

# Heady Hill Surgery

### **Quality Report**

114 Bury New Road Heywood **OL10 4RG** Tel: 01706 622600 Website: www.headyhillsurgery.nhs.uk

Date of inspection visit: 6 March 2018 Date of publication: 12/04/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

### Ratings

Overall rating for this service	Good	
Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

### Summary of findings

### Contents

Summary of this inspection Overall summary	Page 2
Detailed findings from this inspection	
Our inspection team	3
Background to Heady Hill Surgery	3
Detailed findings	4

### Overall summary

#### **Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice**

This practice is rated as Good overall. (Previous inspection February 2015 – Good)

The key questions are rated as:

Are services safe? - Good

Are services effective? - Good

Are services caring? - Good

Are services responsive? - Good

Are services well-led? - Good

As part of our inspection process, we also look at the quality of care for specific population groups. The population groups are rated as:

Older People - Good

People with long-term conditions - Good

Families, children and young people – Good

Working age people (including those recently retired and students - Good

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia) - Good

We carried out an announced inspection at Heady Hill Surgery on 6 March 2018 as part of our inspection programme.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.
- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they were able to access care when they needed it.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.
- The practice, with other practices in the Clinical Commissioning Group and the wider NHS, had receptionists who were trained as care navigators who signposted patients to the right person at the right time across a variety of health services.
- The practice was a training practice for doctors in their last year of postgraduate training.
- The percentage of patients who responded to the National GP Patient Survey saying that they had confidence and trust in the last GP and the last nurse that they saw was 100% for both.

#### **Professor Steve Field CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP**

Chief Inspector of General Practice



# Heady Hill Surgery

**Detailed findings** 

### Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

a CQC lead inspector. The team included a GP specialist adviser and a practice manager adviser.

## Background to Heady Hill Surgery

Heady Hill Surgery, 114 Bury New Road, Heywood, Lancashire, OL10 4RG provides general medical services under a personal medical services contract to patients within the Heywood, Middleton and Rochdale Clinical Commissioning Group area.

The practice website is www.headyhillsurgery.nhs uk.

The practice is responsible for providing treatment to 3292 registered patients and offers services that include meningitis provision, the childhood vaccination and

immunisation scheme, extended hours access, facilitating timely diagnosis and support for people with dementia, influenza and pneumococcal immunisations, minor surgery, patient participation, rotavirus and shingles immunisation and unplanned admissions.

Heady Hill Surgery is a training practice where one of the doctors is a trainer to doctors who are undergoing their post graduate training.

Since the last inspection the practice has increased its GP partners from two to three, recruited a new nurse, promoted a member of staff to practice manager and were training another member of staff to be a phlebotomist.

Information taken from Public Health England placed the area in which the practice is located as two on the deprivation scale of one to ten. (The lower the number the higher the deprivation). In general, people living in more deprived areas tend to have greater need for health services. The age profile of the practice population is broadly in line with the CCG averages.



### Are services safe?

### **Our findings**

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing safe services.

#### Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice conducted safety risk assessments. It had a suite of safety policies which were regularly reviewed and communicated to staff. Staff received safety information for the practice as part of their induction and refresher training. The practice had systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. Policies were regularly reviewed and were accessible to all staff. They outlined clearly who to go to for further guidance.
- The practice recorded the names of all adults living in the homes of children on their register.
- The practice worked with other agencies to support patients and protect them from neglect and abuse. Staff took steps to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect.
- The practice carried out staff checks, including checks of professional registration where relevant, on recruitment and on an ongoing basis. Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required. (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a DBS check.
- There was an effective system to manage infection prevention and control.
- The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions. There were systems for safely managing healthcare waste.

#### **Risks to patients**

patient safety.

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to

- There were arrangements for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections, for example, sepsis.
- · When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

#### Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- Individual care records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to relevant staff in an accessible wav.
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- The practice had digitalised all medical records which were accessible, with patients consent, to other NHS services involved in their care.
- Referral letters included all of the necessary information.

#### Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

- The systems for managing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, and emergency medicines and equipment minimised risks. The practice kept prescription stationery securely and monitored its use.
- Staff prescribed, administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with legal requirements and current national guidance. The practice had audited antimicrobial prescribing. There was evidence of actions taken to support good antimicrobial stewardship.
- Patients' health was monitored to ensure medicines were being used safely and followed up on appropriately. The practice involved patients in regular reviews of their medicines.



### Are services safe?

- The practice offered C-reactive protein testing (CRP) to adults to identify a possible infection and were able to prescribe appropriately.
- Following a significant event in another part of the country the practice ensured that, after a home visit, they issued any prescription at the surgery and made arrangements for the medication to be issued and delivered to the patient immediately. This meant that there was no delay in starting medication with added safety for the patient.

#### Track record on safety

The practice had a good safety record.

- There were comprehensive risk assessments in relation to safety issues.
- The practice monitored and reviewed activity. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate and current picture that led to safety improvements.

#### Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- There was a system for recording and acting on significant events and incidents. Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were adequate systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice.
- There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.



### Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

### **Our findings**

We rated the practice as good for providing effective services overall and across all population groups.

#### Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols.

- Patients' needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- The doctors had taken part in a Clinical Entries
   Documentation audit. They had used an audit tool from
   the Medical Protection Society (MPS) to look at where
   improvements may be made. This had resulted in one of
   the doctors offering more information leaflets to
   patients.
- The practice ran a weekly search of all antibiotics prescribed during the previous week and discussed them in clinical team meetings which included locum and trainee GPs, to check that the prescribing was appropriate and that all clinicians were following up to date guidelines.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- The practice were part of the Closer Care programme which meant that patients with a long term condition were monitored at home.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.
- Receptionists were trained to signpost patients to the right person at the right time across a variety of health services for example dental problems, minor ailments, physiotherapy and eye problems were diverted directly to the appropriate service.

#### Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- Patients aged over 75 were invited for a health check. If necessary they were referred to other services such as voluntary services and supported by an appropriate care plan.

- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any extra or changed needs.
- Older patients were offered immunisations such as shingles and pneumonia appropriate to this population group.
- All older patients had a named GP.

#### People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.
- The practice were part of the Closer Care programme which meant that patients with a long term condition were monitored at home.
- The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who had had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the three Royal College of Physicians questions was 84% which was higher than the CCG average of 78% and the national average of 76%.
- The percentage of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) who had a review undertaken including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months was 94% which was in line with the CCG average of 91% and national average of 90%.

#### Families, children and young people:

- Childhood immunisations were carried out in line with the national childhood vaccination programme. Uptake rates for the vaccines given were in line with the target percentage of 90% or above
- The percentage of children aged one with full course of recommended vaccines was 98%
- The percentage of children aged 2 with pneumococcal conjugate booster vaccine was 89%
- The percentage of children aged 2 with Haemophilus influenzae type b and Meningitis C booster vaccine was 89%



### Are services effective?

### (for example, treatment is effective)

- The percentage of children aged 2 with Measles, Mumps and Rubella vaccine was 89%
- The practice had open access for all children.
- The practice had arrangements to identify and review the treatment of newly pregnant women on long-term medicines.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 76%, which was in line with the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, those with a learning disability and those with drug or alcohol problems.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- 100% of patients diagnosed with dementia had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the previous 12 months. This is above the CCG average and national averages of 84%.
- 100% of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the previous 12 months. This is above the CCG average of 92% and the national average of 90%.
- The practice specifically considered the physical health needs of patients with poor mental health and those living with dementia. For example the percentage of patients experiencing poor mental health who had received discussion and advice about alcohol consumption (practice 91%; CCG 92%; national 91%);

and the percentage of patients experiencing poor mental health who had received discussion and advice about smoking cessation (practice 96%; CCG 96%; national 95%).

#### Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. For example following an audit into home visit requests, a triage system was introduced where the receptionists would take further information from patients requesting a home visit and the doctor on call would look at each one before deciding if a visit was deemed appropriate. This had resulted in a reduction of unnecessary visits where either the patient was able to attend the surgery or a telephone call to the patient was more appropriate. Clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives. For example the practice was part of the Closer Care programme where appropriate patients with a long term condition were monitored at home.

The most recent published Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) results were 98% of the total number of points available compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 97% and national average of 96%. The overall exception reporting rate was 12% compared with a national average of 10%. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice. Exception reporting is the removal of patients from OOF calculations where, for example, the patients decline or do not respond to invitations to attend a review of their condition or when a medicine is not appropriate.)

- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements.
- The practice was actively involved in quality improvement activity.
- · Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives. For example receptionists were trained to signpost patients to the right person at the right time across a variety of health services such as those patients with dental problems, minor ailments, physiotherapy and eye problems were diverted directly to the appropriate service.

#### **Effective staffing**



### Are services effective?

### (for example, treatment is effective)

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles. For example, staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.

- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop. For example one of the personal assistants had recently been promoted to the role of practice manager and one of the receptionists was training to be a phlebotomist for the practice.
- The practice provided staff with ongoing support. This included an induction process, one-to-one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and support for revalidation. The practice ensured the competence of staff employed in advanced roles by audit of their clinical decision making, including non-medical prescribing.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

#### **Coordinating care and treatment**

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams, services and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.

• The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances.

#### Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their health. The practice were part of the Closer Care programme which meant that patients with a long term condition were monitored at home.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.
- The practice promote and encourage patients to attend for breast, bowel, cervical and abdominal aortic aneurysm screening.

#### **Consent to care and treatment**

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- · Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.



## Are services caring?

### **Our findings**

### We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for caring.

#### Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- Reception staff knew that if patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.
- All of the 39 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were extremely positive about the service experienced. This is in line with the results of the NHS Friends and Family Test and other feedback received by the practice.

Results from the July 2017 annual national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. 356 surveys were sent out and 99 were returned. This was a return rate of 28% and represented about 3% of the practice population. The practice was above average for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses. For example:

- 98% of patients who responded said the GP was good at listening to them compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 89% and the national average of 89%.
- 99% of patients who responded said the GP gave them enough time; CCG - 88%; national average - 86%.
- 100% of patients who responded said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw; CCG - 95%; national average - 95%.
- 97% of patients who responded said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern; CCG-86%; national average -85%.
- 98% of patients who responded said the nurse was good at listening to them; CCG - 91%; national average -
- 99% of patients who responded said the nurse gave them enough time; CCG - 92%; national average - 92%.

- 100% of patients who responded said they had confidence and trust in the last nurse they saw; CCG -97%; national average - 97%.
- 99% of patients who responded said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern; CCG - 90%; national average - 91%.
- 89% of patients who responded said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful; CCG - 87%; national average - 87%.

#### Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given):

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids and easy read materials were available for example mobile phone apps were sometimes used by the GPs, which translated verbally and enabled direct conversations between doctors and patients.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

The practice proactively identified patients who were carers. The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. The practice had identified 65 patients as carers (2% of the practice list). Staff helped to ensure that the various services supporting carers were coordinated and effective.

• Staff told us that if families had experienced bereavement, their usual GP contacted them. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were above local and national averages:



### Are services caring?

- 92% of patients who responded said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 86% and the national average of 86%.
- 89% of patients who responded said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care; CCG 82%; national average 82%.
- 99% of patients who responded said the last nurse they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments; CCG 90%; national average 90%.

• 96% of patients who responded said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care; CCG - 85%; national average - 85%.

#### **Privacy and dignity**

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

- Staff recognised the importance of patients' dignity and respect.
- The practice complied with the Data Protection Act 1998.



### Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

### **Our findings**

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services.

#### Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs. For example extended opening hours, online services such as repeat prescription requests, advanced booking of appointments, advice services for common ailments.
- The practice sent text messages to remind patients of their appointments.
- The practice improved services where possible in response to unmet needs.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.
- The practice had negotiated a late path lab collection which meant that patients were able to attend the surgery for blood tests until later in the day making it more convenient for patients.

#### Older people:

- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.
- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs. The GP and practice nurse also accommodated home visits for those who had difficulties getting to the practice due to limited local public transport availability.
- All elderly patients had a named GP and were offered a personalised care plan.
- The practice had provided a high seat for frail patients in the waiting area.

People with long-term conditions:

- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local district nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.
- The practice were part of the Closer Care programme which meant that appropriate patients with a long term condition were monitored at home.

Families, children and young people:

- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. Records we looked at confirmed this.
- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care. For example, extended opening hours.
- Telephone GP consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.
- Patients were able to nominate a pharmacy where the practice would send prescriptions electronically which made it more convenient for the patient.
- The practice were part of a group of local GPs who offered seven day access to a GP and nurse during evenings, weekends and bank holidays.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people and those with a learning disability.
- A translator service was available for those patients whose first language was not English.
- Mobile phone apps were sometimes used by the GPs, which translated verbally and enabled direct conversations between doctors and patients.



### Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

 A hearing loop was available for patients that required it.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- Patients who failed to attend appointments were proactively followed up by a phone call from a GP.
- All patients in this population group were offered a personalised care plan.

#### Timely access to the service

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.
- The appointment system was easy to use.

Results from the July 2017 annual national GP patient survey showed that patients' satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment was mixed when compared to local and national averages. This was supported by observations on the day of inspection and completed comment cards. 356 surveys were sent out and 99 were returned. This was a return rate of 28% and represented about 3% of the practice population.

 83% of patients who responded were satisfied with the practice's opening hours compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 79% and the national average of 80%.

- 77% of patients who responded said they could get through easily to the practice by phone; CCG – 60%; national average - 71%.
- 61% of patients who responded said that the last time they wanted to speak to a GP or nurse they were able to get an appointment; CCG 68%; national average 75%.
- 82% of patients who responded said their last appointment was convenient; CCG 77%; national average 81%.
- 80% of patients who responded described their experience of making an appointment as good; CCG 68%; national average 73%.
- 72% of patients who responded said they don't normally have to wait too long to be seen; CCG 59%; national average 58%.

#### Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available and it was easy to do. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. One complaint was received in the last year. We reviewed this complaint and found that it was satisfactorily handled in a timely way.
- The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints. It acted as a result to improve the quality of care.

### Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

### **Our findings**

We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

#### Leadership capacity and capability

Leaders had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- Leaders had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice strategy and address risks to it.
- They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- Leaders at all levels were visible and approachable.
   They worked closely with staff and others to make sure they prioritised compassionate and inclusive leadership.
- The practice had effective processes to develop leadership capacity and skills, including planning for the future leadership of the practice.

#### **Vision and strategy**

The practice had a clear vision and credible strategy to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.
- The practice developed its vision, values and strategy jointly with staff and external partners. There was a new patient group who had held one meeting and were in the process of an understanding of how they would represent all patients and how they would feed into the practice.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

#### **Culture**

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.

- Leaders and managers acted on behaviour and performance inconsistent with the vision and values.
- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals and there was a plan in place with the new practice manager for these to carry on an annual basis. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- All staff were considered valued members of the practice team and clinical staff were given protected time for professional development and evaluation of their clinical work.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. It identified and addressed the causes of any workforce inequality. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

#### **Governance arrangements**

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective. The governance and management of partnerships, joint working arrangements and shared services promoted interactive and co-ordinated person-centred care.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control
- Practice leaders had established proper policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

### Are services well-led?

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

#### Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Performance of employed clinical staff could be demonstrated through audit of their consultations, prescribing and referral decisions. The doctors regularly took part in peer reviews of each other's consultations, including prescribing and discussed in clinical meetings.
- Practice leaders had oversight of MHRA alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.
- The practice implemented service developments and where efficiency changes were made this was with input from clinicians to understand their impact on the quality of care.

#### **Appropriate and accurate information**

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored and management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.

 There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

## Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture.
- There was a new patient participation group which had held its first meeting and was in the process of developing an understanding of how the group was to work in representing other patients with the practice.
- Following suggestions from patients the practice introduced Wi-Fi access for patients, bought childrens books for the waiting area, provided water for patients, provided a high seat for frail patients in the waiting area and made more doctor and nurse appointments available.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

#### **Continuous improvement and innovation**

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice. For example in January this year one of the personal assistants had become the practice manager and a receptionist was being trained to be a phlebotomist.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- Leaders and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.