguarding referrals.

There was a system to highlight vulnerable patients on records, including children with child protection plans, adults where there were safeguarding concerns, people with a learning disability or a mental health condition, or who require other support such as with mobility or communication.

The practice staff were aware of the need to identify adults that were in other vulnerable situations, for example, those who were known to have experienced modern-day slavery or female genital mutilation.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff told us they felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

The dentists used rubber dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where the rubber dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, this was suitably documented in the dental care record and a risk assessment completed.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and also had checks in place for agency and locum staff. We looked at four staff recruitment records. These showed the practice followed legislation and their recruitment procedure apart from checking photographic identification for potential employees. We also found verbal references were not documented for some staff members, whilst others were. We discussed this with the principal dentist who told us they would ensure a more consistent approach.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances.

Records showed that fire detection equipment, such as smoke detectors and emergency lighting, and firefighting equipment, such as fire extinguishers, were regularly serviced. We were assured staff carried out regular tests on all equipment; this was not logged as recommended by their fire risk assessment. The principal dentist told us this oversight would be addressed.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

#### Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were up to date and reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The practice had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken and the practice staff used a "safer sharps" system.

### Are services safe?

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked. The practice also had a risk assessment in place in relation to trainee staff working in a clinical environment when the effectiveness of the vaccination was unknown.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance apart from a child's self-inflating bag and airways. These were ordered the following day and we received confirmation of this. Staff kept records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists and the dental therapist when they treated patients in line with GDC Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM01-05.

We saw evidence that the equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated and maintained, apart from the foil tests for the ultrasonic machines. The principal dentist assured us they would revisit the manufacturers' guidance in relation to these tests.

The practice had in place systems and protocols to ensure that any dental laboratory work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before the dental laboratory work was fitted in a patient's mouth.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. Water temperatures were being recorded daily; we observed the hot water had only reached 40-43 degrees rather than the recommended 55 degrees, over the last 12 months. This was not identified by the staff member undertaking these measures. We were told they had not undergone training in Legionella in line with HTM01-05 guidance. We spoke to the principal dentist about the need to revisit their legionella risk assessment and ensure those staff in charge of control measures undergo adequate training. The principal dentist also increased the thermostat to ensure the hot water temperature would reach 55 degrees from now on.

All recommendations were being actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was clean when we inspected and patients confirmed that this was usual.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentists how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance. We saw the practice kept detailed logs of all referrals.

### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

### Are services safe?

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

The practice stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

### Track record on safety

The practice had a good safety record.

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.

The staff were not aware of the Serious Incident Framework and did not record or report all incidents. For example, we

were told of an incident which affected patient safety. This was neither recorded as a significant event nor reported as required. We saw the practice maintained a folder in which other incidents were recorded. Incidents were discussed in staff meetings to reduce risk and support future learning.

### **Lessons learned and improvements**

The practice learned from and made improvements when things went wrong. For example, we noted there was one accident documented within the last 12 months relating to a sharps injury. This was addressed appropriately and shared with the whole team during staff meetings, in order to minimise recurrence.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

### Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

# **Our findings**

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental practitioners up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

The provider considered guidelines as set out by the British Society for Disability and Oral Health when providing dental care in domiciliary settings such as care homes or in people's residence. They did not take medical emergency equipment and drugs to domiciliary visits. A risk assessment had not been carried out to mitigate the risk of not taking these. We were assured this would be addressed prior to the next domiciliary visit.

The staff were involved in quality improvement initiatives including peer review as part of their approach in providing high quality care.

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for patients based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay. The practice was involved in providing fluoride varnish applications to school children in the local area. Dental nurses were trained in extended duties, including oral health instruction and fluoride application, in order to support this programme.

The dentists told us that where applicable they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

The practice was aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes available in supporting patients to live

healthier lives. They were participating in the Starting Well: A Smile4Life Initiative which aims to reduce oral health inequalities and improve oral health in children under the age of five.

We spoke with the dentists who described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcome of periodontal treatment. This involved preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and detailed charts of the patient's gum condition

Patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals to review their compliance and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

#### Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who may not be able to make informed decisions. Staff underwent dementia training and some members had become "dementia champions" who volunteer to make a positive difference to people living with dementia in their community.

The consent policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age can give consent for themselves. The staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

### **Monitoring care and treatment**

### Are services effective?

### (for example, treatment is effective)

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists and dental therapist assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured induction programme. We saw evidence of this for two dental nurses out of the four staff files we viewed. We were told the other two members of staff were given verbal structured inductions. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Staff told us they discussed training needs at annual appraisals and during clinical supervision. We saw

evidence of completed personal development plans and all staff were scheduled for their annual appraisals within the next few months. We saw evidence of how the practice addressed the training requirements of staff.

### **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

The practice had systems and processes to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with bacterial infections.

The practice also had systems and processes for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

The practice monitored all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

### Are services caring?

# **Our findings**

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were kind, caring and helpful. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully and appropriately. They were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding and they told us they could choose whether they saw a male or female dentist.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Information folders, patient survey results and thank you cards were available for patients to read.

### **Privacy and dignity**

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of reception and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

### Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the Accessible Information Standards (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given) and the requirements under the Equality Act:

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language. We saw contact details for these services were displayed at reception.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, for example, easy read materials were available.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example photographs, models and X-ray images.

### Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

### **Our findings**

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear on the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care.

The practice met the needs of more vulnerable patients, for example, by arranging appointments at times convenient to the patient and ensuring a sufficient appointment length was provided.

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice. Staff told us that they currently had some patients for whom they needed to make adjustments to enable them to receive treatment.

A disability access assessment was carried out however the principal dentist could not show us evidence of this. We saw how the practice considered various patient's needs by making reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. These included step free access, two ground floor treatment rooms and an accessible toilet with hand rails.

### Timely access to services

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, and included it in their practice information leaflet and on their website.

The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day.

Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. Appointments ran smoothly on the day of the inspection and patients were not kept waiting.

They took part in an emergency on-call arrangement with 111 out of hour's service.

The practice website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The practice had a complaints policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice information leaflet explained how to make a complaint.

The principal dentist was responsible for dealing with these. Staff told us they would tell the practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

The principal dentist told us they aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received within the last 12 months. These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

# Are services well-led?

# **Our findings**

### Leadership capacity and capability

The principal dentist was the overall leader of the practice. They had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care

They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

The principal dentist was very approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

#### **Culture**

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care. Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice. We observed staff were given advice and activities to support their well-being within work. For example, we saw a folder containing information on local Mind support centres and adult colouring books and pens for stress relief. Staff regularly participated in activities out of work to encourage team building and maintain healthy relationships within the work place.

The practice focused on the needs of patients.

The principal dentist acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. We discussed a recent patient safety incident which confirmed staff were aware of, and had systems to ensure compliance with, the requirements of the duty of candour.

Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.

#### **Governance and management**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The principal dentist had the overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The practice manager was supporting them in their role. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

# Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

The practice used comment cards and verbal comments to obtain staff and patients' views about the service.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included

### Are services well-led?

audits of dental care records, radiographs and infection prevention and control. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The dental nurses had regular reviews and appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folders.

Staff told us they completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually.

The General Dental Council also requires clinical staff to complete continuing professional development. Staff told us the practice provided support and encouragement for them to do so.