

Whitecross Dental Care Limited

Mydentist - Advanced Oral Health Centre -Clacton-on-Sea

Inspection Report

121-129 Old Road, Clacton – on sea, Essex. CO15 3AW Tel:01255470776 Website:www.mydentist.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 14 March 2019 Date of publication: 10/04/2019

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 14 March 2019 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Mydentist - Advanced Oral Health Centre - Clacton-on-Sea is in Clacton, Essex and provides 75% NHS and 25% private treatment to adults and children. The services are provided by two individually Care Quality Commission registered providers at this location. This report only relates to the provision of general dental care provided by Whitecross Dental Care Limited. An additional report is available in respect of the general dental care services which are registered under Petrie Tucker and Partners Limited.

The practice is located in two neighbouring buildings with two receptions and waiting areas. There is level access for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. There are some car parking spaces behind the practice. Alternatively, car parking spaces, including spaces for blue badge holders, are available in local car parks near the practice.

The dental team includes nine dentists, ten dental nurses and one trainee dental nurse, one hygienist, one treatment coordinator, one decontamination lead, one lead receptionist and an acting practice manager. In addition, there are two visiting dental implantologists who regularly attend the practice. The practice has ten treatment rooms.

The practice is owned by a company and as a condition of registration must have a person registered with the Care Quality Commission as the registered manager. Registered managers have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run. At the time of inspection there was no registered manager in post as required as a condition of registration. A registered manager is legally responsible for the delivery of services for which the practice is registered. In the absence of a registered manager, the lead regulatory officer and acting practice manager had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The acting practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Registered manager recruitment procedures were on-going.

On the day of inspection, we collected 21 CQC comment cards filled in by patients and spoke with two other patients.

During the inspection we spoke with five dentists, five dental nurses, the lead receptionist, the acting practice manager, the lead regulatory officer and the area development manager who attended from the provider's support centre. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open: Monday to Thursday from 8am to 8pm, Friday from 8 to 5pm and alternate Saturdays from 9am to 2pm.

Our key findings were:

- The practice was part of a large corporate group which had a support centre based in Manchester where support teams including human resources, IT, finance, health and safety, learning and development, clinical support and patient support services were based. These teams supported and offered expert advice and updates to the practice when required.
- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The practice staff had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk.
- The provider had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- The provider had thorough staff recruitment procedures. The practice had access to support from a dedicated human resources and recruitment team based within the company's support centre.
- Staff appraisals had not been completed.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff were providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- The practice had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.

- The practice asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The practice staff dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The practice staff had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

 Review the training, learning and development needs of individual staff members at appropriate intervals and ensure an effective process is established for the on-going assessment, supervision and appraisal of all staff.

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems and processes to provide safe care and treatment. They used learning from incidents and complaints to help them improve.

Staff received training in safeguarding and knew how to recognise the signs of abuse and how to report concerns.

Staff were qualified for their roles and the practice completed essential recruitment checks.

Premises and equipment were clean and properly maintained. The practice followed national guidance for cleaning, sterilising and storing dental instruments.

The practice had suitable arrangements for dealing with medical and other emergencies.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dentists assessed patients' needs and provided care and treatment in line with recognised guidance. Patients described the treatment they received as professional and thorough. The dentists discussed treatment with patients so they could give informed consent and recorded this in their records.

The practice had clear arrangements when patients needed to be referred to other dental or health care professionals.

The practice supported staff to complete training relevant to their roles and had systems to help them monitor this. The practice had processes in place to establish and confirm parental/legal responsibility when seeking consent for children and young people. However not all staff had a complete understanding of the processes in particular with regard to foster parents. Due to management changes we noted staff appraisals had not been completed. The management team confirmed staff training and appraisals would be reviewed with all staff.

No action



Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We received feedback about the practice from 23 people. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided. They told us staff were lovely, nice and welcoming.

They said that they were given excellent explanations and demonstrations about dental treatment, and said their dentist listened to them. Patients commented that they made them feel at ease, especially when they were anxious about visiting the dentist.

We saw that staff protected patients' privacy and were aware of the importance of confidentiality. Patients said staff treated them with dignity and respect.

No action



Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice's appointment system took account of patients' needs. Patients could get an appointment quickly if in pain.

Staff considered patients' different needs. This included providing facilities for patients with a disability and families with children. The practice had access to telephone or face to face interpreter services and had arrangements to help patients with sight or hearing loss.

The practice took patients views seriously. They valued compliments from patients and responded to concerns and complaints quickly and constructively.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

At the time of inspection there was no registered manager in post as required as a condition of registration. A registered manager is legally responsible for the management of services for which the practice is registered. The

The practice had arrangements to ensure the smooth running of the service. These included systems for the practice team to discuss the quality and safety of the care and treatment provided. There was a clearly defined management structure and staff felt supported and appreciated. In the absence of a registered manager, the lead regulatory officer and acting practice manager had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The acting practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Registered manager recruitment procedures were on-going.

The practice team kept complete patient dental care records which were, clearly written or typed and stored securely.

The provider monitored clinical and non-clinical areas of their work to help them improve and learn. This included asking for and listening to the views of patients and staff.

No action



No action 🐱



Are services safe?

Our findings

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays).

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The practice had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The acting practice manager understood the formal reporting pathways required following serious untoward incidents as detailed in the Reporting of Injuries Disease and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR).

The practice had a system to highlight vulnerable patients on records e.g. children with child protection plans, adults where there were safeguarding concerns, people with a learning disability or a mental health condition, or who require other support such as with mobility or communication.

The practice had a whistleblowing policy. Staff felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of recrimination.

The dentists used dental dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment.

The practice had a business continuity plan describing how the practice would deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice.

The practice had a staff recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at four staff recruitment records. These showed the practice followed their recruitment procedure.

We noted that clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances.

Records showed that fire detection equipment, such as smoke detectors and emergency lighting, were regularly tested and firefighting equipment, such as fire extinguishers, were regularly serviced.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment. They met current radiation regulations and had the required information in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

The practice had a cone beam computed tomography machine. We noted this had been decommissioned pending servicing and maintenance work. Staff had received training and appropriate safeguards were in place for patients and staff.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The practice had current employer's liability insurance

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken and was updated annually.

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support (BLS) every year. Immediate Life Support (ILS) training for sedation was also completed.

Are services safe?

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. Staff kept records of their checks to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

A dental nurse worked with the dentists and the dental hygienists when they treated patients in line with GDC Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The practice had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The practice had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

The practice had systems in place to ensure that any work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed. Not all the staff were fully aware of the system. We discussed this with the management team who confirmed a review of staff training would be undertaken.

The practice had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All recommendations had been actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was visibly clean when we inspected.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

The practice carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were accurate, complete, and legible and were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) protection requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required. We found medicine labels did not contain the name and address of the practice. Some medicines such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medicines were dispensed to patients as split packets. The practice did not ensure advisory leaflets were provided for each individual dispensed these medicines. We signposted the practice to appropriate guidance which included that from the British Dental Association on prescribing and medicines management which gives guidance on splitting bulk medicine packs. The practice took immediate action to correct these procedures during the inspection.

The practice stored and kept records of NHS prescriptions as described in current guidance.

The dentists were aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out annually. The most recent audit completed in March 2019 demonstrated the dentists were following current guidelines.

Are services safe?

Track record on safety and Lessons learned and improvements

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. The practice monitored and reviewed incidents. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements.

We noted the incidents we reviewed were investigated, documented and discussed with the rest of the dental practice team to prevent such occurrences happening

again in the future. Practice meeting minutes we viewed showed that any unusual events or incidents were discussed to ensure staff were aware and any training needs were identified.

There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons identified themes and acted to improve safety in the practice.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. We saw they were shared with the team and acted upon if required.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental practitioners up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

The practice offered dental implants. These were placed by the visiting specialists who had undergone appropriate post-graduate training in this speciality. The provision of dental implants was in accordance with national guidance.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentists told us they prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for children and adults based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The dentists told us that where applicable they discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

The practice was aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes available in supporting patients to live healthier lives. For example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The dentist described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcome of periodontal treatment. This involved preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and detailed charts of the patient's gum condition. We noted from our review of patient records that where patients had direct access to the hygienist and had on-going high levels of tooth decay, there was no system in place to ensure they were referred to the dentists. We discussed this with the management team and following the inspection the practice had put systems in place to ensure patients were overseen by a dentist.

Patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentists told us they gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age may give consent for themselves. The staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly. The practice had processes in place to establish and confirm parental/legal responsibility when seeking consent for children and young people. However not all staff had a complete understanding of the processes in particular with regard to foster parents. The management team confirmed staff training would be reviewed with all staff.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentists assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

We saw that the practice audited patients' dental care records to check that the dentists recorded the necessary information.

The practice carried out conscious sedation for patients who were nervous. This included people who were very nervous of dental treatment and those who needed complex or lengthy treatment. The practice had systems to help them do this safely. These were in accordance with guidelines published by the Royal College of Surgeons and Royal College of Anaesthetists in 2015.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

The practice's systems included checks before and after treatment, emergency equipment requirements, medicines management, sedation equipment checks, and staff availability and training. They also included patient checks and information such as consent, monitoring during treatment, discharge and post-operative instructions.

The practice assessed patients appropriately for sedation. The dental care records showed that patients having sedation had important checks carried out first. These included a detailed medical history and an assessment of health using the American Society of Anaesthesiologists classification system in accordance with current guidelines. We noted records of blood pressure checks undertaken at assessment were not clearly recorded or dated to ensure the practice had a baseline reading before any treatment. We discussed this with the management team who confirmed this would be reviewed with all sedation staff.

The operator-sedationist was supported by a suitably trained second individual. The name of this individual was recorded in the patients' dental care record.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

The management team confirmed that due to change of managers and restructuring staff annual appraisals had not been undertaken recently. We were advised that the process for these was under review and all staff would receive an annual appraisal in the next months.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

Dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

The practice had systems and processes to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with dental infections.

The practice also had systems and processes for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

The practice monitored all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

The practice was a referral clinic for dental implant treatments and procedures under sedation. They monitored and ensured the dentists were aware of all incoming referrals daily.

Are services caring?

Our findings

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were helpful, polite and very nice. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully, appropriately and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding and they told us they could choose whether they saw a male or female dentist.

Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

We looked at feedback left on the NHS Choices website. We noted that the practice had received two and a half out of five stars overall based on patient experience on ten occasions. One review included reference to the kindness of staff when the patient felt nervous and the efficient treatment they received to repair decay under a crowned tooth. Other reviewers commented on the gentle, efficient treatment they received. Whilst others stated that they were unhappy with the service, we noted that the practice had responded to each comment, both positive and negative; where concerns were raised the practice had apologised to the responder and provided a contact email address and a telephone number for the patient to contact them directly and discuss their concerns with a member of the team.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. The layout of the two receptions and waiting areas provided privacy when reception staff were

dealing with patients. Staff told us that if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room. The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the

requirements under the Equality Act and Accessible Information Standards. (A requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given):

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not speak or understand English. Staff also spoke various languages including Polish and Bulgarian.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand and communication aids and easy read materials could be obtained, if required.

The practice gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. A dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

The dentist described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example, X-ray viewing, verbal, pictorial and written information. Oral health instruction and post-operative instructions were provided to patients when applicable.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff understood the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care. Patients who were living with long term conditions such as dementia or mental health had markers placed on their records to inform staff of their conditions.

Patients described their levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice. Appointment reminders were issued by telephone, text message and email prior to patient attendance. One patient described the wait they experienced to book an advanced appointment, however they commented they had been given an on the day appointment for an urgent issue that day.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments for patients with disabilities. This included step free access, hearing loops at both reception areas, information in a larger font if required, a magnifying glass, reading glasses and accessible toilets with hand rails and a call bells. Treatment rooms were all located on the ground floor and could accommodate wheelchair users and those with pushchairs. There was a lowered area of the reception desk for when wheelchair users or people of a shorter stature were speaking with reception staff.

A Disability Access audit had been completed and an action plan formulated to continually improve access for patients.

Timely access to services

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours in the premises, and included it in their practice information leaflet and on their website. The practice provided extended opening hours from Monday to Thursday from 8am to 8pm and alternate Saturdays from 9am to 2pm.

The practice had an efficient appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Staff told us that patients who requested an urgent appointment were seen the same day.

Patients told us they had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed. We saw that where patients were kept waiting on the day of the inspection staff ensured they were made aware of the delays and offered alternative arrangements.

They took part in an emergency on-call arrangement with the NHS 111 out of hour's service.

The practice website, information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The practice had a policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. The practice had information displayed on their noticeboard that explained how to make a complaint.

The acting practice manager was responsible for dealing with complaints. Staff would tell the acting practice manager about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients could receive a quick response.

The acting practice manager aimed to settle complaints in-house and told us they would invite patients to speak with them in person to discuss these, if appropriate. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the previous 12 months. We noted where a high number of complaints had been received regarding treatment provided from one clinician, the provider had taken responsibility for oversight of these and had put systems in place to review complainants' treatment packages.

These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Leadership capacity and capability

The practice was part of a large corporate group which had a support centre based in Manchester where support teams including human resources, IT, finance, health and safety, learning and development, clinical support and patient support services were based. These teams supported and offered expert advice and updates to the practice when required.

The leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care. The leaders demonstrated they had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.

The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy If applicable

There was a clear vision and set of values. The statement of purpose included the provision of high quality dental care with clear and helpful advice to patients. This included a commitment to the professional and personal development of staff.

The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice with some staff having worked together for over 30 years.

The practice focused on the needs of patients. We saw the provider took effective action to deal with poor performance.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and

complaints. For example; following a high number of complaints received regarding treatment received from a previous dentist, the practice had undertaken a plan of action to review the dental treatment received by each of these patients. A dental implantologist had been recruited by the provider and where necessary was in the process of undertaking any repairs or necessary treatment required to remedy any poor treatment. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.

Governance and management

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

In the absence of a registered manager, the lead regulatory officer and acting practice manager had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice. The acting practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. Registered manager recruitment procedures were on-going. Staff knew the management arrangements and their roles and responsibilities.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Are services well-led?

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

The practice used patient surveys, comment cards and verbal comments to obtain staff and patients' views about the service. We saw examples of feedback from patients the practice had acted on.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through meetings, surveys, and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service. We were informed that as a result of staff feedback appraisals would be re-introduced and staff would be better informed of feedback from safeguarding events.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included

audits of dental care records, radiographs and infection prevention and control. There was scope to ensure complaints were audited to ensure any trends or training needs were identified. They had clear records of the results of these audits and the resulting action plans and improvements.

The acting practice manager showed a commitment to learning and improvement and valued the contributions made to the team by individual members of staff.

Due to changes in management at the practice in the past year we were advised that staff annual appraisals had lapsed. The management team confirmed these were in the process of being reinstated and would be undertaken for all staff across the practice in the next few months. We were told clinical staff were supported by the organisations clinical support manager and we noted during our inspection that dentists often took the opportunity to seek peer support and guidance from other practitioners during patients' treatment.

Staff completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually. The provider supported and encouraged staff to complete CPD.