

Dr Qaisar Jaffri

Talking Teeth Dental Practice

6 Scot Lane Aspull Wigan WN2 1YX Tel: 01942833595

Date of inspection visit: 17 May 2022 Date of publication: 20/06/2022

Overall summary

We carried out this announced focused inspection on 17 May 2022 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered practice was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission, (CQC), inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment,

we usually ask five key questions, however due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and to reduce time spent on site, only the following three questions were asked:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared to be visibly clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff. We found that other measures could be put in place to reduce risks for fire safety, hazardous substances and sharps.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.
- 1 Talking Teeth Dental Practice Inspection report 20/06/2022

Summary of findings

- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines. Systems should be reviewed to ensure audits of clinical care are effective.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and staff took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.

Background

Talking Teeth Dental Practice is in Aspull, Wigan and provides NHS and private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is level access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including dedicated parking for people with disabilities, are available near the practice.

The dental team includes one dentist, one dental nurse, a receptionist and a practice manager. The practice has one treatment room.

During the inspection we spoke with the dentist, the dental nurse, the receptionist and the practice manager. The compliance manager and one of the business owners also attended the inspection. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday, Tuesday and Friday 8.30am to 5.15pm

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Improve the practice's sharps procedures to ensure the practice is in compliance with the Health and Safety (Sharp Instruments in Healthcare) Regulations 2013.
- Improve the practice's processes for the control and usage of substances hazardous to health identified by the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002, to ensure products are used in line with manufacturer's instructions.
- Take action to ensure audits have documented learning points and the resulting improvements can be demonstrated.
- Take action to ensure ongoing fire safety management is effective.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We asked the following question(s).

Are services safe?	No action	\checkmark
Are services effective?	No action	\checkmark
Are services well-led?	No action	\checkmark

Are services safe?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

The practice had safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.

The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance. We noted the practice did not have an illuminated magnification device to inspect instruments prior to sterilisation. The practice had introduced additional procedures in relation to COVID-19 in accordance with published guidance.

The practice had procedures to reduce the risk of Legionella or other bacteria developing in water systems, in line with a risk assessment. We highlighted that weekly flushing of a lesser used tap should be logged. The practice manager confirmed this would be actioned.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance.

We saw the practice was visibly clean and there was an effective cleaning schedule to ensure the practice was kept clean.

The practice had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation.

Clinical staff were qualified, registered with the General Dental Council and had professional indemnity cover.

The practice ensured equipment was safe to use and maintained and serviced according to manufacturers' instructions. The practice ensured the facilities were maintained in accordance with regulations.

The practice had arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required radiation protection information was available. We discussed a recommendation in the most recent routine test of the X-ray machine that rectangular collimator should be used.

Risks to patients

The practice had implemented systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient and staff safety. This included sharps safety, sepsis awareness and lone working. The sharps risk referred to safer sharps, but the dentist was not using these. The use of traditional syringes was not risk assessed and safe re-sheathing devices were not provided. The risk assessment did not include all other sharps risks, including glass vials in the medical emergency kit.

A fire risk assessment was carried out in line with the legal requirements and the management of fire safety was effective. We noted that battery operated smoke detectors were tested infrequently. We highlighted the need to test these on a weekly basis.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available and checked in accordance with national guidance.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and had completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

The practice had risk assessments to minimise the risk that could be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.We saw that a substance used to ensure the quality of water in the dental unit waterlines was not used in line with manufacturer's instructions. The practice manager confirmed this would be addressed.

Are services safe?

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The practice had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines. Prescribing audits were carried out, but these had not identified inconsistencies in antimicrobial prescribing. In particular, whether a diagnosis or consideration of other options was consistently documented in patient care records.

Track record on safety, and lessons learned and improvements

The practice had implemented systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice had a system for receiving safety alerts. This could be improved by implementing a system to show these are distributed and acted on.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

Staff were aware of and involved with national oral health campaigns and local schemes which supported patients to live healthier lives, for example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when appropriate.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

Staff understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA).

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed dental care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients with dementia, and adults and children with a learning difficulty.

We saw evidence the dentist justified and reported on the quality and findings of the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits six-monthly following current guidance and legislation.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentist confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant Regulations.

Leadership capacity and capability

The practice demonstrated a transparent and open culture in relation to people's safety.

There was strong leadership and emphasis on continually striving to improve.

The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented.

We saw the practice had effective processes to support and develop staff with additional roles and responsibilities.

Culture

The practice could show how they ensured high-quality sustainable services and demonstrated improvements over time.

Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.

Staff discussed their training needs informally, during appraisals and one to one meetings. They also discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development.

The practice had arrangements to ensure staff training was up-to-date and reviewed at the required intervals.

Governance and management

Staff had clear responsibilities roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The practice had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis. These had recently been improved by ensuring the contact information for local organisations were up to date and review dates were included.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for identifying and managing risks, issues and performance. We highlighted some minor risks in relation to fire safety, hazardous substances and sharps.

Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

The practice had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff gathered feedback from patients, the public and external partners and a demonstrated commitment to acting on feedback.

The practice gathered feedback from staff through meetings and informal discussions. Staff were encouraged to offer suggestions for improvements to the service and said these were listened to and acted on.

Continuous improvement and innovation

The practice had systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The practice had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs, oral cancer, antimicrobial prescribing and infection prevention and control.

Are services well-led?

We highlighted that audits should always have documented learning points and action plans, and audits of antimicrobial prescribing could be improved by checking whether a diagnosis or consideration of local measures were documented.