

Dr. Paul Mc Crory

P V McCrory- The Dental Practice

Inspection Report

58 Ainsworth Road,
Radcliffe,
Manchester,
M26 4FA
Tel: 0161 7259542
Website: none

Date of inspection visit: 1 August 2019
Date of publication: 23/09/2019

Overall summary

We carried out this announced inspection on 1 August 2019 under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. We planned the inspection to check whether the registered provider was meeting the legal requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations. The inspection was led by a CQC inspector who was supported by a specialist dental adviser.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions form the framework for the areas we look at during the inspection.

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

P V McCrory- The Dental Practice is in Radcliffe, Manchester and provides NHS and private treatment to adults and children.

A portable ramp is provided for people who use wheelchairs and those with pushchairs. On street parking is available near the practice.

Summary of findings

The dental team includes one dentist who is the practice owner and one dental nurse/receptionist. Any additional staffing requirements are met by the use of agency dental nurses. The practice has one treatment room.

The practice is owned by an individual who is the principal dentist there. They have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated regulations about how the practice is run.

On the day of inspection, we collected 47 CQC comment cards filled in by patients. Patients were positive about all aspects of the service the practice provided.

During the inspection we spoke with the dentist and the dental nurse/receptionist. We looked at practice policies and procedures and other records about how the service is managed.

The practice is open:

Monday to Thursday 9am to 5pm

Friday by prior arrangement only

Our key findings were:

- The practice appeared clean, tidy and well maintained.
- The provider had infection control procedures which reflected published guidance.
- Staff knew how to deal with emergencies. Appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were available.
- The provider had systems to help them manage risk to patients and staff.
- The provider had suitable safeguarding processes and staff knew their responsibilities for safeguarding vulnerable adults and children.
- The provider had thorough staff recruitment procedures.

- The clinical staff provided patients' care and treatment in line with current guidelines.
- Staff treated patients with dignity and respect and took care to protect their privacy and personal information.
- Staff provided preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health.
- The appointment system took account of patients' needs.
- The provider had effective leadership and culture of continuous improvement.
- Staff felt involved and supported and worked well as a team.
- The provider asked staff and patients for feedback about the services they provided.
- The provider dealt with complaints positively and efficiently.
- The provider had suitable information governance arrangements.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements. They should:

- Review the practice's policy for the control and storage of substances hazardous to health identified by the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002, to ensure risk assessments are undertaken and the products are stored securely.
- Review the security of NHS prescription pads in the practice and ensure there are systems in place to track and monitor their use.
- Review the practice's protocols for recording in the patients' dental care records or elsewhere the reason for taking X-rays, a report on the findings and the quality of the image in compliance with Ionising Radiation (Medical Exposure) Regulations 2017

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?	No action	✓
Are services effective?	No action	✓
Are services caring?	No action	✓
Are services responsive to people's needs?	No action	✓
Are services well-led?	No action	✓

Are services safe?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Safety systems and processes, including staff recruitment, equipment and premises and radiography (X-rays)

Staff had clear systems to keep patients safe.

Staff knew their responsibilities if they had concerns about the safety of children, young people and adults who were vulnerable due to their circumstances. The provider had safeguarding policies and procedures to provide staff with information about identifying, reporting and dealing with suspected abuse. We saw evidence that staff received safeguarding training. Staff knew about the signs and symptoms of abuse and neglect and how to report concerns, including notification to the CQC.

The provider had a system to highlight vulnerable patients and patients who required other support such as with mobility or communication within dental care records.

The provider also had a system to identify adults that were in other vulnerable situations.

The provider had a whistleblowing policy. Staff felt confident they could raise concerns without fear of reprimand.

The dentist used dental dams in line with guidance from the British Endodontic Society when providing root canal treatment. In instances where the dental dam was not used, such as for example refusal by the patient, and where other methods were used to protect the airway, this was documented in the dental care record and a risk assessment completed.

The provider had informal arrangements in place to deal with events that could disrupt the normal running of the practice. We highlighted that this should be documented.

The provider had a recruitment policy and procedure to help them employ suitable staff and had checks in place for agency staff. These reflected the relevant legislation. We looked at staff recruitment records. These showed the provider followed their recruitment procedure.

Clinical staff were qualified and registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) and had professional indemnity cover.

Staff ensured that facilities and equipment were safe, and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions, including electrical and gas appliances. We noted that fixed electrical wiring testing had not been carried out. We highlighted that this is recommended every five years.

Records showed that firefighting equipment was regularly tested and serviced, and evacuation procedures were in place. The provider had completed a fire risk assessment and installed some emergency lighting. Two smoke alarms were installed, we highlighted that the kitchen would also benefit from a smoke alarm. The provider told us they had an additional smoke alarm and they would install this as discussed.

The practice had suitable arrangements to ensure the safety of the X-ray equipment and we saw the required information was in their radiation protection file.

We saw evidence that the dentist reported on the radiographs they took. They did not consistently justify the reason for, or grade the quality of radiographs.

Clinical staff completed continuing professional development (CPD) in respect of dental radiography.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

The practice's health and safety policies, procedures and risk assessments were reviewed regularly to help manage potential risk. The provider had current employer's liability insurance.

We looked at the practice's arrangements for safe dental care and treatment. The staff followed relevant safety regulation when using needles and other sharp dental items. A sharps risk assessment had been undertaken. It was confirmed that only the dentist was permitted to assemble, re-sheath and dispose of needles where necessary to minimise the risk of inoculation injuries to staff. Protocols were in place to ensure staff accessed appropriate care and advice in the event of a sharps injury and staff were aware of the importance of reporting inoculation injuries.

Are services safe?

The provider had a system in place to ensure clinical staff had received appropriate vaccinations, including the vaccination to protect them against the Hepatitis B virus, and that the effectiveness of the vaccination was checked. Evidence of effectiveness not available for one clinical member of staff and a risk assessment was not in place. The practice was in the process of obtaining this.

Staff knew how to respond to a medical emergency and completed training in emergency resuscitation and advanced life support every year. Checks were in place to ensure agency staff were up to date with this training to maintain a minimum of two trained members of staff in line with General Dental Council (GDC) standards.

Emergency equipment and medicines were available as described in recognised guidance. We found staff kept records of their checks of these to make sure these were available, within their expiry date, and in working order.

A dental nurse worked with the dentist when they treated patients in line with GDC Standards for the Dental Team.

The provider had a policy for the safe handling of hazardous substances and safety data sheets were available for these. Risk assessments had not been carried out to ensure that staff were following the manufacturer's instructions for the safe storage, use and disposal of hazardous substances to minimise the risk that can be caused from these. For example, ensuring bottles containing surface disinfectant were clearly labelled with the contents and instructions for use. The dentist had a system to identify the expiry dates of products held by the practice.

The practice routinely used agency staff. We noted that these staff received a thorough induction to ensure that they were familiar with the practice's procedures.

The provider had an infection prevention and control policy and procedures. They followed guidance in The Health Technical Memorandum 01-05: Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05) published by the Department of Health and Social Care. Staff completed infection prevention and control training and received updates as required.

The provider had suitable arrangements for transporting, cleaning, checking, sterilising and storing instruments in line with HTM 01-05. The records showed equipment used by staff for cleaning and sterilising instruments was

validated, maintained and used in line with the manufacturers' guidance. On the day of the inspection the steriliser had shown a fault during the test cycle. Immediate action had been taken to contact an engineer. There were enough sterilised instruments available to provide treatment until the engineers attended the following day.

Systems were in place to ensure that any work was disinfected prior to being sent to a dental laboratory and before treatment was completed.

We saw staff had procedures to reduce the possibility of Legionella or other bacteria developing in the water systems, in line with a risk assessment. All recommendations had been actioned and records of water temperature and quality testing and dental unit water line management were in place.

We saw cleaning schedules for the premises. The practice was visibly clean and tidy when we inspected.

The provider had policies and procedures in place to ensure clinical waste was segregated, stored and disposed of appropriately in line with guidance.

The provider carried out infection prevention and control audits twice a year. The latest audit showed the practice was meeting the required standards.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

We discussed with the dentist how information to deliver safe care and treatment was handled and recorded. We looked at a sample of dental care records to confirm our findings and noted that individual records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. Dental care records we saw were complete, legible, were kept securely and complied with General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements.

Patient referrals to other service providers contained specific information which allowed appropriate and timely referrals in line with practice protocols and current guidance.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The provider had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

Are services safe?

There was a suitable stock control system of medicines which were held on site. This ensured that medicines did not pass their expiry date and enough medicines were available if required.

We saw some NHS prescriptions were unsecured and the system to keep track of these would not identify if a prescription was missing. We discussed this with the dentist who confirmed this would be addressed.

The dentist was aware of current guidance with regards to prescribing medicines.

Track record on safety and Lessons learned and improvements

There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues. There were systems for staff to report and review incidents.

There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. In the previous 12 months there had been no safety incidents. We reviewed a previous incident which had been documented and investigated appropriately.

There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. Staff learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts. We saw they were acted upon if required.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed patients' needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols. We noted that suitable X-rays were not consistently taken in line with nationally agreed guidance, and where X-rays were taken, they were not consistently justified or graded for quality. We signposted them to nationally accepted guidance for the selection criteria and quality of radiographs from the Faculty of General Dental Practitioners (FGDP) to review their processes.

The dentist was involved in quality improvement initiatives including peer review, research and regularly contributing to national dental publications in their approach in providing high quality care.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

The practice was providing preventive care and supporting patients to ensure better oral health in line with the Delivering Better Oral Health toolkit. The dentist had attended Greater Manchester Local Dental Network oral health improvement events and used the available tools and templates to improve health and wellbeing.

The dentists prescribed high concentration fluoride toothpaste if a patient's risk of tooth decay indicated this would help them. They used fluoride varnish for patients based on an assessment of the risk of tooth decay.

The dentists where applicable, discussed smoking, alcohol consumption and diet with patients during appointments. The practice had a selection of dental products for sale and provided health promotion leaflets to help patients with their oral health. Patients who wished to stop smoking were signposted to Bury smoking cessation services.

The dentist described to us the procedures they used to improve the outcomes for patients with gum disease. This involved providing patients preventative advice, taking plaque and gum bleeding scores and recording detailed charts of the patient's gum condition.

Records showed patients with more severe gum disease were recalled at more frequent intervals for review and patient comments confirmed they were given preventative advice.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

The practice team understood the importance of obtaining and recording patients' consent to treatment. The dentist gave patients information about treatment options and the risks and benefits of these, so they could make informed decisions. Patients confirmed their dentist listened to them and gave them clear information about their treatment. We found the documentation of this could be improved. For example, by ensuring that explanations of the risks and benefits of treatment options were documented.

The practice's consent policy included information about the Mental Capacity Act 2005. The team understood their responsibilities under the act when treating adults who might not be able to make informed decisions. The policy also referred to Gillick competence, by which a child under the age of 16 years of age may give consent for themselves. Staff were aware of the need to consider this when treating young people under 16 years of age.

Staff described how they involved patients' relatives or carers when appropriate and made sure they had enough time to explain treatment options clearly.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice kept dental care records containing information about the patients' current dental needs, past treatment and medical histories. The dentist assessed patients' treatment needs in line with recognised guidance.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles.

Staff (including agency staff) who were new to the practice had a period of induction based on a structured programme. We confirmed clinical staff completed the continuing professional development required for their registration with the General Dental Council.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Training needs were discussed informally and at annual appraisals. We saw evidence of completed appraisals and how the practice addressed the training requirements of staff.

Co-ordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

The dentist confirmed they referred patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary care if they needed treatment the practice did not provide.

Staff had systems to identify, manage, follow up and where required refer patients for specialist care when presenting with dental infections.

The provider also had systems for referring patients with suspected oral cancer under the national two week wait arrangements. This was initiated by NICE in 2005 to help make sure patients were seen quickly by a specialist.

Staff monitored all referrals to make sure they were dealt with promptly.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

Staff were aware of their responsibility to respect people's diversity and human rights.

Patients commented positively that staff were pleasant, polite and friendly, and the atmosphere at the practice was described as warm and welcoming. We saw that staff treated patients respectfully, appropriately and kindly and were friendly towards patients at the reception desk and over the telephone.

Patients said staff were compassionate and understanding. Patients told us staff were kind and helpful when they were in pain, distress or discomfort. They described how the dentist put them at ease and spent time explaining the proposed treatment.

Patient information, including price lists and thank you cards were available for patients to read.

Privacy and dignity

Staff respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

The layout of reception and waiting areas did not provide privacy when reception staff were dealing with patients, but the receptionist was aware of the importance of privacy and confidentiality. Staff described how they avoided discussing confidential information in front of other patients and if a patient asked for more privacy they would take them into another room.

The reception computer screens were not visible to patients and staff did not leave patients' personal information where other patients might see it.

Staff password protected patients' electronic care records and backed these up to secure storage. They stored paper records securely.

Involving people in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the principals of the Accessible Information Standard and the requirements under the Equality Act. The Accessible Information Standard is a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given).

Interpretation services were available for patients who did not speak or understand English. Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, and communication aids and easy read materials were available.

Staff gave patients clear information to help them make informed choices about their treatment. Patients confirmed that staff listened to them, did not rush them and discussed options for treatment with them. The dentist described the conversations they had with patients to satisfy themselves they understood their treatment options.

The dentist described to us the methods they used to help patients understand treatment options discussed. These included for example study models and X-ray images taken of the tooth being examined or treated and shown to the patient/relative to help them better understand the diagnosis and treatment.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

Staff were clear on the importance of emotional support needed by patients when delivering care. The dentist conveyed a good understanding of supporting more vulnerable members of society such as patients with dementia, and adults and children with a learning difficulty.

Patients described high levels of satisfaction with the responsive service provided by the practice.

Reasonable adjustments had been made for patients with disabilities in line with a disability access audit. These included a portable ramp, the provision of reading glasses and an accessible toilet with hand rails and a call bell.

Timely access to services

Patients could access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

The practice displayed its opening hours inside the premises and included it in their information leaflet and on their NHS Choices website.

The practice had an appointment system to respond to patients' needs. Patients could choose to receive text message reminders for forthcoming appointments. Staff telephoned all patients the day before their appointment to make sure they could get to the practice. Patients who requested urgent advice or care were offered an appointment the same day. Patients had enough time during their appointment and did not feel rushed.

The practice had arrangements with other local practices to provide emergency dental care when the practice was closed.

The practice's information leaflet and answerphone provided telephone numbers for patients needing emergency dental treatment during the working day and when the practice was not open. We highlighted that these could be displayed more clearly in the premises. Patients confirmed they could make routine and emergency appointments easily and were rarely kept waiting for their appointment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The provider took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

The provider had a policy providing guidance to staff on how to handle a complaint. We highlighted that this should be visible to patients.

The dentist was responsible for dealing with complaints. Staff would tell them about any formal or informal comments or concerns straight away so patients received a quick response.

They aimed to settle complaints in-house and invited patients to speak with them in person to discuss these. Information was available about organisations patients could contact if not satisfied with the way the practice had dealt with their concerns.

We looked at comments, compliments and complaints the practice received in the last 12 months.

These showed the practice responded to concerns appropriately and discussed outcomes with staff to share learning and improve the service.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Leadership capacity and capability

We found the principal dentist had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care. They demonstrated they had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.

The principal dentist was knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.

We noted that the clear majority of tasks and checks were carried out by the dentist. We highlighted the risk of these not been carried out, for example, if they were unable to work; and to consider whether some of these could be delegated to staff after the appropriate training is provided.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

The staff focused on the needs of patients.

We saw the provider had systems to deal with staff poor performance.

Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Duty of Candour.

Staff could raise concerns and were encouraged to do so, and they had confidence that these would be addressed.

Governance and management

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

The principal dentist had overall responsibility for the management, clinical leadership and day to day running of the practice.

The provider had a system of clinical governance in place which included policies, protocols and procedures that were accessible to staff and were reviewed on a regular basis. The dentist used a dental clinical compliance package to support this process.

We saw there were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Appropriate and accurate information

Staff acted on appropriate and accurate information.

Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.

The provider had information governance arrangements and staff were aware of the importance of these in protecting patients' personal information.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

Staff involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

Patients were encouraged to complete the NHS Friends and Family Test (FFT). This is a national programme to allow patients to provide feedback on NHS services they have used.

The provider gathered feedback from staff through daily informal discussions and held meetings as required.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

The provider had quality assurance processes to encourage learning and continuous improvement. These included audits of dental care records, radiographs and infection prevention and control. We highlighted how the audit process could be improved as these had not identified the inconsistencies in record keeping and the use of radiographs.

The dental nurse had annual appraisals. They discussed learning needs, general wellbeing and aims for future professional development. We saw evidence of completed appraisals in the staff folder.

Staff completed 'highly recommended' training as per General Dental Council professional standards. This included undertaking medical emergencies and basic life support training annually. The provider funded, supported and encouraged staff to complete CPD.