

Dr. Vida Badianat

# Burpham Dental Care

## Inspection Report

1 New inn Lane  
Guildford  
Surrey  
GU4 7HN  
Tel: 01483 561666

Date of inspection visit: 28 November 2016  
Date of publication: 06/03/2017

### Overall summary

Burpham Dental Care is a dental practice providing NHS and private treatment for both adults and children. The practice is based in a converted residential premises in Guildford, a town situated in Surrey. The practice has an arrangement whereby the two practice owners each have an individual NHS contract with two dentists working under each contract holder. The governance arrangements for the practice consisted of several individual responsibilities and other areas where there is joint responsibility for governance systems, processes and protocols.

The practice has four dental treatment rooms, two on the ground floor and two on the first floor. Each of the practice owners has two treatment rooms, one on each floor. This enables each contract holder to offer treatment on the ground floor for those patients with limited mobility or who cannot manage the stairs to the first-floor treatment rooms. Decontamination of dental instruments is carried out in each treatment room.

This practice owner employs two dentists, two trainee dental nurses and two shared receptionists.

The practice's opening hours are 8am to 5.30pm from Monday to Friday.

There are arrangements in place to ensure patients receive urgent medical assistance when the practice is closed. This is provided by an out-of-hours service.

The practice owner is the registered manager for this registration. A registered manager is a person who is

registered with the Care Quality Commission (CQC) to manage the service. Like registered providers, they are 'registered persons'. Registered persons have legal responsibility for meeting the requirements in the Health and Social Care Act 2008 and associated Regulations about how the practice is run.

Before the inspection we sent Care Quality Commission (CQC) comments cards to the practice for patients to complete to tell us about their experience of the practice. We collected 48 completed cards. All the comments from patients were positive about the care they received from the practice.

#### Our key findings were:

- We found that the practice ethos was to provide patient centred dental care in a relaxed and friendly environment.
- Leadership was provided by the practice owner.
- Staff had been trained to handle emergencies and appropriate medicines and life-saving equipment was readily available in accordance with current guidelines.
- The practice appeared clean and well maintained.
- There was appropriate equipment for staff to undertake their duties, and equipment was well maintained.

# Summary of findings

- Infection control procedures followed published guidance.
- The practice owner acted as the safeguarding lead with effective processes in place for safeguarding adults and children living in vulnerable circumstances.
- There was a process in place for the reporting and shared learning when untoward incidents occurred in the practice.
- Dentists provided dental care in accordance with current professional and National Institute for Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines.
- The service was aware of the needs of the local population and took these into account in how the practice was run.
- Patients could access treatment and urgent and emergency care when required.
- Staff received training appropriate to their roles and were supported in their continued professional development (CPD) by the practice owner.

- Staff we spoke with felt well supported by the practice owner and were committed to providing a quality service to their patients.
- Information from 48 completed Care Quality Commission (CQC) comment cards gave us a positive picture of a friendly, caring, professional and high quality service.

There were areas where the provider could make improvements and should:

- Consider providing an annual statement in relation to infection prevention control required under The Health and Social Care Act 2008: 'Code of Practice about the prevention and control of infections and related guidance.
- Review the availability of hearing loops for patients who are hard of hearing.
- Review the practice's audit protocols of various aspects of the service, such as radiography and infection control.

# Summary of findings

## The five questions we ask about services and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

### Are services safe?

We found that this practice was providing safe care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The practice had effective arrangements for essential areas such as infection control, clinical waste control, management of medical emergencies at the practice and dental radiography (X-rays). We found that all the equipment used in the dental practice was well maintained.

The practice took its responsibilities for patient safety seriously and staff were aware of the importance of identifying, investigating and learning from patient safety incidents.

Staff had received safeguarding training and were aware of their responsibilities regarding safeguarding children and vulnerable adults.

No action



### Are services effective?

We found that this practice was providing effective care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The dental care provided was evidence based and focussed on the needs of the patients. The practice used current national professional guidance including that from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) to guide their practice.

We saw examples of positive teamwork within the practice and evidence of good communication with other dental professionals. The staff received professional training and development appropriate to their roles and learning needs.

No action



### Are services caring?

We found that this practice was providing caring services in accordance with the relevant regulations.

All the patients commented that the quality of care was very good. Patients commented on friendliness and helpfulness of the staff and dentists were good at explaining the treatment that was proposed.

No action



### Are services responsive to people's needs?

We found that this practice was providing responsive care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

The service was aware of the needs of the local population and took these into account in how the practice was run.

Patients could access treatment and urgent and emergency care when required. The practice provided patients with access to telephone interpreter services when required.

No action



### Are services well-led?

We found that this practice was providing well-led care in accordance with the relevant regulations.

No action



# Summary of findings

The governance arrangements for the practice consisted of several individual responsibilities and other areas where there is joint responsibility for governance systems, processes and protocols.

Staff had an open approach to their work and shared a commitment to continually improving the service they provided.

There was a no blame culture in the practice. The practice had clinical governance and risk management structures in place.

We saw evidence of systems to identify staff learning needs which were underpinned by an appraisal system and clinical audit. Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuing professional development as required by the General Dental Council.

Staff told us that they felt well supported and could raise any concerns with the practice owner. All the staff we met said that they were happy in their work and the practice was a good place to work.

# Burpham Dental Care

## Detailed findings

### Background to this inspection

We carried out an announced, comprehensive inspection on 28 November 2016. Our inspection was carried out by a lead inspector and a dental specialist adviser.

During our inspection visit, we spoke to staff, we reviewed policy documents and staff training and recruitment records.

We conducted a tour of the practice and looked at the storage arrangements for emergency medicines and equipment. We were shown the decontamination procedures for dental instruments and the systems that supported the patient dental care records.

Patients gave positive feedback about their experience at the practice.

To get to the heart of patients' experiences of care and treatment, we always ask the following five questions:

- Is it safe?
- Is it effective?
- Is it caring?
- Is it responsive to people's needs?
- Is it well-led?

These questions therefore formed the framework for the areas we looked at during the inspection.

# Are services safe?

## Our findings

### Reporting, learning and improvement from incidents

The practice had a reporting system in place when something went wrong; this system also included the reporting of minor injuries to patients and staff. Staff told us if there was an accident that affected a patient they would give an apology and inform them of any actions taken to prevent a reoccurrence. Staff reported there was an open and transparent culture at the practice which encouraged candour and honesty.

The practice received national patient safety alerts such as those issued by the Medicines and Healthcare Regulatory Authority (MHRA). Where relevant, these alerts were shared with all members of staff by the practice owner.

### Reliable safety systems and processes (including safeguarding)

We spoke to two dental nurses about the prevention of needle stick injuries. They explained that the treatment of sharps and sharps waste was in accordance with the current EU directive with respect to safe sharp guidelines, thus helping to protect staff from blood borne diseases. The practice used a system whereby needles were not manually re-sheathed using the hands following administration of a local anaesthetic to a patient. Dentists were also responsible for the disposal of used sharps and needles. A practice protocol was in place should a needle stick injury occur. The systems and processes we observed were in line with the current EU Directive on the use of safer sharps.

We asked two dentists how they treated the use of instruments used during root canal treatment. They explained that these instruments were single patient use only. The practice followed appropriate guidance issued by the British Endodontic Society in relation to the use of the rubber dam. They explained that root canal treatment was carried out where practically possible using a rubber dam. A rubber dam is a thin, rectangular sheet, usually latex rubber, used in dentistry to isolate the operative site from the rest of the mouth and protect the airway. Rubber dams should be used when endodontic treatment is being provided.

The provider acted as safeguarding lead who was the point of referral should members of staff encounter a child or

adult safeguarding issue. A policy and protocol was in place for staff to refer to in relation to children and adults who may be the victim of abuse or neglect. Training records showed that staff had received appropriate safeguarding training for both vulnerable adults and children. Information was available in the practice that contained telephone numbers of whom to contact outside of the practice if there was a need, such as the local authority responsible for investigations. The practice reported that there had been no safeguarding incidents that required further investigation by appropriate authorities.

### Medical emergencies

The practice had arrangements in place to deal with medical emergencies at the practice. The practice had an automated external defibrillator (AED), a portable electronic device that analyses life threatening irregularities of the heart and is able to deliver an electrical shock to attempt to restore a normal heart rhythm. Staff had received training in how to use this equipment.

The practice had in place emergency medicines as set out in the British National Formulary guidance for dealing with common medical emergencies in a dental practice. The practice had access to medical oxygen along with other related items such as manual breathing aids and portable suction in line with the Resuscitation Council UK guidelines. The emergency medicines and oxygen we saw were all in date and stored in a central location known to all staff.

### Staff recruitment

There were effective recruitment and selection procedures in place. We reviewed the staff recruitment files for six staff members. Each file contained evidence that satisfied the requirements of relevant legislation. This included employment history, evidence of qualifications, photographic evidence of the employee's identification. The qualification, skills and experience of each employee had been fully considered as part of the recruitment process.

Appropriate checks had been made before staff commenced employment including evidence of their professional registration with the General Dental Council (where required) and checks with the Disclosure and Barring Service had been carried out. The Disclosure and

# Are services safe?

Barring Service carries out checks to identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they might have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable.

## **Monitoring health & safety and responding to risks**

The practice had arrangements in place to monitor health and safety and deal with foreseeable emergencies. The practice maintained a system of policies and risk assessments which included radiation, fire safety, general health and safety and those pertaining to all the equipment used in the practice.

The practice had in place a well maintained Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) file. This file contained details of the way substances and materials used in dentistry should be handled and the precautions taken to prevent harm to staff and patients.

## **Infection control**

There were effective systems in place to reduce the risk and spread of infection within the practice. The practice had in place an infection control policy that was regularly reviewed. It was demonstrated through direct observation of the cleaning process and a review of practice protocols that HTM 01 05 (national guidance for infection prevention and control in dental practices) Essential Quality Requirements for infection control was being met.

We saw that the two dental treatment rooms used by this provider, waiting area, reception and toilet were visibly clean, tidy and clutter free. Clear zoning demarking clean from dirty areas was apparent in all treatment rooms. Hand washing facilities were available including liquid soap and paper towel dispensers in each of the treatment rooms.

The drawers of the two treatment rooms were inspected and these were clean, ordered and free from clutter. Each treatment room had the appropriate routine personal protective equipment available for staff use, this included protective gloves and visors.

The dental nurse we spoke with described to us the end-to-end process of infection control procedures at the practice. They explained the decontamination of the general treatment room environment following the treatment of a patient. They demonstrated how the working surfaces, dental unit and dental chair were decontaminated. This included the treatment of the dental water lines.

The dental water lines were maintained to prevent the growth and spread of Legionella bacteria (Legionella is a term for particular bacteria which can contaminate water systems in buildings); they described the method they used which was in line with current HTM 01 05 guidelines. We saw that a Legionella risk assessment had been carried out at the practice by a competent person in March 2016. The recommended procedures contained in the report were carried out and logged.

The practice used a separate area of each treatment room for instrument cleaning, sterilisation and the packaging of processed instruments. The dental nurse we spoke with demonstrated the process from taking the dirty instruments through to clean and ready for use again. The process of cleaning, inspection, sterilisation, packaging and storage of instruments followed a well-defined system of zoning from dirty through to clean.

The practice used a system of manual scrubbing and an ultrasonic cleaning bath for the initial cleaning process, following inspection with an illuminated magnifier; the instruments were placed in an autoclave (a device for sterilising dental and medical instruments). When the instruments had been sterilised, they were pouched and stored until required. All pouches were dated with an expiry date in accordance with current guidelines.

We were shown the systems in place to ensure that the autoclaves and ultrasonic cleaning baths used in the decontamination process were working effectively. It was observed that the log books used to record the essential daily and weekly validation checks of the sterilisation cycles and the ultrasonic cleaning baths were complete and up to date.

The segregation and storage of clinical waste was in line with current guidelines laid down by the Department of Health. We observed that sharps containers, clinical waste bags and municipal waste were properly maintained in accordance with current guidelines. The practice used an appropriate contractor to remove clinical waste from the practice. This was stored in a separate locked storage bin adjacent to the practice prior to collection by the waste contractor. Waste consignment notices were available for inspection.

# Are services safe?

We saw that general environmental cleaning was carried out according to a cleaning plan developed by the practice. Cleaning materials and equipment were stored in accordance with current national guidelines.

## Equipment and medicines

Equipment checks were regularly carried out in line with the manufacturer's recommendations. For example, the autoclaves had been serviced and calibrated in October 2015 and were due to be serviced again in October 2016. The practice's X-ray machines had been serviced and calibrated in May 2015 as specified under current national regulations.

Portable appliance testing (PAT) had been carried out in August 2015. We also found that the practice compressor had been serviced in October 2016.

The batch numbers and expiry dates for local anaesthetics were recorded in patient dental care records. These medicines were stored securely. We observed that the practice had equipment to deal with minor first aid problems such as minor eye problems.

## Radiography (X-rays)

We were shown documents in line with the Ionising Radiation Regulations 1999 and Ionising Radiation Medical Exposure Regulations 2000 (IRMER). These documents contained the names of the Radiation Protection Advisor and the Radiation Protection Supervisor and the necessary documentation pertaining to the maintenance of the X-ray equipment. Included in the file were the annual maintenance and calibration logs and a copy of the local rules (local rules must contain the name of the appointed Radiation Protection Advisor, the identification and description of each controlled area and a summary of the arrangements for restriction access. Additionally, they must summarise the working instructions, any contingency arrangements and the dose investigation level).

A quality assurance system was in place to ensure that dental X-rays were of a good quality. Dental care records we saw where X-rays had been taken showed that dental X-rays were justified, reported on and quality assured. These findings showed that the practice was acting in accordance with national radiological guidelines and patients and staff were protected from unnecessary exposure to radiation.



# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

## Our findings

### Monitoring and improving outcomes for patients

We spoke to two dentists who carried out consultations, assessments and treatment in line with recognised general professional guidelines. Both dentists we spoke with described to us how they carried out their assessment of patients for routine care.

The assessment began with the patient completing a medical history questionnaire disclosing any health conditions, medicines being taken and any allergies suffered. We saw evidence that the medical history was updated at subsequent visits. This was followed by an examination covering the condition of a patient's teeth, gums and soft tissues and the signs of mouth cancer. Patients were then made aware of the condition of their oral health and whether it had changed since the last appointment. Following the clinical assessment, the diagnosis was then discussed with the patient and treatment options explained in detail.

Where relevant, preventative dental information was given to improve the outcome for the patient. This included dietary advice and general oral hygiene instruction such as tooth brushing techniques or recommended tooth care products. The patient dental care record was updated with the proposed treatment after discussing options with the patient. A treatment plan was then given to each patient and this included the cost involved. Patients were monitored through follow-up appointments and these were scheduled in line with their individual requirements.

Dental care records that were shown to us by the dentists demonstrated that the findings of the assessment and details of the treatment carried out were recorded appropriately. We saw details of the condition of the gums using the basic periodontal examination (BPE) scores and soft tissues lining the mouth. The BPE tool is a simple and rapid screening tool used by dentists to indicate the level of treatment need in relation to a patient's gums. These were carried out where appropriate during a dental health assessment.

### Health promotion & prevention

The practice was focused on the prevention of dental disease and the maintenance of good oral health.

Both dentists we spoke with explained that children at high risk of tooth decay were identified and were offered fluoride varnish applications to keep their teeth in a healthy condition. They also placed fissure sealants (special plastic coatings on the biting surfaces of permanent back teeth in children who were particularly vulnerable to dental decay).

The dentists described the oral health advice that they gave which included tooth brushing techniques explained to patients in a way they understood and dietary, smoking and alcohol advice was given to them where appropriate. This was in line with the Department of Health guidelines on prevention known as 'Delivering Better Oral Health'.

Dental care records we observed demonstrated that the dentists had given oral health advice to patients. The practice also sold a range of dental hygiene products to maintain healthy teeth and gums; these were available in the reception area.

### Staffing

There was an induction and training programme for staff to follow which ensured they were skilled and competent in delivering safe and effective care and support to patients. Staff members were given a handbook which detailed their rights and responsibilities as an employee and detailed the practice health and safety policy.

Staff had undertaken training to ensure they were kept up to date with the core training and registration requirements issued by the General Dental Council. This included areas such as responding to medical emergencies, infection control and prevention, radiology and safeguarding vulnerable people.

There was an appraisal system in place which was used to identify training and development needs. Staff were supported by the provider and they were given opportunities to learn and develop.

### Working with other services

The dentists explained how they worked with other services. Dentists could refer patients to a range of specialists in primary and secondary services if the treatment required was not provided by the practice. The practice used referral criteria and referral forms developed by other primary and secondary care providers such as special care dentistry and orthodontic providers.

### Consent to care and treatment

# Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

We spoke to dentists who explained how they implemented the principles of informed consent; they had a very clear understanding of consent issues. The dentists explained how individual treatment options, risks, benefits and costs were discussed with each patient and then documented in a written treatment plan. They stressed the importance of communication skills when explaining care and treatment to patients to help ensure they understood their treatment options.

The dentists went on to explain how they would obtain consent from a patient who suffered with any mental impairment that may mean that they might be unable to

fully understand the implications of their treatment. If there was any doubt about their ability to understand or consent to the treatment, then treatment would be postponed. They added they would involve relatives and carers if appropriate to ensure that the best interests of the patient were served as part of the process. This followed the guidelines of the Mental Capacity Act 2005. Staff were familiar with the concept of Gillick competence in respect of the care and treatment of children under 16. Gillick competence is used to help assess whether a child has the maturity to make their own decisions and to understand the implications of those decisions.

# Are services caring?

## Our findings

### **Respect, dignity, compassion & empathy**

Treatment rooms were situated away from the main waiting areas and we saw that doors were closed always when patients were with dentists. Patients' clinical records were stored in both electronic and paper formats.

Computers which contained patient confidential information were password protected and regularly backed up to secure storage; with paper records stored in an area of the practice not accessible to unauthorised members of the public.

Practice computer screens were not overlooked which ensured patients' confidential information could not be viewed at reception. Staff were aware of the importance of providing patients with privacy and maintaining confidentiality.

We obtained the views of 48 patients prior to the day of our visit. These provided a wholly positive view of the service the practice provided. During the inspection, we observed staff in the reception area, they were polite and helpful towards patients and the general atmosphere was welcoming and friendly.

### **Involvement in decisions about care and treatment**

The practice provided clear treatment plans to their patients that detailed possible treatment options and indicative costs. A poster detailing private fees was displayed in the waiting area.

The dentists we spoke with paid attention to patient involvement when drawing up individual care plans. We saw evidence in the records we looked at that the dentists recorded the information they had provided to patients about their treatment and the options open to them. This included information recorded on standard private treatment planning forms for dentistry.

# Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

## Our findings

### Responding to and meeting patients' needs

During our inspection, we looked at examples of information available to patients. We saw that the practice waiting area displayed a variety of information including the practice patient information leaflet. This explained opening hours, emergency 'out of hours' contact details and arrangements. We observed that the appointment diaries were not overbooked and that this provided capacity each day for patients with dental pain to be fitted into urgent slots for each dentist. The dentists decided how long a patient's appointment needed to be and considered any special circumstances such as whether a patient was very nervous, had a disability and the level of complexity of treatment.

### Tackling inequity and promoting equality

We asked staff how they would support patients that had difficulty with hearing or vision. They explained how they would face the patient and speak slowly and clearly especially for someone who had hearing difficulties to allow the patient to lip read. Staff told us they would assist a blind patient or any patient who had difficulty with mobility by physically guiding and holding their arm if needed.

The practice had made provision for patients using wheelchairs where possible. There were parking spaces available in the drive for people using wheelchairs or those with limited mobility. There was a treatment room available on the ground floor giving level access.

We asked staff to explain how they communicated with people who had different communication needs such as those who spoke another language. Staff told us they treated everybody equally and welcomed patients from different backgrounds, cultures and religions. Staff told us if they were unable to communicate fully with a patient due to a language barrier they could encourage a relative or friend to attend who could translate.

### Access to the service

The practice's opening hours are 8am to 5.30pm from Monday to Friday.

The practice used the NHS 111 number to give advice in case of a dental emergency when the practice was closed. This information was publicised in the waiting area.

### Concerns & complaints

There was a complaints' policy which provided staff with information about handling formal complaints from patients. Staff told us the practice team viewed complaints as a learning opportunity and discussed those received in order to improve the quality of service provided.

We looked at the practice procedure for acknowledging, recording, investigating and responding to complaints, concerns and suggestions made by patients and found there was an effective system in place which ensured a timely response.

Information for patients about how to make a complaint was available in the practice's waiting room.

# Are services well-led?

## Our findings

### Governance arrangements

The governance arrangements for the practice consisted of several individual responsibilities and other areas where there is joint responsibility for governance systems, processes and protocols.

The practice owner was responsible the day to day running of the business. The practice maintained a system of policies and procedures. We did note one or two areas where there were minor shortfalls for example the frequency of carrying out the clinical audits.

### Leadership, openness and transparency

Leadership was provided by the practice owner. The practice ethos focussed on providing patient centred dental care in a relaxed and friendly environment.

The staff we spoke with described a transparent culture which encouraged candour, openness and honesty. Staff said they felt comfortable about raising concerns with the practice owner. There was a no blame culture within the practice. They felt they were listened to and responded to when they did raise a concern. We found staff to be hard working, caring and committed to the work they did.

All the staff we spoke with demonstrated a firm understanding of the principles of clinical governance in dentistry and were happy with the practice facilities. Staff were motivated and enjoyed working at the practice and were proud of the service they provided to patients.

### Learning and improvement

We saw evidence of systems to identify staff learning needs, this included an appraisal system for dental nurses. With respect to clinical audit, although we saw a history of clinical audit carried out by the provider, on the day of our inspection the provider could not locate recent audits for infection control and dental radiography.

Staff working at the practice were supported to maintain their continuing professional development as required by the General Dental Council. Staff told us that the practice ethos was that all staff should receive appropriate training and development.

### Practice seeks and acts on feedback from its patients, the public and staff

The practice gathered feedback from patients on an ongoing basis through patient questionnaires. They reviewed responses and comments as they came in. Patients commented they would recommend the practice to friends and family. Patients had commented through the CQC comment cards; the practice was clean, dental team were respectful, friendly, professional and the dentist put patients at ease when they arrive anxious and nervous.

The practice held regular staff meetings each month where they discussed a range of topics in order to learn and improve the quality of service provided. Staff members told us they found the meetings were a useful opportunity to share ideas.