

# Annandale Medical Centre

## **Quality Report**

The Elms High Street Potters Bar Hertfordshire EN6 5DA

Tel: 01707644451

Website: www.annandalesurgery.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 23 November 2016 and 14

December 2016

Date of publication: 08/03/2017

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

## Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

## Contents

Summary of this inspection	Page
Overall summary	2
The five questions we ask and what we found	4
The six population groups and what we found	7
What people who use the service say	11
Areas for improvement	11
Detailed findings from this inspection	
Our inspection team	12
Background to Annandale Medical Centre	12
Why we carried out this inspection	12
How we carried out this inspection	12
Detailed findings	14

## Overall summary

# **Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice**

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Annandale Medical Centre on 23 November and 14 December 2016. Overall the practice is rated as good.

- There was an open and transparent approach to safety and an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.
- Staff assessed patients' needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance. However a summary of the care plan following such assessments was not given to patients with mental health needs or dementia.
- Staff had been trained to provide them with the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- All staff had received an appraisal within the last 12 months.

- Patients said they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect and they were involved in their care and decisions about their treatment.
- Information about services and how to complain was available and easy to understand.
   Improvements were made to the quality of care as a result of complaints and concerns.
- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a GP and there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- The practice had systems to support carers.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- There was a leadership structure and staff felt supported by management.
- The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted on.
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour.

The areas where the provider should make improvement are:

- Consider giving a copy of the care plan to patients with mental health needs and dementia.
- Develop a system to follow up actions arising from discussion with the health visitor about children on child protection plans.
- Further develop the five year forward plan with details of intended actions and milestones.

**Professor Steve Field (CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP)**Chief Inspector of General Practice

## The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

#### Are services safe?

The practice is rated as good for providing safe services.

- There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.
- Lessons were shared to make sure action was taken to improve safety in the practice.
- When things went wrong patients received support, information, and a written apology. They were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.
- Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.

#### Are services effective?

The practice is rated as good for providing effective services.

- Data from the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) showed patient outcomes were at or above average compared to the national average. For example, The percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood pressure reading in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2015 to 31/03/2016) showed good control was 87% compared to the CCG average of 77% and the national average of 78%.
- Staff assessed needs and delivered care in line with current evidence based guidance. However a summary of the care plan following such assessments was not given to patients with mental health needs or dementia.
- Clinical audits demonstrated quality improvement.
- Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.
- There was evidence of appraisals and personal development plans for all staff.
- Staff worked with other health care professionals to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs.

#### Are services caring?

The practice is rated as good for providing caring services.

Good







- Data from the national GP patient survey showed patients showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. For example 98% of patients said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw compared to the CCG average of 93% and the national average of 92%.
- Patients said they were involved in decisions about their care and treatment.
- Information for patients about the services available was easy to understand and accessible.
- We saw staff treated patients with kindness and respect, and maintained patient and information confidentiality.

#### Are services responsive to people's needs?

The practice is rated as good for providing responsive services.

- The practice reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with NHS England and NHS Herts Valleys Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to secure improvements to services where these were identified. For example the practice was developing a business plan to locally manage needs of patients with multiple long-term conditions who used four or more medicines and needed frequent hospital appointments (called patients with multimorbidity) following a review of a recently published NICE guideline.
- Patients said they found it easy to make an appointment with a GP and there was continuity of care, with urgent appointments available the same day.
- Patient satisfaction with telephone access to appointments was better than CCG and national averages. For example 89% of patients said they could get through easily to the practice by phone compared to CCG average of 78% and the national average of 73%.
- The practice had good facilities and was well equipped to treat patients and meet their needs.
- Information about how to complain was available and easy to understand and evidence showed the practice responded quickly to issues raised. Learning from complaints was shared with staff and other stakeholders as appropriate.

#### Are services well-led?

The practice is rated as good for being well-led.

 The practice had a clear vision to deliver high quality evidence based care through patient involvement and promoting excellence in staff training. Good





- There was a leadership structure and staff felt supported by management. The practice had a number of policies and procedures to govern activity and held regular governance meetings.
- There was a governance framework which supported the delivery of good quality care. This included arrangements to monitor and improve quality and identify risk.
- The provider was aware of and complied with the requirements of the duty of candour. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty. The practice had systems in place for notifiable safety incidents and ensured this information was shared with staff to ensure appropriate action was taken.
- The practice proactively sought feedback from staff and patients, which it acted on. The patient participation group was active.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels.

## The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

## Older people

The practice is rated as good for the care of older people.

- The practice offered proactive, personalised care to meet the needs of the older people in its population.
- All patients over 75 had a named accountable GP.
- All these patients were offered an over 75s health check.
- The practice had identified 2% of the frailest patients at high risk of admissions to hospital (patients with multiple complex needs, and involving multiple agencies) and worked with community services including the Home First (which helps people stay well and independent) and the Community Navigator (which aids patients living at home with additional social support) in planning support and services.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older people, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.
- The practice supported a local care home and visited weekly to carry out a ward round.
- The practice supported many patients in warden-aided accommodation and work closely with the warden to prioritise home visits when needed or offer telephone advice.
- The practice maintained a register of housebound patients and visited them at least annually.

#### People with long term conditions

The practice is rated as good for the care of people with long-term conditions.

- Nursing staff supported by GPs had lead roles in chronic disease management and patients at risk of hospital admission were identified as a priority.
- There was a system to identify patients at risk of hospital admission that had attended A&E or the out of hours service and these patients were regularly reviewed to help them manage their condition at home.
- Performance for diabetes related indicators were comparable to the CCG and national average. For example, the percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood glucose reading showed good control in the in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2015 to 31/03/2016), was 86%, compared to the CCG average of 77% and thenational average of 78%.

Good



- Longer appointments and home visits were available when
- All these patients had a named GP and a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being
- For those patients with the most complex needs, the named GP worked with relevant health and care professionals to deliver a multidisciplinary package of care.

## Families, children and young people

The practice is rated as good for the care of families, children and young people.

- There were systems in place to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of A&E attendances.
- Immunisation rates were relatively high for all standard childhood immunisations.
- Patients told us that children and young people were treated in an age-appropriate way and were recognised as individuals, and we saw evidence to confirm this.
- The practice's uptake for the cervical screening programme was 82%, which was comparable to the CCG average of 82% and the national average of 81%.
- Appointments were available outside of school hours and the premises were suitable for children and babies.
- The practice provided a variety of health promotion information leaflets and resources for this population group.
- The practice offered referrals to family planning and related screening such as chlamydia screening.

## Working age people (including those recently retired and students)

The practice is rated as good for the care of working-age people (including those recently retired and students).

- The needs of the working age population, those recently retired and students had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- The practice was proactive in offering online services as well as a full range of health promotion and screening that reflects the needs for this age group.

Good





- This population were given priority appointments focussed on early morning and late afternoon.
- The practice opened each Saturday from 8.30am till 12 noon.
- The practice had enrolled in the Electronic Prescribing Service (EPS). This service enabled GPs to send prescriptions electronically to a pharmacy of the patient's choice.

#### People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

The practice is rated as good for the care of people whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, and those with a learning disability. The practice offered longer appointments for patients with a learning disability.
- The practice regularly worked with other health care professionals in the case management of vulnerable patients.
- The practice informed vulnerable patients about how to access support groups and voluntary organisations.
- The practice held regular health visitor liaison and multi-disciplinary team meetings to discuss the care needs of specific patients.
- The practice held regular review meetings involving district nurses, GP's and the local palliative care nurses for people that require end of life care and those on the palliative care register.
- Staff knew how to recognise signs of abuse in vulnerable adults and children. Staff were aware of their responsibilities regarding information sharing, documentation of safeguarding concerns and how to contact relevant agencies in normal working hours and out of hours.
- The practice identified patients who were also carers and signposted them to appropriate support. The practice had identified 122 patients as carers (approximately 2% of the practice list). The practice had identified a carer's champion who provided information and directed carers to the various avenues of support available to them.
- The practice offered carers health checks and flu vaccinations.

## People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

The practice is rated as good for the care of people experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia).

 84% of patients diagnosed with dementia had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the last 12 months, which was comparable to the national average.

Good





- The practice offered annual reviews to all patients on the mental health register which included physical checks.
- The practice regularly worked with multi-disciplinary teams in the case management of patients experiencing poor mental health, including those with dementia.
- The practice carried out advance care planning for patients with dementia.
- The practice had told patients experiencing poor mental health about how to access support groups and voluntary organisations including the community drugs and alcohol team.
- Patients could access the local Wellbeing Team provided by the local community mental health trust at the practice.
- The practice had a system in place to follow up patients who had attended A&E where they may have been experiencing poor mental health.
- Staff had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and dementia.

## What people who use the service say

The national GP patient survey results were published in July 2016. The results showed the practice was performing in line with national averages. There were 224 survey forms distributed and 100 had been returned. This represented 45% return rate (1% of the practice's patient list).

- 89% of patients found it easy to get through to this practice by phone compared with the CCG average of 78% and a national average of 73%.
- 77% of patients were able to get an appointment to see or speak to someone the last time they tried compared with the CCG average of 79% and a national average of 76%.
- 94% of patients described the overall experience of this GP practice as good compared with the CCG average of 89% and a national average of 85%.
- 98% of patients said they would recommend this GP practice to someone who has just moved to the local area compared with the CCG average of 85% and a national average of 80%.

As part of our inspection we also asked for CQC comment cards to be completed by patients prior to our inspection. All of the 32 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were positive about the care experienced. Patients felt the practice offered a kind caring and helpful service. They had felt reassured by the manner in which care was provided in an understanding and respectful way taking account of their needs. One comment card noted the extended wait to see the GP when attending their appointment.

We spoke with five patients during the inspection. They also told us they were satisfied with the care provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected. Patient comments also noted that staff had responded sympathetically, had given them enough time and were respected and listened to by GPs.

## Areas for improvement

#### **Action the service SHOULD take to improve**

- Consider giving a copy of the care plan to patients with mental health needs and dementia.
- Develop a system to follow up actions arising from discussion with the health visitor about children on child protection plans.
- Further develop the five year forward plan with details of intended actions and milestones.



# Annandale Medical Centre

**Detailed findings** 

## Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC Lead Inspector. The team also included a GP specialist advisor.

# Background to Annandale Medical Centre

Annandale Medical Centre situated at the Elms, High Street, Potters Bar, Hertfordshire is a GP practice which provides primary medical care for approximately 7,800 patients living in Potters Bar and the surrounding areas of South Mimms, Brookmans Park, and Welham Green.

Annandale Medical Centre provides primary care services to local communities under a General Medical Services (GMS) contract, which is a nationally agreed contract between general practices and NHS England. The practice population is predominantly white British along with a small ethnic population of Asian Afro Caribbean and Eastern European origin.

The practice has four GPs partners (two female and two male) and two salaried GPs (one female and one female). There are two practice nurses. The nursing team is supported by two health care assistants. There is a practice manager who is supported by a team of administrative and reception staff. The local NHS trust provides health visiting and community nursing services to patients at this practice.

The practice provides training to doctors studying to become GPs (who are called GP Registrars).

The practice operates out of a purpose built building which it shares with another GP Practice. There is a car park outside the surgery with adequate disabled parking available.

The practice is open Monday to Friday from 8am to 6pm. On Saturday morning the practice is open between 8.30am and 12 noon. There are a variety of access routes including telephone appointments, on the day appointments and advance pre bookable appointments.

When the practice is closed services are provided by Herts Urgent Care via the 111 service.

# Why we carried out this inspection

We carried out a comprehensive inspection of this service under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. The inspection was planned to check whether the provider is meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008, to look at the overall quality of the service, and to provide a rating for the service under the Care Act 2014.

# How we carried out this inspection

Before inspecting, we reviewed a range of information we hold about the practice and asked other organisations to share what they knew. We carried out an announced inspection 23 November and 14 December 2016.

During our inspection we:

# **Detailed findings**

- Spoke with a range of staff including the GPs, nursing staff, administration and reception staff and spoke with patients who used the service.
- Observed how patients were being assisted.
- Reviewed comment cards where patients and members of the public shared their views and experiences of the service.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

We also looked at how well services were provided for specific groups of people and what good care looked like for them. The population groups are:

- Older people
- People with long-term conditions
- Families, children and young people
- Working age people (including those recently retired and students)
- People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable
- People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)

Please note that when referring to information throughout this report, for example any reference to the Quality and Outcomes Framework data, this relates to the most recent information available to the CQC at that time.



## Are services safe?

# **Our findings**

#### Safe track record and learning

There was an effective system in place for reporting and recording significant events.

- The staff we spoke knew the reporting process used at the practice and there was a recording form available. Staff would inform the practice manager or a GP of any incidents. The incident form supported the recording of notifiable incidents under the duty of candour. (The duty of candour is a set of specific legal requirements that providers of services must follow when things go wrong with care and treatment).
- We saw evidence that when things went wrong with care and treatment, patients were informed of the incident, received support, information, a written apology and were told about any actions to improve processes to prevent the same thing happening again.
- The practice carried out a thorough analysis of the significant events. There was a consistent approach to investigations.

We reviewed safety records, incident reports, national patient safety alerts and minutes of meetings where these were discussed. For example, the practice had strengthened their process for minor surgery procedures following an investigation and ensured appropriate support staff were available to assist during minor surgery.

The practice had a process in place to act on alerts that may affect patient safety, for example from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). We reviewed a safety alert related to a medicine used to treat depression and found that the practice had acted on the recommendation to be aware of potential life threatening side effects if this medicine was used in combination with certain other medicines.

#### Overview of safety systems and processes

The practice had clearly defined and embedded systems, processes and practices in place to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse, which included:

 Arrangements were in place to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. These arrangements reflected relevant legislation and local requirements. Policies were accessible to all staff. The policies clearly outlined who to contact for further guidance if staff had concerns about a patient's welfare. There were separate GP leads for safeguarding adults and children. The GPs provided reports, attended safeguarding meetings and shared information with other agencies where necessary. There were regular meetings with the health visitor to discuss patients who were on the child protection register. While follow up actions were recorded within individual patient records we found the practice did not have a diary system to remind them of such actions. Staff demonstrated they understood their responsibilities. For example we saw that staff had referred a concern about a child with suspected abuse to the local authority and Police. Subsequently we saw that the practice had contributed to a protection plan for the child concerned. Staff had received the appropriate level of safeguarding training for their role. GPs were trained to the appropriate level to manage child (level 3) and adult safeguarding.

- A notice in the waiting and clinical rooms advised patients that chaperones were available if required.
   Nurses who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- The practice maintained appropriate standards of cleanliness and hygiene. We observed the premises to be clean and tidy. Hand wash facilities, including soap dispensers were available throughout the practice.
   There were appropriate processes in place for the management of sharps (needles) and clinical waste. The practice nurse was the infection control clinical lead who liaised with the local infection prevention team to keep up to date with best practice. There was an infection control protocol in place and staff had received up to date training. The practice undertook six monthly and annual infection control audits. We saw action had been taken following such audits.
- The arrangements for managing medicines, including emergency medicines and vaccines, in the practice kept patients safe (including obtaining, prescribing, recording, handling, storing, security and disposal).
   Processes were in place for handling repeat prescriptions. There was a system for medication reviews which was carried out either six monthly or annually depending on review needs. The practice



## Are services safe?

carried out regular medicines audits, with the support of the NHS Herts Valleys CCG medicines management team to ensure prescribing was in line with best practice guidelines for safe prescribing. For example, the practice had worked with the CCG in ensuring the prescribing of antibacterial medicines were line with current guidelines.

- We reviewed the system in place to assess and manage risks to patients on high risk medicines. The practice operated a system which ensured patients were monitored to ensure they had the necessary checks including any blood tests to keep them safe.
- Blank prescription forms and pads were securely stored and there were systems in place to monitor their use.
   Patient Group Directions (PGDs) had been adopted by the practice to allow nurses to administer medicines in line with legislation. The Health Care Assistants were trained to administer vaccines and medicines against a patient specific prescription or direction from a prescriber.
- We reviewed three personnel files and found appropriate recruitment checks had been undertaken prior to employment. For example, proof of identification, references, qualifications, registration with the appropriate professional body and the appropriate checks through the Disclosure and Barring Service.

## **Monitoring risks to patients**

Risks to patients were assessed and well managed.

 There were procedures in place for monitoring and managing risks to patient and staff safety. There was a health and safety policy available. The practice had up to date fire risk assessments and carried out regular fire drills. All electrical equipment was checked to ensure the equipment was safe to use and clinical equipment was checked to ensure it was working properly. The practice had risk assessments in place to monitor safety of the premises such as control of substances hazardous to health infection control and legionella (Legionella is a term for a particular bacterium which can contaminate water systems in buildings. There were a variety of other risk assessments for example those related to display screen equipment (DSE), managing violence at work and lone working.

 There was a rota system in place for the different staffing groups to ensure enough staff were on duty. Practice staff covered for each other during times of annual leave

## Arrangements to deal with emergencies and major incidents

The practice had adequate arrangements in place to respond to emergencies and major incidents.

- There was an instant messaging system on the computers and panic buttons in all the consultation and treatment rooms and at reception which alerted staff to any emergency.
- All staff received annual basic life support training and there were emergency medicines available in the treatment room.
- The practice had a defibrillator available on the premises and oxygen with adult and children's masks.
- Emergency medicines were easily accessible to staff in a secure area of the practice and all staff knew of their location. All the medicines we checked were in date and stored securely.
- The practice had a comprehensive business continuity plan in place for major incidents such as power failure or building damage. The plan included emergency contact numbers for staff.



## Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

# **Our findings**

#### **Effective needs assessment**

The practice assessed needs and delivered care in line with relevant and current evidence based guidance and standards, including National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) best practice guidelines.

- The practice had systems in place to keep all clinical staff up to date. Staff had access to guidelines from NICE and used this information to deliver care and treatment that met patients' needs. The practice had a process to summarise clinical guidelines and pathways. Key points of the guidance and changes in practice were then discussed during regular clinical meetings.
- The practice monitored that these guidelines were followed through risk assessments, audits and random sample checks of patient records. For example the practice had reviewed the use of novel oral anticoagulant (NOAC) medicines (which are an alternative to warfarin the use of which has been limited by the need for regular laboratory monitoring) to ensure they followed local guidelines.
- Clinical staff told us that they used the templates on the electronic system to assist with the assessment and monitoring of patients with long term conditions such as diabetes, COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), dementia and mental health. We saw that a summary of the care plan following such assessments was given to the patient except for patients with mental health needs or dementia.
- The practice was the second highest within the local CCG in detecting patients with atrial fibrillation (a heart condition that causes an irregular and often abnormally fast heart rate) which if not treated could lead to other health problems such as dizziness, shortness of breath and heart palpitations.

# Management, monitoring and improving outcomes for people

The practice used the information collected for the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) and performance against national screening programmes to monitor outcomes for patients. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice). The most recent published results were 100% of the total number of points available.

Data from 2015/2016 showed other QOF targets to be similar to local and national averages:

Performance for diabetes related indicators was comparable to the Herts Valleys Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and national averages. For example:

• For example, the percentage of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last blood glucose reading showed good control in the in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2015 to 31/03/2016), was 86%, compared to the CCG average of 77% and thenational average of 78%. Exception reporting for this indicator was 18% compared to a CCG average of 12% and the national average of 13%. (Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients are unable to attend a review meeting or certain medicines cannot be prescribed because of side effects).

Performance for mental health related indicators was comparable to the national average.

For example, the percentage of patients with diagnosed psychoses who had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the record, in the preceding 12 months (01/04/2015 to 31/03/2016) was 93% where the CCG average was 92% and the national average was 89%. Exception reporting for this indicator was 12% compared to a CCG average of 10% and national average of 11%.

We reviewed the exception reporting and found that the practice had made every effort to ensure appropriate decision making including prompting patients to attend for the relevant monitoring and checks.

There was evidence of quality improvement including clinical audit.

- We looked at five clinical audits undertaken in the past two years; three of these were completed audits where the improvements made were implemented and monitored. The practice participated in local audits, national benchmarking, accreditation, peer review and research.
- Findings were used by the practice to improve services.
   For example a reaudit of patients prescribed a certain medicine to treat type 2 diabetes had shown better



## Are services effective?

## (for example, treatment is effective)

adherence to current NICE guidelines compared to the initial audit and inappropriate use of this medicine had been either stopped or had a valid reason for continued treatment.

## **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to deliver effective care and treatment.

- The practice had an induction programme for all newly appointed staff. This covered such topics as safeguarding, infection prevention and control, fire safety, health and safety and confidentiality.
- The practice could demonstrate how they ensured role-specific training and updating for relevant staff. For example, for those reviewing patients with long-term conditions such as diabetes, COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) asthma and complex ear care.
- Staff administering vaccines and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training which had included an assessment of competence. Staff who administered vaccines could demonstrate how they stayed up to date with changes to the immunisation programmes, for example by access to on line resources and discussion at practice meetings.
- The learning needs of staff were identified through a system of appraisals, meetings and reviews of practice development needs. Staff had access to appropriate training to meet their learning needs and to cover the scope of their work. This included ongoing support, one-to-one meetings, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and facilitation and support for revalidating GPs. All staff had received an appraisal within the last 12 months.
- Staff received training that included: safeguarding, fire safety awareness, basic life support and information governance. They had access to and made use of e-learning training modules and in-house training as well as educational meetings which occurred twice monthly.
- The GP registrar (doctors studying to become GPs) told us that they were well supported by the GPs other

clinical staff and by the whole practice team. They told us that they were given protected time to debrief after each of their consultation session to consolidate their learning and to gain broad experience of patient care.

## **Coordinating patient care and information sharing**

The information needed to plan and deliver care and treatment was available to relevant staff in a timely and accessible way through the practice's patient record system and their intranet system.

- This included care and risk assessments, care plans, medical records and investigation and test results.
- The practice shared relevant information with other services in a timely way, for example when referring patients with palliative care needs to other services including with the out of hours service and community nursing services.
- There was a process to communicate with the district nurse and health visitor. The pathology service were able to share patient clinical information and results electronically. There was a system to review patients that had accessed the NHS 111 service overnight and those that had attended the A&E department for emergency care.
- There was an information sharing system to review patients attending Herts Urgent Care.

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to understand and meet the range and complexity of patients' needs and to assess and plan on-going care and treatment. This included when patients moved between services, including when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. Meetings took place with other health care professionals on a regular basis when care plans were routinely reviewed and updated for patients with complex needs. This included close working relationships with the Community Matron, the Rapid Response team (to reduce hospital admissions and to support the provision of appropriate care in patient's own home) and the Community Navigator (a scheme to aid patients living at home with additional social support). For example the practice had identified 2% of the frailest patients at high risk of admissions to hospital (patients with multiple complex needs, and involving multiple agencies) and worked with community services in planning support and care.



## Are services effective?

## (for example, treatment is effective)

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

Staff sought patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Staff understood the relevant consent and decision-making requirements of legislation and guidance, including the Mental Capacity Act 2005.
- When providing care and treatment for children and young people, staff carried out assessments of capacity to consent in line with relevant guidance.
- Where a patient's mental capacity to consent to care or treatment was unclear the GP or practice nurse assessed the patient's capacity and, recorded the outcome of the assessment.
- Signed consent forms were used for minor surgery and scanned into the electronic patient record.

## Supporting patients to live healthier lives

The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support. For example:

- Patients receiving end of life care, carers and those at risk of developing a long-term condition, those patients with mental health problems and patients with learning difficulties. Patients were offered regular health reviews and signposted to relevant support services.
- We saw a variety of health promotion information leaflets and resources both in the practice and on their website. For example, on smoking cessation sexual health and immunisations.
- The practice provided a variety of health promotion information leaflets and resources for children and young people for example the provision of chlamydia testing.

- The practice's uptake for the cervical screening programme was 82%, which was comparable to the CCG average of 82% and the national average of 81%. There was a policy to offer reminders for patients who did not attend for their cervical screening test. There were systems in place to ensure results were received for all samples sent for the cervical screening programme and the practice followed up women who were referred as a consequence of abnormal results.
- The practice also encouraged its patients to attend national screening programmes for bowel and breast cancer screening.

The latest results showed:

- 66% of patients attended for bowel screening within six months of invitation compared to the CCG average of 59% and the national average of 58%.
- 82% attended for breast screening within six months of invitation compared to the CCG average of 72% and the national average of 73%.

Childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given were comparable to CCG averages. For example, childhood immunisation rates for the vaccinations given to under two year olds ranged from 89% to 96% (CCG average: 94% to 97%) and five year olds from 91% to 100% (CCG average: 92% to 96%).

Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks. These included health checks for new patients and NHS health checks for patients aged 40–74. Appropriate follow-ups for the outcomes of health assessments and checks were made, where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.



# Are services caring?

## **Our findings**

## Kindness, dignity, respect and compassion

We observed members of staff were courteous and very helpful to patients and treated them with dignity and respect.

- Curtains were provided in consulting rooms to maintain patients' privacy and dignity during examinations, investigations and treatments.
- We noted that consultation and treatment room doors were closed during consultations; conversations taking place in these rooms could not be overheard.
- Reception staff knew when patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.

All of the 32 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were positive about the care experienced. Patients felt the practice offered a kind caring and helpful service. They had felt reassured by the manner in which care was provided in an understanding and respectful way taking account of their needs. One comment card noted the extended wait to see the GP when attending their appointment.

We spoke with two members of the patient participation group (PPG). They also told us they were satisfied with the care provided by the practice and said their dignity and privacy was respected. Comments noted how staff were approachable and caring and put them at ease when consulting.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. For example:

- 92% of patients said the GP was good at listening to them compared to the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 91% and the national average of 87%.
- 87% of patients said the GP gave them enough time compared to the CCG average of 88% and the national average of 87%.
- 98% of patients said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw compared to the CCG average of 93% and the national average of 92%.

- 87% of patients said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the CCG average of 88% and the national average of 85%.
- 93% of patients said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern compared to the CCG average of 92% and the national average of 91%.
- 94% of patients said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful compared to the CCG average of 88% and the national average of 87%

# Care planning and involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Patients told us they felt involved in decision making about the care and treatment they received. They also told us they felt listened to and supported by staff and had sufficient time during consultations to make an informed decision about the choice of treatment available to them. Patient feedback from the comment cards we received was also positive and aligned with these views.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were in line with local and national averages. For example:

- 87% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared to the CCG average of 87% and the national average of 86%.
- 81% of patients said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care compared to the CCG average of 83% and the national average of 82%.
- 86% of patients said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care compared to the CCG average of 86% and the national average of 85%.

The practice provided facilities to help patients be involved in decisions about their care:

• Staff told us that translation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.



# Are services caring?

# Patient and carer support to cope emotionally with care and treatment

Patient information leaflets and notices were available in the patient waiting area which told patients how to access a number of support groups and organisations. Information about support groups was also available on the practice website.

The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. The practice had identified 122 patients as carers (2% of the practice list). The practice had identified a carer's champion who provided information and directed carers to the various avenues of support available to them.

This included referral to Carers in Hertfordshire which supported people in their caring role. In conjunction with the other GP practice on the premises the practice held a carers coffee morning every other month at a nearby location. Carers were offered an annual health check and flexible appointments.

Staff told us that if families had suffered bereavement, their usual GP contacted them or sent them a sympathy letter. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

# **Our findings**

## Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice reviewed the needs of its local population and engaged with NHS England and NHS Herts Valleys Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to secure improvements to services where these were identified. For example, the practice was developing a business plan to locally manage on site the needs of patients with multiple long-term conditions who used four or more medicines and needed frequent hospital appointments (multimorbidity) following a review of a recently published NICE guideline.

- The practice was open on Saturday from 8.30am till 12 noon.
- The practice provided telephone consultations at the patient's request where appropriate.
- There were longer appointments available for patients with a learning disability and others with complex needs.
- Home visits were available for older patients and patients who had clinical needs which resulted in difficulty attending the practice.
- The practice supported a local care home and visited weekly to carry out a ward round.
- The practice supports many patients in warden-aided accommodation and work closely with the warden to prioritise home visits when needed or offer telephone advice.
- The practice maintained a register of housebound patients and visited them at least annually.
- Patients over 75 had a named accountable GP and were offered the over 75 health check by a dedicated nurse.
- The practice made use of winter pressures funding from the CCG to improve access to a GP during the winter months and to discourage A&E attendance.
- There was a system to identify patients at risk of hospital admission that had attended A&E or the out of hours service and these patients were regularly reviewed to help them manage their condition at home.
- Same day appointments were available for children and those patients with medical problems that require same day consultation.
- The practice offered referrals to family planning and related screening such as chlamydia screening.
- Patients were able to receive travel vaccinations available on the NHS.

- Patients could access a phlebotomist and a physiotherapist on site.
- Patients could access the local Wellbeing Team at the practice.
- There were disabled facilities a quiet room for breast feeding and translation services available. There was a hearing loop available.
- Working age patients were given priority appointments focussed on early morning and late afternoon.
- Online services were available for booking appointments and request repeat prescriptions.
- Through the Electronic Prescribing System (EPS)
   patients could order repeat medications online and
   collect the medicines from a pharmacy near their
   workplace or any other convenient location.

#### Access to the service

The practice was open Monday to Friday from 8am to 6pm. On Saturday morning the practice was open between 8.30am and 12 noon. In addition to pre-bookable appointments that could be booked up to four weeks in advance, urgent appointments were also available for people that needed them.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed that patient's satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment was comparable to local and national averages.

- 78% of patients were satisfied with the practice's opening hours compared to the CCG average of 77% and the national average of 76%.
- 89% of patients said they could get through easily to the practice by phone compared to CCG average of 78% and the national average of 73%.

The practice routinely reviewed their patient survey results and had a programme of continuous improvements to respond to the findings. For example following the latest patient survey results the practice had taken action to improve patient experience of making an appointment by raising awareness of online services through their newsletter and by increasing available practice nurse time by 30 hours.

People told us on the day of the inspection that they were able to get appointments when they needed them.

The practice had a system in place to assess:

· whether a home visit was clinically necessary; and



# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

• the urgency of the need for medical attention.

The reception staff were all aware of how to deal with requests for home visits and if they were in any doubt would speak to a GP. Home visit requests were assessed and managed by a GP.

# Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice had an effective system in place for handling complaints and concerns.

- Its complaints policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance and contractual obligations for GPs in England.
- The patient services administrator was the responsible person who handled all complaints in the practice.

 We saw there was a poster in the waiting area that informed patients of the complaints procedure together with a complaints information leaflet which outlined the complaints procedure. There was also information on the practice website.

There were 24 complaints documented in the last 18 months. We looked at four complaints received in that period and found that these had been satisfactorily handled and dealt with in a timely way with openness and transparency. Lessons were learnt from individual concerns and complaints and action had been taken as a result to improve the quality of care. For example the practice had publicised more widely the online services available to patients including access to the online appointment system following the investigation of a complaint.

## Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

# **Our findings**

#### **Vision and strategy**

The practice had a clear vision to deliver high quality evidence based care through patient involvement and promoting excellence in staff training.

- The practice had supporting plans which reflected the vision for example, by encouraging young GP to stay in the profession and by collaborative working with other practices in the locality to develop new ways of working to meet the needs of the patient population.
- The practice had a summary five year forward plan and intended to develop it further with agreed milestones.

#### **Governance arrangements**

The practice had a governance framework which supported the delivery of the business plans and good quality care. This outlined the structures and procedures in place and ensured that:

- There was a clear staffing structure and that staff were aware of their own roles and responsibilities.
- Practice specific policies were implemented and were available to all staff electronically on their desktops.
- A comprehensive understanding of the performance of the practice was maintained.
- A programme of continuous clinical and internal audit was used to monitor quality and to make improvements.
- There were arrangements for identifying, recording and managing risks, issues and implementing mitigating actions.

## Leadership and culture

The practice prioritised safe, high quality and compassionate care. Staff told us the GPs and the practice manager were approachable and always took the time to listen to all members of staff.

The provider was aware of and had systems in place to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour. (The duty of candour is a set of specific legal requirements that providers of services must follow when things go wrong with care and treatment). This included support training for all staff on communicating with patients about notifiable safety incidents. The partners encouraged a culture of openness and honesty.

The practice had systems in place to ensure that when there were unexpected safety incidents:

- The practice gave affected people support and explanation.
- They kept written records of verbal interactions as well as written correspondence.

There was a leadership structure in place and staff felt supported by management.

- The practice had good engagement of all staff group through a meaningful and useful meeting and communication structure.
- There was a regular schedule of practice meetings in addition to those for individual staff groups and multi-disciplinary teams to attend.
- Staff told us there was an open culture within the practice and they had the opportunity to raise and discuss any issues at the meetings and felt confident in doing so and supported if they did.
- Staff said they felt respected, valued and well supported and knew who to go to in the practice with any concerns. All staff were involved in discussions about how to run and develop the practice and the partners encouraged all members of staff to identify opportunities to improve the service delivered by the practice.
- There were named members of staff in lead roles. For example there were nominated GP leads for safeguarding, medicine management, information governance, and staffing. There were also nurse led clinics for patients with respiratory conditions such as asthma and COPD, leg ulcer management and health promotion. The leads showed a good understanding of their roles and responsibilities and all staff knew who the relevant leads were.

# Seeking and acting on feedback from patients, the public and staff

 The practice had gathered feedback from patients through the patient participation group (PPG) and through surveys and complaints received. We spoke



## Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

with two members of the PPG who told us that they had worked with the practice on several initiatives. For example they had helped with the flu vaccination clinics to manage the volume of patients attending at peak vaccination periods, published a practice newsletter three times per year and organised health information events for patients on topics such as dementia, orthopaedic care and eye care. They told us the GPs and the practice manager were always receptive to suggestions made by the PPG and worked collaboratively with them.

- The practice had gathered feedback from staff through staff meetings, appraisals and discussions. Staff told us they would not hesitate to give feedback and discuss any concerns or issues with colleagues and management. They told us they felt involved and engaged to improve how the practice was run.
- The friends and family test (FFT) (through which patients who use NHS services give feedback on their experience) showed that out of the 29 responses received in the past year, 25 (86%) said they were extremely likely to recommend the practice to their friends and family and four said they were likely to recommend.

#### **Continuous improvement**

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. We saw that the practice had developed a policy to help the homeless patient which aimed to support them to have equitable access to primary health care. This included increased awareness of the GPs clinical and other practice staff to seek out health and social care issues related to homelessness and to refer or signpost them to appropriate health and social care services. The practice actively advertised the local food bank so vulnerable people could make contact with this service.
- The practice encouraged continuous learning and development of staff and strived to maintain effective succession planning of GPs not just for the practice but for the NHS in general. This was demonstrated by high staff retention levels and the numbers of qualified doctors the practice supported in the GP training scheme.