

Dr. Andrea Magauran

# Moorgate Dental

## Inspection report

16 City Road  
London  
EC1Y 2AA  
Tel: 02072569447  
www.moorgatedental.com

Date of inspection visit: 19 August 2021  
Date of publication: 01/09/2021

### Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 19 August 2021 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by two specialist dental advisers.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we usually ask five key questions. However, due to the ongoing pandemic and to reduce time spent on site, only the following three questions were asked:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it well-led?

These are three of the five questions that form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

#### **Our findings were:**

##### **Are services safe?**

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services effective?**

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

##### **Are services well-led?**

# Summary of findings

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

## Background

Moorgate Dental is in Moorgate, in the London borough of Islington, and provides private general dental treatment to adults and children.

The practice is located in the basement of a building close to Old Street, Liverpool Street and Moorgate underground train stations. There is no level access into the building for people who use wheelchairs or those with pushchairs. The practice has one treatment room and a separate decontamination room.

The team includes the principal dentist and a dental nurse.

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

During the inspection we spoke with the principal dentist, the dental nurse and two patients. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open to patients:

Monday to Thursday from 10am to 6pm.

Friday from 10am to 5pm.

## Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- The provider had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment was available.
- The provider had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff.
- The provider had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The provider had thorough staff recruitment procedures.
- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The provider had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team. Staff spoke openly about how much they enjoyed working at the practice.
- The provider asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided. The provider had suitable information governance arrangements.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We asked the following question(s).

<b>Are services safe?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services effective?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓
<b>Are services well-led?</b>	<b>No action</b> ✓

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)**

Staff had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The provider had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training and undertook scenario sessions in team meetings. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The provider had a system to highlight vulnerable patients and patients who required other support such as with mobility or communication within dental care records.

The provider used dental dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where the dental dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, we saw this was documented in the dental care record and a risk assessment completed.

The provider had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency and locum staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at the recruitment record for the dental nurse. This showed the provider followed their recruitment procedure.

We noted that the provider was qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

Staff ensured that facilities and equipment were safe, and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances.

Records showed that fire detection and firefighting equipment were regularly tested and serviced.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required information was in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the provider justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The provider carried out radiography audits every year following current guidance and legislation.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

### **Risks to patients**

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The provider's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The provider had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the provider's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps' risk assessment had been undertaken and was updated annually.

The provider and dental nurse had received the vaccination required to protect them against the hepatitis B virus, and ensured that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked.

# Are services safe?

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and basic life support every year.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. We found staff kept records of their checks of these to make sure they were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

The dental nurse worked with the provider when they treated patients in line with General Dental Council (GDC) Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had suitable risk assessments to minimise the risk that can be caused from substances that are hazardous to health.

The provider had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The provider had a policy in place which outlined the actions taken to protect staff and the public from the spread of Covid-19. The provider had taken measures to ensure the safe reopening of the practice following its closure in 2020. The provider had received training in fit testing required for the safe use of specialist masks.

The provider had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05 guidance. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance.

We found staff had systems in place to ensure that any work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed.

We saw staff had procedures to reduce the possibility of legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All recommendations had been actioned and records of water testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was visibly clean when we inspected. The provider had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated and stored appropriately in line with guidance. The provider carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year.

## **Information to deliver safe care and treatment**

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the provider how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at dental care records with clinicians to confirm our findings and observed that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation requirements.

The provider had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two-week wait arrangements. These arrangements were initiated by National Institute for Health and Care Excellence to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

## **Safe and appropriate use of medicines**

The provider had systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

# Are services safe?

There was a stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

We saw staff stored and kept records of prescriptions as described in current guidance. Antimicrobial prescribing audits were carried out annually. The most recent audit indicated the dentists were following current guidelines.

## **Track record on safety and lessons learned and improvements**

The provider had a clear system in place to deal with significant events and near misses.

The provider held the correct numbers for who to contact with any safety issues in the practice.

The provider had policies and procedures for receiving and acting on safety alerts. Relevant alerts were stored on the computer and shared appropriately.

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

### **Effective needs assessment, care and treatment**

The practice had systems to keep the dentist up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that the provider assessed patients' needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

### **Helping patients to live healthier lives**

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit.

The dentist prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for patients based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health.

Staff were aware of national oral health campaigns and local schemes in supporting patients to live healthier lives. For example, local stop smoking services. They directed patients to these schemes when necessary.

The provider described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcomes for patients with gum disease. This involved providing patients preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and recording detailed charts of the patient's gum condition.

Records showed patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and to reinforce home care preventative advice.

The practice carried out detailed oral health assessments which identified a patient's individual risks. Patients were provided with detailed self-care treatment plans with dates for ongoing oral health reviews based upon their individual need and in line with recognised guidance.

### **Consent to care and treatment**

The dentist obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The provider gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these, so they could make informed decisions. We saw this documented in patients' records.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who might not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age might give consent for themselves in certain circumstances. Staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

### **Monitoring care and treatment**

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

The practice kept detailed dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The provider assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

The provider had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. Staff kept records of the results of these audits, the resulting action plans and improvements.

## **Effective staffing**

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff new to the practice, including agency staff, had a structured induction programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

## **Co-ordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The provider confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide. The practice held a log of referrals made to other specialists.



# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Systems and processes were well embedded in how the practice undertook the regulated activities. The information and evidence presented during the inspection process was clear and well documented. The provider could show how they maintained high-quality sustainable services and demonstrate improvements over time.

### **Leadership capacity and capability**

We found the provider had the experience and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

This was a small dental practice which had been operated by the provider for 13 years. We found the principal dentist had the qualifications, capacity, values and skills to deliver clinical care in line with current guidance. The provider recognised that as a single-handed practice owner this involved extra challenges, namely regulatory functions and as such they had a dedicated time to focus on this.

### **Culture**

The provider focused on the needs of patients. Chairside support was provided for anxious patients and feedback from patients was continually encouraged and reviewed.

The member of staff we spoke to stated they felt respected, supported and valued and were proud to work in the practice.

We saw evidence that the provider discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development within annual appraisals.

The provider had a policy in place to deal with complaints.

### **Governance and management**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The provider had overall responsibility for the management and clinical leadership of the practice.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to all members of staff and were reviewed on a regular basis.

### **Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners**

The provider involved patients to support high-quality sustainable services.

The provider used patient surveys, internet-based reviews and verbal feedback to obtain patients' views about the service. The provider monitored the feedback and continually received positive comments each month. Online reviews stated that many patients felt the provider gave a good service. We spoke with two patients, who described the provider as 'excellent', stating that they were very pleased with the service they had received.

### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation. These included audits of dental care records, access, dental radiographs and infection prevention and control.

# Are services well-led?

The provider completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. The provider had recently signed up to an online training platform and had undertaken training in areas including safeguarding, the Mental Capacity Act, oral cancer screening and complaints' handling. The provider was an active member of the British Dental Association and the Women Dentists' Network.