

Oradent Operations Limited

Oradent - High Street, Rochester

Inspection report

360 High Street Rochester ME1 1DJ Tel: 01634404590

Date of inspection visit: 27 February 2024 Date of publication: 20/03/2024

Overall summary

We carried out this announced comprehensive inspection on 27 February 2024 under section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions.

The inspection was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspector who was supported by a specialist dental advisor.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, the following 3 questions were asked:

- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

- The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- The practice had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with medical emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The practice had some systems to manage risks for patients, staff, equipment and the premises.
- Safeguarding processes were in place and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The practice had staff recruitment procedures which reflected current legislation.
- Clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect. Staff took care to protect patients' privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system worked efficiently to respond to patients' needs.

Summary of findings

- The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- There was effective leadership and a culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved, supported and worked as a team.
- Staff and patients were asked for feedback about the services provided.
- Complaints were dealt with positively and efficiently.
- The practice had information governance arrangements.

This inspection was also carried out to review the actions taken by the registered provider to improve the quality of care and to confirm that the practice was now meeting legal requirements.

We had previously undertaken a focused inspection of Oradent, High Street Rochester on 19 December 2023 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We found the registered provider was not providing well-led care and was in breach of regulation 17 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014.

You can read our report of that inspection by selecting the 'all reports' link for Oradent, High Street Rochester on our website www.cqc.org.uk.

When 1 or more of the 5 questions are not met we require the service to make improvements and send us an action plan (requirement notice only). We then inspect again after a reasonable interval, focusing on the area where improvement was required.

As part of this inspection we also asked:

Is it well-led?

Are services well-led?

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The provider had made improvements in relation to the regulatory breach we found at our inspection on 19 December 2023.

Background

Oradent, High Street Rochester is part of Oradent, a dental group provider.

Oradent, Hight Street Rochester is in Rochester and provides NHS and private dental care and treatment for adults and children.

There is step free access to the practice for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. Car parking spaces, including dedicated parking for disabled people, are available near the practice. The practice has made reasonable adjustments to support patients with access requirements.

The dental team includes 3 dentists, 1 qualified dental nurse, 2 trainee dental nurses, 1 dental hygienist, 1 practice manager and 1 receptionist. The practice has 2 treatment rooms.

2 Oradent - High Street, Rochester Inspection report 20/03/2024

Summary of findings

During the inspection we spoke with 1 dental nurse, 1 hygienist, the practice manager and the group's operational director. We looked at practice policies, procedures and other records to assess how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Tuesday from 8:30am to 5pm

Wednesday to Friday from 9am to 5pm

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

Improve the practice's arrangements for ensuring good governance and leadership are sustained in the longer term.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We asked the following question(s).

Are services effective?	No action	\checkmark
Are services caring?	No action	\checkmark
Are services responsive to people's needs?	No action	✓
Are services well-led?	No action	✓

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep dental professionals up to date with current evidence-based practice.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice provided preventive care and supported patients to ensure better oral health.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained patients' consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance. Staff understood their responsibilities under the Mental Capacity Act 2005.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept detailed patient care records in line with recognised guidance.

Staff conveyed an understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients living with dementia or adults and children with a learning disability.

We saw evidence the dentists justified, graded and reported on the radiographs they took. The practice carried out radiography audits six-monthly following current guidance. We noted a limited number of records were sampled. We discussed the benefits of increasing the number of records reviewed to further identify possible areas of improvement.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Newly appointed staff had a structured induction and clinical staff completed continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentists confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care for treatment the practice did not provide.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding when they were in pain, distress or discomfort.

Privacy and dignity

Staff were aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment.

The practice's website and information leaflet provided patients with information about the range of treatments available at the practice.

Clinical staff explained the methods they used to help patients understand their treatment options. These included X-ray images.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs and preferences.

Staff were clear about the importance of providing emotional support to patients when delivering care.

The practice had made reasonable adjustments, including wheelchair access for patients with access requirements. Staff had carried out a disability access audit and had formulated an action plan to continually improve access for patients.

Timely access to services

The practice displayed its opening hours and provided information on their website.

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs. The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. The frequency of appointments was agreed between the dentist and the patient, giving due regard to NICE guidelines. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice's website and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open

Patients who needed an urgent appointment were offered one in a timely manner. When the practice was unable to offer an urgent appointment, they worked with partner organisations to support urgent access for patients. Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice responded to concerns and complaints appropriately. Staff discussed outcomes to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

At the inspection on 27 February 2024, we found the practice had made the following improvements to comply with the regulation:

- There were arrangements to assess and mitigate the risk of fire. All of the areas for improvement identified in the fire risk assessment had either been completed or due for completion in the upcoming weeks.
- There were arrangements to assess and mitigate the risk of legionella. All of the areas for improvement identified in the risk assessment had been completed.
- There were arrangements to assess and mitigate the risk to health and safety. All equipment had been serviced and maintained. Further improvement was needed to ensure all risk assessments have action plans which are reviewed and used to make improvements as required.
- There were arrangements to ensure cleaning took place taking into account guidelines issued by the Department of Health Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices. The dental clinic appeared clean and well-maintained.
- There were protocols and procedures in place for the use of X-ray equipment in compliance with The Ionising Radiations Regulations 2017 and Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2017 taking into account the guidance for Dental Practitioners on the Safe Use of X-ray Equipment.

The practice had also made further improvements:

- Improvements had been made to staff recruitment files. Accurate, complete and detailed records were maintained for all staff.
- Improvements had been made to ensure that all clinical staff have adequate immunity for vaccine preventable
 infectious diseases. Where this was not possible, risk assessments had been completed. However, further
 improvement was needed to ensure sharps risk assessments took into account staff with low levels of immunity.

Improvements had been made to recording, investigating and reviewing accidents, incidents and significant events with a view to preventing further occurrences and ensuring that improvements were made as a result.