

Dr. Amin Amenien

Angel Smile Dental Care and Orthodontics

Inspection Report

41 Islington High Street
London
N1 9LH

Tel: 020 7837 6965

Website: www.reception@angelsmile.co.uk

Date of inspection visit: 10 February 2017

Date of publication: 14/03/2017

Overall summary

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection on 10 February 2017 to ask the practice the following key questions; Are services safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led?

Our findings were:

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services responsive?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Background

Angel Smile Dental Care and Orthodontics located in Islington London, provides private dental treatment to patients of all ages.

Practice staffing consists of the principal dentist, three associate dentists, one hygienist, four dental nurses, three trainee dental nurses and one receptionist.

The principal dentist is the registered manager. A registered manager is a person who is registered with the Care Quality Commission to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

The practice is open Monday to Thursday 8.30am to 7pm Friday 8.30am to 5.30pm and Saturday 9am to 5pm

The practice facilities include two treatment rooms, a reception and waiting area, decontamination room, office and staff room/kitchen.

20 patients provided feedback about the service. Patients we spoke with and those who completed comment cards were very positive about the care they received from the service. Patients told us that they were happy with the treatment and advice they had received.

Summary of findings

Our key findings were:

- Staff had received safeguarding children and adults training and knew the processes to follow to raise any concerns. The practice had whistleblowing policies and procedure and staff were aware of these and their responsibilities to report any concerns.
- There were systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection. Dental instruments were cleaned and sterilised in line with current guidance.
- The practice had a procedure for handling and responding to complaints, which were displayed and available to patients.
- Patients were treated with dignity and respect and confidentiality was maintained.
- Patients received clear explanations about their proposed treatment, costs, benefits and risks and were involved in making decisions about it.
- Equipment, such as the autoclaves, fire extinguishers, and X-ray equipment had all been checked for effectiveness and had been regularly serviced.
- Patients' needs were assessed and care was planned in line with current guidance such as from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE).
- The practice sought feedback from patients about the services they provided and acted on this to improve its services.
- Governance systems were effective and there were a range of policies and procedures in place which underpinned the management of the practice. Clinical audits were carried out to monitor the quality of services.
- Staff had been trained to handle medical emergencies, and appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment were readily available.

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had systems in place for identifying, investigating and learning from incidents relating to the safety of patients.

There was a range of equipment and medicines for use in medical emergencies and these were in line with national guidance. Staff had undertaken appropriate training. Medicines and equipment were stored appropriately, accessible and regularly checked.

The practice was visibly clean and infection control procedures were in line with national guidance.

The cleaning and decontamination of dental instruments was carried out in line with current guidelines. Regular audits and checks were carried out to ensure that the infection control arrangements were effective.

Patients were protected against the risks of abuse or harm through the practice policies and procedures. Staff were trained to recognise and report concerns about patients' safety and welfare and had access to contact details for the local safeguarding team.

The practice had undertaken a risk assessment in relation to the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health 2002 (COSHH) regulations.

No action



Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Health education for patients was provided by the dentists, hygienist and dental nurse that had undertaken extended duties in fluoride application; information leaflets were available within the practice. They provided patients with advice to improve and maintain good oral health.

The practice provided evidence-based care in accordance with relevant, published guidance, for example, from the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP), National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), Department of Health (DH) and the General Dental Council (GDC).

Patients were offered options of treatments available and were advised of the associated risks and intended benefits. Patients' consent was obtained and they were provided with a detailed written treatment plan which described the treatments considered and agreed together with the proposed timeframe for completions and the fees involved.

The staff kept their training up-to-date and received professional development appropriate to their role and learning needs. Staff who were registered with the General Dental Council (GDC) demonstrated that they were supported by the practice in continuing their professional development (CPD) and were meeting the requirements of their professional registration.

No action



Summary of findings

Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Patients felt listened to and were given appropriate information and support regarding their care or treatment. They felt their dentist explained the treatment they needed in a way they could understand. Staff had a good awareness of how to support patients who may lack capacity to make decisions about their dental care and treatment.

The practice had procedures in place for respecting patients' privacy, dignity and providing compassionate care and treatment. An office was available should patients wish to speak confidentially with the dentist or reception staff. Staff had undertaken training around respecting and promoting equality and diversity.

Patients were complimentary about the practice and how the staff treated them. Patients commented positively on how caring and helpful staff were, describing them as friendly, compassionate and professional.

No action



Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

Appointment times met the needs of patients and waiting times were kept to a minimum. Staff told us all patients who requested an urgent appointment would be seen where possible on the same day or within 24 hours.

The practice had a complaints process which was available to support any patients who wished to make a complaint. The process described the timescales involved for responding to a complaint and who was responsible in the practice for managing them. Complaints were investigated and responded to in a timely manner and a suitable explanation and apology was offered.

Patients who had difficulty understanding care and treatment options were suitably supported.

The practice had a procedure in place for dealing with complaints.

No action



Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The staff described an open and transparent culture where they were comfortable raising and discussing concerns with each other.

The dental care records were maintained appropriately giving due regard to guidance provided by the Faculty of General Dental Practice (FGDP) regarding clinical examinations and record keeping.

There was a pro-active approach to identify safety issues and make improvements in procedures. There was candour, openness, honesty and transparency amongst all staff we spoke with.

No action



Summary of findings

<p>Patients' views were regularly sought by way of a patient survey and these were acted upon as required.</p>	
--	--

Angel Smile Dental Care and Orthodontics

Detailed findings

Background to this inspection

We carried out this inspection under Section 60 of the Health and Social Care Act 2008 as part of our regulatory functions. This inspection was planned to check whether the practice was meeting the legal requirements and regulations associated with the Health and Social Care Act 2008.

The inspection took place 10 February 2017 and was undertaken by a CQC inspector and a dental specialist advisor. Prior to the inspection we reviewed information submitted by the provider.

The methods used to carry out this inspection included speaking with the principal dentist, associate dentist, dental nurse and the receptionist on the day of the inspection, and reviewing documents and, completed patient feedback forms.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

Are services safe?

Our findings

Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

Staff understood the process for accident and incident reporting including their responsibilities under the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 2013 (RIDDOR). The practice manager told us any accident or incidents would be discussed at practice meetings or whenever they arose. We reviewed the practice's significant event records, the accident book and the minutes from practice meetings. There had been one incident in the last 12 months. These were dealt with in line with the practice protocol.

The practice had policies and procedures in place to investigate, respond to and learn from significant events and complaints. Staff were aware of the reporting procedures in place and encouraged to raise safety issues to the attention of colleagues and the partners.

The principal dentist received alerts by email from the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), these were printed for staff reference and also discussed in staff meetings

We discussed the Duty of Candour requirement in place on providers and the registered manager demonstrated understanding of the requirement. They gave us explanations of how they ensured they were open and transparent with patients. The explanations were in line with the expectations under the duty of candour. [Duty of candour is a requirement under The Health and Social Care Act 2008 (Regulated Activities) Regulations 2014 on a registered person who must act in an open and transparent way with relevant persons in relation to care and treatment provided to service users in carrying on a regulated activity].

The practice had policies and procedures in relation to this and these were regularly discussed with the practice team. We were told that if there was an incident or accident that affected a patient they would apologise to the patient and engage with them to address the issue in accordance with their practice's policy and procedures governing the duty of candour.

Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

The system for managing medical histories was comprehensive and robust. All patients were requested to complete medical history forms including existing medical conditions, social history and medication they were taking. Medical histories were updated at each subsequent visit.

The principal dentist was the safeguarding lead. The practice had policies and procedures in place for safeguarding adults and child protection. Staff had completed child protection and adult safeguarding training. Details of the local authority safeguarding teams were readily available, as were the relevant safeguarding escalation flowcharts and diagrams for recording incidents. This information was also displayed for staff reference. Staff we spoke with demonstrated an understanding of safeguarding issues including how to respond to suspected and actual safeguarding incidents.

We noted that rubber dams were routinely used in root canal treatment in line with current guidance. (A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway). Rubber dams should be used when endodontic treatment is being provided. On the rare occasions when it is not possible to use rubber dam the reasons should be recorded in the patient's dental care records giving details as to how the patient's safety was assured.

Medical emergencies

There were emergency medicines in line with the British National Formulary (BNF) guidance for medical emergencies in dental practice. These were stored securely. Staff also had access to emergency equipment on the premises including medical oxygen. We saw records of the daily/weekly checks that were carried out to ensure the medicines were not past their expiry dates and there were daily and weekly checks to ensure equipment was in working order.

The practice had an automated external defibrillator (AED) in line with Resuscitation Council UK guidance and the General Dental Council (GDC) standards for the dental team. [An AED is a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and delivers an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm].

Are services safe?

Staff had completed recent basic life support training which was updated annually and were aware of where medical equipment was kept.

Staff recruitment

The principal dentist told us that the current staffing number was sufficient to meet the needs of their patients.

The provider had an appropriate policy in place for the selection and employment of staff. This included requiring applicants to provide proof of address, proof of identification, references, and proof of professional qualifications and registrations.

Staff had a Disclosure and Barring Services (DBS) check completed (The DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable) and where relevant had to provide proof of immunisation against Hepatitis B (People who are likely to come into contact with blood products, or are at increased risk of needle-stick injuries should receive these vaccinations to minimise risks of blood borne infections).

We reviewed staff recruitment records and found that all appropriate checks and documents were present. We saw confirmation of clinical staffs' registration with the General Dental Council (GDC).

Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks

The practice carried out risk assessments to ensure they were prepared to respond to safety issues. This included a practice risk assessment which had been completed in June 2016.

The practice had a health and safety policy and appropriate plans in place to deal with foreseeable emergencies. The health and safety policy covered identifying hazards and matters relating to staff and people who accessed the practice. There was a business continuity plan that outlined the intended purpose to help the practice overcome unexpected incidents and the responsibilities and duties. The plan outlined potential problems such as loss of computer system, loss of telephone and loss of electricity. Procedures were in place to enable them to respond to each situation. Where relevant contact telephone numbers of organisations to contact were listed in the policy.

Infection control

The practice had an infection control policy that outlined the procedure for all issues relating to minimising the risk and spread of infections.

There was a separate area for decontamination of used dental instruments. The dental nurse gave a demonstration of the decontamination process which was in line with guidance issued by the Department of Health, namely 'Health Technical Memorandum 01-05 - Decontamination in primary care dental practices (HTM 01-05).

Staff had undertaken infection control training and a dental nurse demonstrated to us how they processed the dirty instruments through to clean and ready for use again. The process of cleaning, disinfection, inspection, sterilisation, packaging and storage of instruments followed a system of zoning with a clear flow from dirty to clean area in line with current guidance. Staff demonstrated that they cleaned dental instruments thoroughly and checked them before they were sterilised in the autoclave. At the end of the sterilising procedure the instruments were dried, packaged, sealed, stored and dated with an expiry date. We looked at the sealed instruments in the surgeries and found that they all had an expiry date in line with the recommendations from the Department of Health HTM-01-05.

Staff wore the correct personal protective equipment, such as apron and gloves during the process

We saw records of all the checks and tests that were carried out on the compressor and ultra-sonic bath to ensure they were working effectively. The checks and tests were in line with guidance recommendations.

Clinical waste bins were assembled and labelled correctly in each surgery and waste was stored appropriately until collection by an external company.

The treatment room was visibly clean and tidy. There were appropriate stocks of personal protective equipment such as gloves and disposable aprons for both staff and patients. There were enough cleaning materials for the practice. Paper hand towels and hand gel was available.

We were told the dental nurse was responsible for cleaning all surfaces and the dental chair in the surgery in-between patients and at the beginning and end of each session of the practice in the mornings/ evenings. Staff undertook domestic cleaning at the practice.

Are services safe?

A Legionella risk assessment had been carried out in June 2016. [Legionella is a bacterium found in the environment which can contaminate water systems in buildings]. Hot and cold water temperature monitoring was being undertaken and documented and dental unit water lines were being maintained in line with current guidance. Water temperature checks were completed every month on water lines in the surgeries, toilets and decontamination room. Purified water was used in dental lines. Taps were flushed daily in line with recommendations.

Infection control audits were carried out every six months; the last one having been carried out in September 2016.

Equipment and medicines

The practice had an effective system in place regarding the management and stock control of the dental materials used in clinical practice.

There were appropriate arrangements in place to ensure equipment was suitably maintained. Service contracts were in place for the maintenance of the compressor and autoclaves. The compressor was serviced in February 2016

and the autoclaves in December 2016 compressor. The practice had portable appliances and had carried out PAT (portable appliance testing). Appliances were last tested in October 2016.

The dentists used the British National Formulary to keep up to date about medicines. The batch numbers and expiry dates for local anaesthetics, where used were recorded.

Radiography (X-rays)

The practice had a radiation protection file that was up to date and demonstrated appropriate maintenance of X-ray equipment. Local rules were in place and had been signed and dated by all members of staff. In-house training regarding local rules had been given to all staff. One of the principal dentists was the radiation protection supervisor (RPS) and the practice had an external radiation protection adviser (RPA). We also saw evidence of staff qualifications for radiation training. An inventory of all equipment being used was present and maintenance records were up to date, with equipment last being serviced in July 2014.

The critical examination test, risk assessment and quality assurance documentation were present. X-ray audits were being conducted on a quarterly basis to maintain standards.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

The dentists used current National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the British National Formulary (BNF) guidelines to assess each patient's individual risks as regards oral health and needs to determine how frequently to recall them.

During the course of our inspection we checked a sample of dental care records from all the dentists to confirm the findings. We saw evidence of comprehensive assessments to establish individual patient needs. The assessment included completing a medical history, outlining medical conditions and allergies (which was reviewed at each visit), a social history recording habits such as eating and activity and an extra- and intra-oral examination. The reason for visit was documented and a full clinical assessment was completed. An assessment of the periodontal tissue was taken and recorded using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) tool. The BPE tool is a simple and rapid screening tool used by dentists to indicate the level of treatment need in relation to a patient's gums.

Health promotion & prevention

The dentists told us that they gave health promotion and prevention advice to patients. Leaflets relating to diet, soft and hard tissues checks, cancer screening and smoking cessation advice where applicable were given to patients. Brushing techniques were demonstrated and diagrams and videos were used to help patients understand the benefits of maintaining good oral health.

The dentists told us that health promotion, especially raising awareness of better oral health hygiene and lowering sugar intake amongst was a priority for the practice.

The dentists were aware of and were using the Department of Health publication - 'Delivering Better Oral Health; a toolkit for prevention' which is an evidence based toolkit used by dental teams for the prevention of dental disease in a primary and secondary care setting.

Staffing

The dentists and dental nurses told us that they worked well as a team. There were ongoing training and development opportunities available and the nurse was

supported and undertook an annual appraisal of their performance from which areas for personal development were identified and planned for. Records showed that staff had undertaken training in areas including basic life support, infection control and safeguarding children and vulnerable adults, health and safety and fire safety, equality and diversity, Mental Capacity Act 2005 and information governance.

Clinical staff had current registration with their professional body - the General Dental Council. There was evidence that the staff were up to date with their continuing professional development requirements, and working through their five year cycle. [The GDC require all dentists to carry out at least 250 hours of CPD every five years and dental nurses must carry out 150 hours every five years].

Working with other services

The practice had processes in place for effective working with other services. All referrals were received and sent by fax/post using a standard proforma or letter. Information relating to the patient's personal details, reason for referral and medical history was contained in the referral. Copies of all referrals received and sent were kept in the patient's dental care records. We checked a sample of referrals received by practice and saw they were appropriately dealt with in the correct way.

Consent to care and treatment

Staff ensured patients were given relevant information and their consent obtained before treatment began. Patients and staff told us that the intended benefits, potential complications and risks of the treatment options and the appropriate fees were discussed before treatment commenced. Patients said that they were given time to consider and make informed decisions about which option they preferred. Staff were aware that consent could be removed at any time.

The practice had a consent policy for staff to refer to. The policy outlined how consent could be obtained and how it should be documented. The practice also had a folder with information relating to mental capacity, outlining how to assess if a person lacked capacity and what to do in such circumstances. Staff could demonstrate an understanding of the requirements of the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) 2005, including the best interest principle and Gillick

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

competence. [The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) provides a legal framework for health and care professionals to act and make decisions on behalf of adults who lack the capacity to make particular decisions].

Are services caring?

Our findings

Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy

We received feedback from 20 patients. Feedback was very positive. Patients told us that staff treated them with dignity, respect and empathy. We were given examples of how staff displayed these qualities including being attentive to their needs and ensuring privacy was maintained during treatment.

A data protection and confidentiality policy was in place of which staff were aware. This covered disclosure of and the secure handling of patient information. We observed the interaction between the nurse/receptionist and patients and found that confidentiality was being maintained. Dental care records were held securely.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

The patient feedback we received confirmed that their dentist involved them in making decisions about their

dental care and treatment. They told us that the dentist always explained their treatments in a way that they could understand and allowed them time to consider the treatment options available and to ask any questions in relation to their care and treatment. They said that the intended benefits, risks and potential complications were explained so that patients could make informed decisions about their dental care and treatment. Patients also told us that they were given time to think about their options including being given a copy of their treatment plan.

The dentists demonstrated that they understood the principles of the Gillick competency test and applied it. The test is used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions about their care and treatment. Staff told us that patients with disabilities or in need of extra support were given as much time as was needed to explain and provide the treatment required.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

Responding to and meeting patients' needs

The practice had an appropriate appointments system that responded to the needs of their patients. Emergency and non-routine appointments were available every day and fitted in as add-ons to scheduled appointments. If a patient had a dental emergency they were asked to come in and would be seen as soon as possible.

The services provided include implants, preventative advice and treatment, routine, cosmetic, restorative dental care and orthodontic treatment. We found the practice had an efficient appointment system in place to respond to patients' needs. The principal dentist told us the majority of patients who requested an urgent appointment would be seen on the day.

Tackling inequity and promoting equality

The practice had equality and diversity and disability policies to support staff in understanding and meeting the needs of patients. The dentist told us that longer appointment times were available for patients who required extra time or support, such as patients who were particularly nervous or anxious. Staff explained to us how they supported patients with additional needs such as young children. They ensured patients were supported by a parent and that there was sufficient time to explain fully the care and treatment they were providing in a way the patient and parent understood.

The principal dentists told us that the local population was diverse with a mix of patients from various cultures and

background. Staff at the practice spoke a range of different languages including; Russian, German, Swedish, Romanian, Danish and Farsi. A hearing loop was also available for any patients that were hard of hearing.

Access to the service

Appointments were booked by calling the practice. In the event of a patient needing an appointment outside of the opening times, patients were directed to an in-house out of hour's service via recorded message on the practice answer machine.

Patients who provided feedback were aware of how to access appointments both during opening hours and outside of opening hours. They were satisfied with the way information was made available to them.

Concerns & complaints

The practice had a complaints policy which provided staff with clear guidance about how to handle a complaint. Patients were provided with information, which explained how they could make complaints and how these would be dealt with and responded to. Patients were also advised how they could escalate their concerns should they remain dissatisfied with the outcome of their complaint or if they felt their concerns were not dealt with fairly. This information was displayed in the practice waiting room.

We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients. We found there was an effective system in place which helped ensure a timely response. The practice had received one complaint in the last 12 months. These had been dealt with in line with the practice protocol.

Are services well-led?

Our findings

Governance arrangements

The practice had a range of policies and procedures for the smooth running of the service. There was a system in place for policies to be reviewed periodically. Staff we spoke with confirmed that they knew how to access policies and found them useful to enable them to work effectively. Staff were fully supported to meet their continuing professional development needs.

The practice had a comprehensive programme of audits in place. Various audits had been completed over the past 12 months including audits on X-rays, record keeping and infection control. We reviewed the audits and saw that the aim of the audit was clearly outlined along with learning outcomes. Findings were summarised with actions identified.

There were systems and processes in place to assess monitor and mitigate the risks relating to the health, safety and welfare of service users. For example, monitoring staff training and development needs, assessing risks to the health and safety of staff and patients through a range of safety related audits including fire and infection control.

Staff members had defined roles and were all involved in areas of clinical governance.

Leadership, openness and transparency

Leadership was clear in the practice and we saw clear examples of how the principal dentists led by example and promoted an atmosphere of openness amongst staff. For example, we saw that meetings were used to discuss issues related to staffing issues, incidents and errors. Staff we

spoke with told us that leaders were open and transparent and they felt confident going to them regardless of what the situation was (i.e. if they had to make them aware of a mistake they had made or discuss an issue).

We discussed the Duty of Candour requirement in place on providers and the practice manager demonstrated understanding of the requirement.

Learning and improvement

The practice had processes in place to ensure staff were supported to develop and continuously improve. Appraisals were carried out yearly for staff. This process included setting objectives and highlighting areas for development. We reviewed staff appraisals and saw they supported learning outcomes. Training such as infection control and life support was arranged centrally for all staff. Other training opportunities were available for staff and this was identified through the appraisal process and staff discussion, staff could also request if they desired any additional training.

Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice carried out patient satisfaction surveys on a continuous basis. Results were analysed to identify themes and trends. We reviewed the results of recently completed forms and they were very positive and also outlined any areas of improvements for the practice to consider.

Staff we spoke with confirmed their views were sought about practice developments through staff meetings. They also said that the principal dentists were approachable and they could go to them if they had suggestions for improvement to the service.