

The Friary Surgery

Inspection report

Queens Road Richmond North Yorkshire Tel: 01748822306 www. friarysurgery.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 25/10/2018 Date of publication: 29/01/2019

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Ratings

Overall rating for this location	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Overall summary

This practice is rated as Good overall. (Previous rating 7 February 2017 – Good)

The key questions at this inspection are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at The Friary Surgery on 25 October 2018 as part of our inspection programme.

At this inspection we found:

• The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.

- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they were able to access care when they needed it.
- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.

The areas where the provider **should** make improvements are:

- Review the immunisation of healthcare staff.
- Introduce annual infection control audits.

Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP Chief Inspector of General Practice

Please refer to the detailed report and the evidence tables for further information.

Population group ratings

Older people	Good
People with long-term conditions	Good
Families, children and young people	Good
Working age people (including those recently retired and students)	Good
People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable	Good
People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia)	Good

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by a Care Quality Commission (CQC) lead inspector and included a second CQC Inspector, a GP specialist adviser and a CQC Medicines Inspector.

Background to The Friary Surgery

The Friary Surgery, Queens Road, Richmond, North Yorkshire, DL10 4UJ is located in Friary Hospital, a local community hospital which is a grade two listed building. Parking is available at the practice and is shared with the hospital. Consulting and treatment rooms are all on the ground floor. There is a practice website containing a range of information about the practice and other services.

The practice provides services under a General Medical Services (GMS) contract with the NHS Hambleton, Richmondshire and Whitby CCG. It is a semi-rural practice situated in Richmond serving Richmond and the surrounding villages.

The registered list size is 5,850 patients and approximately 96% are of white British background. The practice age profile is comparable to the England average with the highest age range being 65 years plus. The age range of 75 years upwards is similar to the local CCG average and slightly above the England average. The proportion of the practice population in the under 18 age group is similar to the local CCG and England average. The practice is a dispensing practice and dispenses to approximately 25% of their patients.

The practice scored eight on the deprivation measurement scale, the deprivation scale goes from one to ten, with one being the most deprived. People living in more deprived areas tend to have a greater need for health services.

The provider is registered with the Care Quality Commission to provide the regulated activities Diagnostic and screening procedures, Family planning, Maternity and midwifery services, Surgical procedures and Treatment of disease, disorder or injury.

The practice has three GP Partners and one salaried GP, two male and two females. The salaried GP works three mornings a week. There are three practice nurses and one health care assistant/phlebotomist all are female. There is a practice manager, dispensary manager and a team of administration, dispensary, reception and

The practice is a teaching practice which takes final year medical students and Foundation Doctors (FY2). FY2 doctors are in their second year after qualification.

The surgery is open between 8.15am to 6.00pm Monday to Friday. GP appointments are available between 08:30-12:00pm and 2pm to 6pm. Appointments can be booked up to four weeks in advance. The surgery provides

extended opening hours. These usually consist of one evening per week between 6.30pm and 7.30pm for pre-booked GP appointments only. The practice, along with all other practices in the area have a contractual agreement for the Out of Hours (OOHs) provider to

provide services when the practice is closed on weeknights, weekends and bank holidays. This has been agreed with the NHS England area team. Patients access the OOHs service by calling NHS 111.



Are services safe?

We rated the practice as good for providing safe services.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep people safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice had appropriate systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. Staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role Staff knew how to identify and report concerns.
- Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for their role and had received a Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) check. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.)
- Staff took steps, including working with other agencies, to protect patients from abuse, neglect, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out staff checks at the time of recruitment and on an ongoing basis. We checked four staff files and in two of the files we found that there was no record of interviews recorded.
- There were systems in place to manage infection prevention and control.
- Arrangements for managing waste and clinical specimens kept people safe.

Risks to patients

There were adequate systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- · Arrangements were in place for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed to meet patients' needs, including planning for holidays, sickness, busy periods and epidemics.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- The practice was equipped to deal with medical emergencies and staff were suitably trained in emergency procedures.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections including sepsis.

• When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Clinicians made timely referrals in line with protocols.

Appropriate and safe use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing and storing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, emergency medicines and equipment, minimised risks.
- The practice had reviewed the high antibiotic prescribing and had been able to identify the cause and identify a plan to address this issue.
- Staff prescribed and administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with current national guidance. The practice had reviewed its antibiotic prescribing which had been high and taken action to support good antimicrobial stewardship in line with local and national guidance.
- Patients' health was monitored in relation to the use of medicines and followed up appropriately. Patients were involved in regular reviews of their medicines and the practice had completed several related audits.
- Arrangements for dispensing medicines at the practice kept patients safe.

Track record on safety

- There were records of comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed safety using information from a range of sources.

Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.



Are services safe?

- Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. The GPs and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.
- The practice acted on and learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



Are services effective?

We rated the practice and all the population groups as good for providing effective services.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

Clinicians kept up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Staff accessed clinical guidelines from various sources including CCG updates, NICE website updates and Public Health England. We saw examples of when they had reviewed and acted on it.
- Patients' immediate and ongoing needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- · We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

Older people:

- Older patients who were frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs.
- Patients over 75 years old had a named GP.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- The practice was currently involved in the frailty project, an initiative to focus on the severely frail population to address their health needs. Patients were visited and assessed at home by the practice nurses.
- Staff had appropriate knowledge of treating older people including their psychological, mental and communication needs.
- Support was offered to carers and their wider family members. Carers with their permission, were coded on the practice record system.
- The practice took part in the routine and catch up shingles vaccination programme and the influenza vaccination programme.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met.
- There was a robust call and recall procedure, for patients who did not attend for their reviews.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training, for example, diabetes, asthma and respiratory disease.
- GPs followed up patients who had received treatment in hospital or through out of hours services for an acute exacerbation of asthma.
- The practice's performance on quality indicators for long term conditions was in line with local CCG and England averages.
- The practice nurse visited house bound patients to undertake long term condition annual reviews.

Families, children and young people:

- Childhood immunisation uptake rates were above the target percentage of 90%, the practice scored 96.4% in three of the four indicators.
- The practice had arrangements for following up failed attendance of children's appointments following an appointment in secondary care or for immunisation.
- The practice linked with the midwifery teams at the local hospital and shared the care of expectant mothers. Postnatal mother and baby checks were carried out and practice staff liaised with the Health Visiting team when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 75.8%, which was below the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme. The practice were aware of this and were actively trying to encourage patients to attend for cervical screening.
- The practice's uptake for breast and bowel cancer screening was similar to the national average. Information was displayed in the waiting room on breast and bowel cancer screening.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.



Are services effective?

- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.
- The practice offered family planning and contraceptive services, including the fitting of coils, and long acting contraceptives.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- The patients with Learning Disabilities (LD) were offered an annual review, including a review of their medication.
- The practice had a system for vaccinating patients with an underlying medical condition according to the recommended schedule.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- The practice assessed and monitored the physical health of people with mental illness, severe mental illness, and personality disorder by providing access to health checks, interventions for physical activity, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer and access to 'stop smoking' services. There was a system for following up patients who failed to attend for administration of long term medication.
- When patients were assessed to be at risk of suicide or self-harm the practice had arrangements in place to help them to remain safe.
- · Patients at risk of dementia were identified and offered an assessment to detect possible signs of dementia. When dementia was suspected there was an appropriate referral for diagnosis.
- The practices performance on quality indicators for mental health were above the local CCG and England averages. The practice also offered referrals to support groups and the services of the living well co-ordinator who were part of the practice staff.

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives.

- The practice quality and outcomes framework (QOF) results were in line with the local CCG and England averages. QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice.
- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out

- Staff had appropriate knowledge for their role, for example, to carry out reviews for people with long term conditions, older people and people requiring contraceptive reviews.
- Staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.
- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Records of skills, qualifications and training were kept, however these needed to be maintained so they accurately reflected all the training staff had completed.
- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. There was an induction programme for new staff. This included one to one meetings and mentoring.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- The practice shared clear and accurate information with relevant professionals when discussing care delivery for



Are services effective?

people with long term conditions and when coordinating healthcare for care home residents. They shared information with, and liaised, with community services, pharmacists, social services and carers for housebound patients and with health visitors and community services for children who had relocated into the local area.

- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which considered the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

• The practice identified patients who may need extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives. patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers. The practice had access to three palliative care beds in the community hospital.

- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their own health, for example through social prescribing schemes.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.

Are services caring?

We rated the practice as good for caring.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Feedback from patients was positive about the way staff treated people.
- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- The practices GP survey results were comparable with local and national averages for questions relating to kindness, respect and compassion.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients to be involved in decisions about care and treatment. They were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information that they are given.)

• Staff communicated with people in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available.

- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.
- The practice were particularly aware of the veteran population and the support they may require.
- The practice proactively identified carers and supported them.
- The practices national GP patient survey results were in line with the local CCG and England averages for questions relating to the GPs and nurses involving patients in decisions about care and treatment and explaining treatment and test results.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected patients' privacy and dignity.

- When patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues, or appeared distressed reception staff offered them a private room to discuss their needs.
- Staff recognised the importance of people's dignity and respect. They challenged behaviour that fell short of this

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

We rated the practice, and all the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs.
- The practice was located in a grade two listed building which created challenges. The practice had as far as possible, re-decorated, adapted and made adjustments ensuring access for patients was on the ground floor.
- The practice provided effective care coordination for patients who were more vulnerable or who had complex needs. They supported them to access services both within and outside the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice communicated regularly with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Families, children and young people:

 We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances.

- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.
- Appointments were available for children who could not attend the surgery during school hours or became ill during the day.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. The practice provided extended hours for booked appointments one evening per week.
- Patients could book appointments a month in advance in order to be able to plan around work commitments.
- The practice used the Electronic Prescribing System which allowed patients who worked to collect prescriptions from a pharmacy of their choice which may be closer to where they worked.
- The practice offered a dispensing service to patients who lived more than 1.6 km from the practice.
- Online access to book appointments and order prescriptions was available enabling patients to do this at their convenience.
- Telephone consultations were available for patients that might not be able to access the surgery during normal hours.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.
- People in vulnerable circumstances were easily able to register with the practice, including those with no fixed abode.
- Staff signposted patients to local services and voluntary organisations.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice was proactive in diagnosing patients with dementia and offered full support to patients, their families and carers.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

- Patients were referred or signposted to psychological therapies and counselling services when necessary.
- The practice would tailor appointments to meet the needs of this patient group.

Timely access to care and treatment

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- Patients reported that the appointment system was easy to use.

 The practices GP patient survey results published in July 2018 were in line with local CCG and England averages for questions relating to access to care and treatment.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.



Are services well-led?

We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality, sustainable care.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plan to achieve priorities.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social care priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice population was estimated to increase over the next few years with expansion of Catterick garrison predicted to increase by 30,000.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.
- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance consistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.

- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they needed. This included appraisal and career development conversations. Two staff had not received an annual appraisal in the last year. Staff however told us they were supported and had access to training.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity.
 Some staff had received equality and diversity training.
 Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control
- Practice leaders had established policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Practice leaders had oversight of safety alerts, incidents, and complaints.



Are services well-led?

- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice considered and understood the impact on the quality of care of service changes or developments.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.
- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice strived to involve patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. For example, following comments from patients about the different opening times for the dispensary and the practice, the practice reviewed the issue and opened the dispensary 30 minutes earlier.
- The practice had established a patient participation group (PPG). Some information on the PPG was available on the practice website and in the waiting area. The PPG was a virtual group and communication with members was done by e mail. The practice produced a regular newsletter to inform the PPG and patients of news and changes.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.
- The practice worked in partnership with other organisations such as the local GP Federation and the CCG locality group who worked together to improve outcomes for people living in the local area.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.

Please refer to the evidence tables for further information.