

Mr Sanjay Patel

# Magpies Dental Practice

## Inspection Report

High Street  
Horam  
Heathfield  
East Sussex  
TN21 0EJ

Tel: 01435 812729

Website: [www.magpiesdentalpractice.co.uk](http://www.magpiesdentalpractice.co.uk)

Date of inspection visit: 3 November 2015

Date of publication: 04/02/2016

### Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 3 November 2015 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

#### **Our findings were:**

##### **Are services safe?**

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services effective?**

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services caring?**

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services responsive?**

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services well-led?**

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Magpies Dental Practice is a general dental practice in Horam, East Sussex, offering NHS and private dental treatment to adults and children.

The practice is situated in the centre of Horam. The practice has four dental treatment rooms, a decontamination room for the cleaning, sterilising and packing of dental instruments and a waiting area on each floor. The treatment rooms are located on the ground floor and first floor. The practice has disabled access with the use of a wheelchair ramp at the front of the building.

The practice is open Monday 9.00am to 5.30pm, Tuesday 8.30-4.30pm, Wednesday 8.00-5.00pm, Thursday 8.00 to 4.30pm and Friday 9.00am to 5.00pm. The practice offers evening appointments until 7.00pm on alternate Mondays. The practice does not offer Saturday appointments at present.

Magpies Dental Practice has three dentists, four dental nurses (one of whom also works on reception), two hygienists and a trainee receptionist. The clinical team are supported by a practice manager.

Before the inspection we sent CQC comments cards to the practice for patients to complete to tell us about their experience of the practice. We collected 9 completed cards. All of the comments cards provided a positive view of the service the practice provides. Patients commented that staff were professional, welcoming and courteous.

# Summary of findings

Patients wrote that they were treated with care, compassion and respect. We also spoke with two patients during our inspection who were highly satisfied with the treatment and support they received at the practice.

## **Our key findings were:**

- There were systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. The practice was visibly clean and well maintained.
- The practice had effective systems in place to gain the comments and views of people who used the service.
- Patients were highly satisfied with the treatment they received and were complimentary about staff at the practice.
- Staff had received training appropriate to their roles and were supported in their continued professional development (CPD).
- We observed that staff showed a caring and attentive approach towards patients. All patients were recognised and greeted warmly on arrival at reception.
- The dental care records we looked at provided full and accurate details of the treatment and care which patients received.
- The practice had effective safeguarding processes in place and staff understood their responsibilities for safeguarding adults and children.
- Staff were proud of the practice and their team. Staff felt well supported and were committed to providing a quality service to their patients.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Enrol all staff on formal Safeguarding training.
- Consider Mental Capacity Act training for all staff at the practice.
- Implement a sedation protocol at the practice.
- Consider the use of a second nurse during sedation procedures.
- Record patient's ASA (American Society of Anaesthesiologists) status as part of the assessment process for sedation procedures. ASA is a grading system which is used to classify patient's physical health prior to surgery to determine possible risk factors.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### **Are services safe?**

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems in place to assess and manage risks to patients. There were processes in place for the management of infection prevention and control, health and safety, dental radiography and the management of medical emergencies. There were systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients and staff members. The staffing levels were safe for the provision of care and treatment.

### **Are services effective?**

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients were given time to consider their options and make informed decisions about which treatment option they preferred. The dental care records we looked at included accurate details of treatment provided. We saw examples of effective collaborative team working. Staff received professional development appropriate to their role and learning needs.

### **Are services caring?**

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We reviewed CQC comment cards that patients had completed prior to the inspection. Patients were positive about the care they received from the practice. Patients told us they were treated with care and staff were welcoming. We observed that privacy and confidentiality was maintained for patients using the service on the day of our inspection. Staff spoke with enthusiasm about their work and were proud of what they did.

### **Are services responsive to people's needs?**

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

We found the practice had an efficient appointments system in place to respond to patients' needs. There were vacant appointments slots for urgent or emergency appointments each day. We observed good rapport between staff and patients attending appointments on the day of the inspection. Patients told us that staff were responsive in helping them to feel calm and reassured.

### **Are services well-led?**

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had effective clinical governance and risk management structures in place. There were effective methods to seek feedback from patients using the service. We observed good support from the practice manager which promoted openness and transparency amongst staff. Staff told us they enjoyed working at the practice and felt well supported in their role.

# Magpies Dental Practice

## Detailed findings

### Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection was carried out on 3 November 2015 by a lead inspector and a dental specialist advisor.

Before the inspection we reviewed information that we held about the provider and information that we asked them to send us in advance of the inspection. This included their statement of purpose and information about staff working at the practice.

During the inspection we spoke with the registered manager who was also the principal dentist, two other dentists, one dental nurse and the practice manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like

registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

We looked around the premises and the treatment rooms. We reviewed a range of policies and procedures and other documents including dental records.

We reviewed 9 CQC comments cards during the inspection and spoke to four patients who were registered at the practice.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had appropriate incident reporting systems in place and standard reporting forms for staff to complete when something went wrong. We looked at examples of accidents and incidents that had been recorded. Records demonstrated that staff had acted on incidents that had occurred. Staff told us that incidents were discussed at staff meetings.

The provider understood the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR) and confirmed that no reports had been made.

We were told that in the case of a patient being affected by something that went wrong, the patient would be offered an apology and informed of any actions taken as a result.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The practice had policies in place for child protection and safeguarding vulnerable adults. The policies referred to current legislation and national guidance. This included contact details for the local authority safeguarding team.

Staff told us that safeguarding had been discussed during staff meetings. The practice manager told us that staff were due to attend formal safeguarding training in the near future. Staff demonstrated their knowledge of how to recognise the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to raise a concern.

Staff demonstrated knowledge of the whistleblowing policy and were confident they would raise a concern if it was necessary.

The British Endodontic Society uses quality guidance from the European Society of Endodontology regarding the use of rubber dams for endodontic (root canal) treatment. The practice had rubber dam kits available for use in line with the current guidance. A rubber dam is a thin sheet of rubber used by dentists to isolate the tooth being treated and to protect patients from inhaling or swallowing debris or small instruments used during root canal treatment. We noted that the rubber dams used were latex free to avoid the possibility of an adverse reaction from a patient with a latex allergy.

### Medical emergencies

The practice had arrangements in place to deal with medical emergencies. These were in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines and the British National Formulary (BNF). Appropriate emergency equipment and an Automated External Defibrillator (AED) were available. An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm. Oxygen and medicines for use in an emergency were available and were stored securely. We saw that the emergency kit contained appropriate emergency drugs.

Records showed that checks were made to ensure that the equipment and emergency medicines were safe to use. The expiry dates of medicines and equipment were monitored regularly.

Records showed that staff had completed annual training in AED use and basic life support. Staff we spoke with knew the location of the emergency equipment. We were told that medical emergency training scenarios were practised when staff attended their annual basic life support training.

### Staff recruitment

The Disclosure and Barring Service carries out checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable. The practice told us that it was the practice's policy to carry out Disclosure and Barring service (DBS) checks for all clinical staff and we saw evidence that this had been carried out.

The practice had an effective system in place for the safe recruitment of new staff which included seeking references, checking qualifications and professional registration. We found that personnel records contained the required recruitment documentation such as proof of identification, CV, interview notes, indemnity insurance and proof of professional registration.

### Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice had arrangements to deal with foreseeable emergencies. A health and safety policy was in place for the practice. The practice had a log of risk assessments such as radiation, hazardous substances and fire. The assessments included the measures which had been put into place to

# Are services safe?

manage the risks and any action required. The practice had a file relating to the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 (COSHH) regulations, including substances such as disinfectants and dental clinical materials.

We found there was an emergency continuity plan in place at the practice. The plan included the procedures to follow in the case of specific situation which might interfere with the day to day running of the practice and treatment of patients, such as loss of electrical supply and fire.

The practice did not have a fire alarm system in place. Staff told us that they would shout in the event of discovering a fire. We reviewed documents which showed that checks of fire extinguishers had taken place. Records showed that staff had attended fire training. We saw that the fire evacuation procedure was clearly posted in areas throughout the practice. A fire drill had been carried out in February 2015. The practice manager carried out weekly fire checks which covered areas such as smoke alarm checks and environmental risks.

We reviewed a number of care records for patients who had undergone intravenous sedation at the practice. Records demonstrated that patients received a pre-assessment, which included a medical history, anxiety assessment and the recording of blood pressure. During the sedation procedure, records showed that patient's vital signs were recorded, including oxygen saturation and blood pressure. The amount of sedative used was recorded appropriately.

## Infection control

The 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices' (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of health, sets out in detail the processes and practices which are essential to prevent the transmission of infections. During our inspection, we observed processes at the practice to check that the HTM 01-05 essential requirements for decontamination had been met. The practice had an infection control policy and a set of procedures which included hand hygiene, managing waste products and decontamination guidance.

We looked around the premises during the inspection and found all areas to be visibly clean. This was confirmed by the patients we spoke with and from the comments cards we reviewed. Treatment rooms were visibly tidy and free from clutter. We saw that cleaning schedules were

documented accordingly. There were designated hand wash basins in each treatment room. Appropriate handwashing liquid was available. Instruments were stored in sterile pouches in treatment room drawers.

The practice had a dedicated local decontamination room (LDU). One of the dental nurses showed us the procedures involved in cleaning, rinsing, inspecting and sterilising dirty instruments along with the storing of sterilised instruments. They wore appropriate PPE during the decontamination process. Dirty instruments were washed, rinsed and inspected prior to being placed into an autoclave (sterilising machine). We observed that there was an illuminated magnifier available to check for any debris or damage throughout the cleaning stages.

We saw a clear separation of dirty and clean areas. There were adequate supplies of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as face visors, aprons and gloves. Posters about good hand hygiene and sharps injuries were displayed to support staff in following practice procedures.

There were sufficient instruments available to ensure that services provided to patients were uninterrupted. Staff showed us the paperwork which was used to record validation checks of the sterilisation cycles. We observed maintenance logs of the equipment used to sterilise instruments.

The practice had systems in place for the daily quality testing of decontamination equipment. Records confirmed that these had taken place.

Records showed a risk assessment process for Legionella had been carried out which ensured the risks of Legionella bacteria developing in water systems within the premises had been identified and preventive measures taken to minimise the risk of patients and staff of developing Legionnaires' disease. (Legionella is a term for particular bacteria which can contaminate water systems in buildings.)

The practice regularly carried out an annual Infection Prevention Society (IPS) self-assessment decontamination audit relating to HTM01-05. This is designed to assist all registered primary dental care services to meet satisfactory levels of decontamination of equipment.

The practice had a sharps injury protocol for reporting and handling sharps injuries which informed staff of the process to follow in the case of a sharps injury. This involved a

# Are services safe?

referral to a local Occupational Health department. We were told that dental nurses do not handle sharps. The practice had undertaken a sharps risk assessment in relation to the current Health and Safety and sharps guidelines (2013).

The practice had a record of staff immunisation status with regards to Hepatitis B in staff personnel records. Hepatitis B is a serious illness that is transmitted by bodily fluids including blood.

We observed that practice waste was stored and segregated into safe containers in line with the Department of Health guidance. Sharps containers were well maintained and correctly labelled. The practice used an appropriate contractor to remove dental waste from the practice including amalgam, lead foil, extracted teeth and gypsum.

## Equipment and medicines

There were systems in place to check and record that all equipment was in working order. These included the testing of specific items of equipment such as X-ray machines and pressure vessel systems. Records showed that the practice had contracts in place with external companies to carry out servicing and routine maintenance work in a timely manner. This helped to ensure that there was no disruption in the safe delivery of care and treatment to patients.

Batch numbers and expiry dates for local anaesthetics cartridges were stored in a log book. Medicines and

prescription pads were stored securely and traceable records were kept of each prescription. Medicines stored in the practice were reviewed regularly to ensure they were not kept or used beyond their expiry date.

We observed that the practice stocked appropriate medicines for intravenous sedation procedures. Expiry dates of the medicines were checked regularly.

## Radiography (X-rays)

The practice was working in accordance with the Ionising Radiation Regulations 1999 (IRR99) and the Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2000 (IR(ME)R). The practice maintained suitable records in their radiation protection file demonstrating the maintenance of the X-ray equipment. An external Radiation Protection Advisor (RPA) had been appointed and the principal dentist was the Radiation Protection Supervisor (RPS) for the practice.

We found there were suitable arrangements in place to ensure the safety of the equipment and we saw local rules relating to the X-ray machine were displayed in the surgery. The practice had carried out an annual X-ray audit within the last year.

We saw evidence that the dentists recorded the reasons for taking X-rays and that the images were checked for quality and accuracy. We were shown the current training certificates for the dentists which demonstrated that they were up to date with IR(ME)R training requirements.



# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

We found that the practice planned and delivered patients' treatment with attention to their individual dental needs. We found that patient's dental care records were clear and contained appropriate information about patients' dental treatment. The practice kept electronic records of the care given to patients. We reviewed the information recorded in patients' dental care records about the oral health assessments, treatment and advice given to patients. We found these included details of the condition of the teeth, soft tissues lining the mouth and gums. These were repeated at each examination in order to monitor any changes in the patient's oral health.

Records of patients who had received intravenous sedation demonstrated that patients were suitably assessed for their procedure during a full consultation. However, we noted that ASA (American Society of Anaesthesiologists) scores had not been recorded for patients prior to surgery. The scores were used to assess patient's physical health and possible anaesthetic risk. The principal dentist told us that this would be implemented immediately.

The practice kept up to date with current guidelines and research in order to develop and improve their system of clinical risk management. We saw evidence that the dentists were adhering to current National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines when deciding how often to recall patients for examination and review. We also saw evidence that the practice had protocols and procedures in place for promoting the maintenance of good oral health giving due regard to guidelines issued by the Department of Health publication 'Delivering better oral health: an evidence-based toolkit for prevention'.

According to the Society for the Advancement of Anaesthesia in Dentistry (SAAD), a recognised sedation protocol should be followed for practices carrying out sedation procedures. We observed that the practice did not have a local protocol in place. We were told that this would be written immediately.

### Health promotion & prevention

Patients completed a medical questionnaire which included questions about smoking and alcohol intake. The waiting rooms contained written literature regarding effective dental hygiene and how to reduce the risk of poor dental health.

Staff told us that patients were given advice appropriate to their individual needs, such as smoking cessation and dietary advice. The dental care records we reviewed demonstrated that preventative advice had been given to patients according to their needs.

### Staffing

The practice had three dentists, four dental nurses (one of whom also works on reception), two hygienists and a trainee receptionist. The clinical team were supported by a practice manager. We noted that only one dentist and one dental nurse were present during conscious sedation procedures.

Staff had attended continued professional development and training which was required for their registration with the General Dental Council (GDC). This included infection control, child and adult safeguarding and basic life support. One of the dental nurses had attended sedation training. We looked at the individual training records of various members of staff at the practice which demonstrated that they had attended appropriate training and were up to date. Staff attended internal training, online courses and used team meetings to share learning and knowledge.

New members of staff received an appropriate induction programme when they joined the practice. There was an appraisal system in place which was used to identify training and development needs.

Staff records contained details of current registration with the GDC and the practice manager monitored that staff remained registered.

Staff we spoke with told us they were clear about their roles and responsibilities, had access to the practice policies and procedures, and were supported to attend training courses appropriate to the work they performed.

### Working with other services

The practice was able to carry out the majority of treatments needed by their patients but referred more



# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

complex treatments such as difficult extractions to specialist services. These included local NHS hospital dental services, specialist clinicians and internal referrals to the hygienist.

The practice worked with other professionals where this was in the best interest of the patient. For example, referrals were made to hospitals and specialist dental services for further investigations. The practice completed detailed proformas or referral letters to ensure the specialist service had all of the relevant information required. Staff were able to describe the referral process in detail.

## **Consent to care and treatment**

The dentists described the methods they used to ensure that patients had the information they needed to be able to make an informed decision about treatment. They explained to us how valid consent was obtained from patients at the practice. We reviewed a number of patient's

dental care records which indicated that valid consent had been obtained for treatment at the practice. This included consent for sedation procedures. There was evidence that discussions regarding consent had taken place.

Patients we spoke with told us that treatment options, risks, benefits and costs were discussed clearly. They told us they were given time to consider their options and make informed decisions about which option they wanted.

In situations where people lack capacity to make decisions through illness or disability, health care providers must work in line with the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA). This is to ensure that decisions about care and treatment are made in patient's best interests. We spoke with staff about their knowledge of the MCA and how they would use the principles of this in their treatment of patients. They had a good understanding of the MCA and the importance of assessing each situation individually. Staff told us that they had discussed MCA at staff meetings. We noted that staff had not attended formal Mental Capacity Act training.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

### **Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy**

Before the inspection we sent CQC comments cards to the practice for patients to tell us about their experience of the practice. We also spoke with two patients on the day of inspection. Patients were positive about the care they received from the practice and commented that they were treated with respect and compassion.

The practice had effective systems in place to gain the comments and views of people who used the service. The practice had carried out a patient satisfaction questionnaire in 2015 which highlighted that all patients were satisfied with their care at the practice. Patients commented that staff were friendly, caring and reassuring. As a result of patient feedback, the practice manager had implemented evening clinics and new reading materials for the waiting rooms.

The practice also used the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT) to capture patient's views of the service. The practice manager submitted monthly results from the FFT which were available for members of the public to view on the NHS Choices website. Staff told us that the results of patient feedback were discussed as a team and how any improvements could be implemented.

During our inspection we observed that staff showed a friendly and attentive approach towards patients. All patients were recognised and greeted at reception on arrival. We observed that privacy and confidentiality were maintained for patients on the day of the inspection. Patients' dental care records were stored in password protected computers. Staff we spoke with were aware of the importance of providing patients with privacy and spoke about patients in a respectful and caring way.

### **Involvement in decisions about care and treatment**

Patients were given a copy of their treatment plan and the associated costs. Patients we spoke with told us that they were allowed time to consider options before returning to have their treatment. Before treatment commenced patients signed their treatment plan to confirm they understood and agreed to the treatment. Staff told us they involved relatives and carers to support patients in decision making when required.

Patients were informed of the range of treatments available on the practice website. We saw that prices of treatments were clearly displayed in the waiting area.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

The practice provided patients with information about the services they offered on their website. The practice leaflet contained information about the practice such as opening times, staff details and contact details. We found the practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. There were vacant appointment slots each day for the dentists to accommodate urgent or emergency appointments. Patients we spoke with told us they were seen in a timely manner in the event of a dental emergency.

Patients who had undergone intravenous sedation procedures were given post treatment instructions and a contact number in the case of an emergency.

Staff told us the appointment system gave them sufficient time to meet patient's needs. The practice had effective systems in place to ensure the equipment and materials needed were in stock or received well in advance of the patient's appointment.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice was contained on the ground floor and first floor of the building. The entrance at the front of the building was accessible to patients with mobility difficulties. There was parking close to the practice. The patient toilets were located on the first floor. Staff told us that patients with mobility difficulties were supported as much as possible when visiting the practice.

We asked staff to explain how they communicated with people who had different communication needs, such as those who spoke a language other than English. Staff told us they had access to local interpreter services and that staff spoke a range of different languages.

### Access to the service

The practice was open Monday 9.00am to 5.30pm, Tuesday 8.30 to 4.30pm, Wednesday 8.00 to 5.00pm, Thursday 8.00 to 4.30pm and Friday 9.00am to 5.00pm. The practice offered evening appointments until 7.00pm on alternate Mondays. The practice did not offer Saturday appointments.

Information regarding the opening hours was available in the practice leaflet. The practice answer phone message and the practice leaflet provided information on how to access out of hours treatment. Appointment slots were available each day so that the practice could respond to patients in pain. Several patients told us that the practice was very accommodating when scheduling both emergency and routine appointments.

### Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy and procedure in place for handling complaints which provided staff with relevant guidance. The practice had received seven complaints within the last 12 months. Records demonstrated that appropriate action had been taken and each complaint had been followed up. Staff had a good understanding of the complaints process. Staff described the process which would be followed and were confident that all complaints would be dealt with in a timely and respectful manner.

Information for patients about how to raise a concern or complaint was available in the waiting area. Patients we spoke with told us they were confident in raising a concern and would speak to the practice manager. The practice had a whistleblowing policy which staff were aware of. Staff we spoke with had a good understanding of the whistleblowing process.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

### **Governance arrangements**

The practice manager was responsible for the day to day running of the service. They led on the individual aspects of governance such as complaints, risk management and audits within the practice. The practice manager ensured there were systems to monitor the quality of the service such as audits. The practice had carried out recent audits relating to infection control, record keeping and radiographs. Action plans had been identified as a result of the audits and staff told that the results were shared with other members of the team.

The practice had a range of policies and procedures to support the management of the service. We looked in detail at how the practice identified, assessed and managed clinical and environmental risks related to the service. We saw detailed risk assessments and the control measures that had been put into place to manage those risks.

The practice undertook regular meetings involving all the staff in the practice and records of these meetings were retained. Staff told us that during staff meetings, patient-centred actions were discussed and shared learning regularly took place.

### **Leadership, openness and transparency**

Staff told us they were kept informed of any changes and updates. They told us that the practice manager adopted an open and transparent approach at the practice. We reviewed records of recent staff meetings which demonstrated that staff were provided with up to date information. Recent staff meeting minutes showed that areas such as staff training, maintenance and health and safety.

The practice had a statement of purpose which outlined their aims and objectives in the care and treatment of patients. Staff we spoke with described the practice culture as supportive, friendly and open. Staff demonstrated an awareness of the practice's purpose and were proud of their work. Staff said they felt valued and supported and

were committed to the practice's progress and development. The team appeared to work effectively together and there was a supportive and relaxed atmosphere. The practice manager was highly visible within the practice and had a positive approach towards any improvements that were needed at the practice.

### **Management lead through learning and improvement**

The practice manager had a clear understanding of the need to ensure that staff had access to learning and improvement opportunities. All of the staff who were working at the practice were registered with the General Dental Council (GDC). The GDC registers all dental care professionals to make sure they are appropriately qualified and competent to work in the United Kingdom. Records were kept to ensure staff were up to date with their professional registration.

Staff told us they had good access to training and the management monitored staff training to ensure essential training was completed each year. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuous professional development (CPD) as required by the General Dental Council (GDC). There was a system in place for staff to receive annual appraisals.

### **Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff**

The practice had an effective system in place to seek feedback from patients using the service. The results were analysed and compared with previous results. There was evidence that changes and improvements had been put into place as a result of patients' feedback, such as offering patients updated reading material every month. Staff told us that issues were discussed with patients and suggestions would always be implemented if possible.

The practice manager told us that they welcomed feedback and suggestions in order that the practice may learn and improve. Staff members told us that they could discuss ideas and share experiences with the practice manager and the rest of the team and that they were always listened to and acted upon.