

### Portman Healthcare Limited

# Bath Dental Excellence

### **Inspection report**

29 Brock Street Bath BA1 2LN Tel: 01225422695 www.portmanhealthcare.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 16 August 2023 Date of publication: 12/09/2023

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 16 August 2023 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following 5 questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

### Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had ineffective systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment and the premises.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.
- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.

# Summary of findings

- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.
- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- There was generally leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved, supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The practice had information governance arrangements.

#### **Background**

Bath Dental Excellence is part of Portman Healthcare Limited, a dental group provider. The practice is in Bath and provides private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is step free access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces are available near the practice. The practice has made reasonable adjustments to support patients with access requirements.

The dental team includes 4 dentists with specialisms in dental implants, periodontics and orthodontics, 6 dental nurses, 3 dental hygienists, 4 receptionists, 1 treatment co-ordinator and 1 practice manager who was also the registered manager. The practice has 3 treatment rooms.

During the inspection we spoke 1 dental nurse, 1 receptionist, the registered manager and the group's quality lead. We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday - Friday 8:30am - 5:30pm

Saturday – 9am – 1pm, once a month

We identified regulations the provider is not complying with. They must:

- Establish effective systems and processes to ensure good governance in accordance with the fundamental standards of care.
- Ensure the practice's risk management systems is effective for monitoring and mitigating the various risks arising from the carrying on of the regulated activities. In particular, take action to mitigate risks to Legionella and fire.

Full details of the regulation the provider was not meeting are at the end of this report.

# Summary of findings

### The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

| Are services safe?                         | No action           | $\checkmark$ |
|--|---------------------|--------------|
| Are services effective?                    | No action           | $\checkmark$ |
| Are services caring?                       | No action           | $\checkmark$ |
| Are services responsive to people's needs? | No action           | $\checkmark$ |
| Are services well-led?                     | Requirements notice | ×            |

# Are services safe?

### **Our findings**

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children. The practice had appointed a safeguarding lead to oversee safeguarding awareness and training.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance. The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance. The practice had appointed an infection control lead to oversee awareness and training.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella, or other bacteria, developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment. The practice had appointed a legionella lead to oversee legionella management and water quality standards.

A Legionella risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. However, the last risk assessment carried out on 14/11/2022 had a number of actions that had not been completed; timescales for completion were within 3 months.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice appeared clean and there was an effective schedule in place to ensure it was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff, including for agency or locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use, maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured some of the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations. We saw the practice required some work to the building such as plastering of the walls, installation of central heating and replacement of the doors. The Registered Manager informed us the practice was a listed building so planning permission was required before any refurbishment work could be carried out. They assured us once this is granted; refurbishment of the building will be carried out.

A fire safety risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements. However, the last fire risk assessment carried out on 08/02/2023 had a number of actions that had not been completed.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and the required radiation protection information was available.

### **Risks to patients**

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance.

# Are services safe?

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year. If applicable; Immediate life support training (or basic life support training plus patient assessment, airway management techniques and automated external defibrillator training) was also completed by staff providing treatment to patients under sedation.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Patient care records were complete, legible, kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out.

### Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

The practice had systems to review and investigate incidents and accidents. The practice had a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

### **Our findings**

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw the provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance.

### Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

Staff were aware of and involved with national oral health campaigns and local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. They understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

#### **Monitoring care and treatment**

The practice kept detailed patient care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits six-monthly following current guidance.

#### **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

#### Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

The practice was a referral clinic for dental implants, periodontics and orthodontics and we saw staff monitored and ensured the dentists were aware of all incoming referrals.

# Are services caring?

### **Our findings**

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

On the day of inspection, we saw patient feedback from July 2023. Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

### **Privacy and dignity**

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

### Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentists explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options; these included for example X-ray images.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

## **Our findings**

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments, including ramp access for patients with access requirements.

The practice had carried out a disability access audit on 22/08/2022. However, there were still outstanding actions that had not been completed.

### Timely access to services

The practice displayed its opening hours and provided information on their website and patient information leaflet.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Staff took part in an emergency on-call arrangement with another local practice and patients were directed to the appropriate out of hours service.

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered an appointment in a timely manner. Staff told us the practice was always able to offer patients an urgent appointment on the same day. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.

## Are services well-led?

### **Our findings**

We found this practice was not providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations. We have told the provider to take action (see full details of this action in the Requirement Notices section at the end of this report). We will be following up on our concerns to ensure they have been put right by the provider.

### Leadership capacity and capability

The practice staff demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety. We found staff were open to discussion and feedback.

There was strong leadership with emphasis on peoples' safety and continually striving to improve.

Systems and processes were generally embedded, and staff worked well together. Most of the information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented. However, there were some gaps in the governance systems and the inspection highlighted a number of omissions. All the issues we had identified during the inspection had already been escalated by the Registered Manager to the group's property management team; it was the responsibility of the property management team to complete the outstanding actions. We noted action must be taken to the oversight of the leadership team to ensure that the practice's systems and processes were consistently followed.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

#### **Culture**

Staff could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs during practice team meetings and ongoing informal discussions. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

### **Governance and management**

Staff had clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a governance system which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

We saw there some processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

### **Appropriate and accurate information**

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of protecting patients' personal information.

### Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and demonstrated a commitment to acting on feedback.

Feedback from staff was obtained through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on where appropriate.

# Are services well-led?

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

The practice had systems and processes for learning, quality assurance, continuous improvement. These included audits of patient care records, disability access, radiographs and infection prevention and control. Staff kept records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements. However, the practice must take action to implement any recommendations and actions in the disability access audit, Legionella and fire risk assessments to ensure the quality and safety of the service.

# Requirement notices

## Action we have told the provider to take

The table below shows the legal requirements that were not being met. The provider must send CQC a report that says what action they are going to take to meet these requirements.

| Regulated activity   | Regulation   |
|--|--|
| Treatment of disease, disorder or injury Surgical procedures Diagnostic and screening procedures | Regulation 17 HSCA (RA) Regulations 2014 Good governance  Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014  Regulation 17 Good governance  Systems or processes must be established and operated effectively to ensure compliance with the requirements of the fundamental standards as set out in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.  How the Regulation was not being met |
|  | The registered person had systems or processes in place that were operating ineffectively in that they failed to enable the registered person to assess, monitor and improve the quality and safety of the services being provided. In particular:  Systems to ensure the recommendations and actions in the disability access audit, Legionella and fire risk assessments identified were acted on to mitigate risks were ineffective.      |