

Park Lane House Medical Centre

Quality Report

Park Lane Surgery
Waters Green Medical Centre
Sunderland Street
Macclesfield
SK11 6JL
Tel: 01625 422893
Website: www.parklanehousesurgery

Date of inspection visit: 18 December 2017
Date of publication: 09/02/2018

This report describes our judgement of the quality of care at this service. It is based on a combination of what we found when we inspected, information from our ongoing monitoring of data about services and information given to us from the provider, patients, the public and other organisations.

Contents

Summary of this inspection

	Page
Overall summary	1
The five questions we ask and what we found	3
The six population groups and what we found	4

Detailed findings from this inspection

Our inspection team	5
Background to Park Lane House Medical Centre	5
Detailed findings	6

Overall summary

Letter from the Chief Inspector of General Practice

This practice is rated as Good overall. (Previous inspection December 2014 – Good)

The key questions are rated as:

Are services safe? – Good

Are services effective? – Good

Are services caring? – Good

Are services responsive? – Good

Are services well-led? – Good

Summary of findings

As part of our inspection process, we also look at the quality of care for specific population groups. The population groups are rated as:

Older People – Good

People with long-term conditions – Good

Families, children and young people – Good

Working age people (including those recently retired and students) – Good

People whose circumstances may make them vulnerable – Good

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia) - Good

We carried out an announced comprehensive inspection at Park Lane House Medical Centre on 18 December 2017 as part of our inspection programme.

At this inspection we found:

- The practice had clear systems to manage risk so that safety incidents were less likely to happen. When incidents did happen, the practice learned from them and improved their processes.
- The practice routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care it provided. It ensured that care and treatment was delivered according to evidence-based guidelines.

- Staff involved and treated patients with compassion, kindness, dignity and respect.
- Patients found the appointment system easy to use and reported that they were able to access care when they needed it.
- There was a strong focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels of the organisation.

We saw two areas of outstanding practice:

- The practice had a designated clinician who reviewed all National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidance and produced information sheets for all clinicians to support their clinical care. The practice also had NICE guidance as a standing agenda item for clinical meetings.
- The practice had developed a gender reassignment policy and procedure and sought input from a local lesbian, gay bisexual and transgender (LGBT) charity to ensure it met the needs and expectations of this patient group. This policy was to be shared within the practice and the practice website.

Professor Steve Field (CBE FRCP FFPH FRCGP)
Chief Inspector of General Practice

Summary of findings

The five questions we ask and what we found

We always ask the following five questions of services.

Are services safe?	Good	
Are services effective?	Good	
Are services caring?	Good	
Are services responsive to people's needs?	Good	
Are services well-led?	Good	

Summary of findings

The six population groups and what we found

We always inspect the quality of care for these six population groups.

Park Lane House Medical Centre

Detailed findings

Our inspection team

Our inspection team was led by:

Our inspection team was led by a CQC lead inspector the team included a GP specialist adviser.

Background to Park Lane House Medical Centre

Park Lane House Medical Centre is operated by a partnership of Drs Hastings, Hunter, Ekstein and Banns.

The practice was registered with CQC in April 2013. The practice is situated at Park Lane Surgery, Waters Green Medical Centre, Sunderland Street, Macclesfield SK11 6JL. The web address is www.parklanehousesurgery.

The practice provides a range of primary medical services including examinations, investigations and treatments and a number of clinics such as diabetes, asthma and hypertension.

The practice is responsible for providing primary care services to approximately 9167 patients. The practice is based in an area with lower levels of economic deprivation when compared to other practices nationally.

The staff team includes four GP partners (three female, one male), three salaried GPs (one male, two female), a regular locum GP, nurse practitioner, two practice nurses, an assistant practitioner, practice manager, deputy practice manager and administration and reception staff.

Park Lane House Medical Centre is open from 8am to 6.30pm Monday to Friday. Patients requiring a GP outside of these hours are advised to contact the GP out of hours service, by calling 111.

The practice has a Personal Medical Service (PMS) contract. The practice offers enhanced services including, learning disability health checks, anticoagulation testing, ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and seasonal influenza and pneumococcal immunisations.

Are services safe?

Our findings

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing safe services.

Safety systems and processes

The practice had clear systems to keep patients safe and safeguarded from abuse.

- The practice conducted safety risk assessments. It had a number of safety policies which were regularly reviewed and communicated to staff. Staff received safety information for the practice as part of their induction and refresher training. The practice had systems to safeguard children and vulnerable adults from abuse. Policies were regularly reviewed and were accessible to all staff. They clearly outlined who to go to for further guidance.
- The practice worked with other agencies to support patients and protect them from neglect and abuse. Staff took steps to protect patients from abuse, neglect, harassment, discrimination and breaches of their dignity and respect. We discussed with the practice the need to ensure concerns discussed at internal meetings were formally recorded on patients' records with particular regard to children and vulnerable adults not attending hospital appointments. Following the inspection the practice confirmed a process was now in place to ensure patients records were updated when necessary.
- The practice carried out staff checks, including checks of professional registration where relevant, on recruitment and on an on-going basis. Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) checks were undertaken where required (DBS checks identify whether a person has a criminal record or is on an official list of people barred from working in roles where they may have contact with children or adults who may be vulnerable).
- All staff received up-to-date safeguarding and safety training appropriate to their role. They knew how to identify and report concerns. Staff who acted as chaperones were trained for the role and had received a DBS check.
- There was a system in place to manage infection prevention and control. We discussed with the practice the need to review how they assure themselves that the

practice equipment and facilities were cleaned to an appropriate standard. Following the inspection the practice provided evidence that showed a robust system of monitoring and auditing had been introduced.

- The practice ensured that facilities and equipment were safe and that equipment was maintained according to manufacturers' instructions. There were systems for safely managing healthcare waste.

Risks to patients

There were systems to assess, monitor and manage risks to patient safety.

- There were arrangements for planning and monitoring the number and mix of staff needed.
- There was an effective induction system for temporary staff tailored to their role.
- Staff understood their responsibilities to manage emergencies on the premises and to recognise those in need of urgent medical attention. Clinicians knew how to identify and manage patients with severe infections, for example, sepsis.
- When there were changes to services or staff the practice assessed and monitored the impact on safety.

Information to deliver safe care and treatment

Staff had the information they needed to deliver safe care and treatment to patients.

- Individual care records were written and managed in a way that kept patients safe. The care records we saw showed that information needed to deliver safe care and treatment was available to relevant staff in an accessible way.
- The practice had systems for sharing information with staff and other agencies to enable them to deliver safe care and treatment.
- Referral letters included all of the necessary information.

Safe and appropriate use of medicines

The practice had reliable systems for appropriate and safe handling of medicines.

Are services safe?

- The systems for managing medicines, including vaccines, medical gases, and emergency medicines and equipment minimised risks. The practice kept prescription stationery securely and monitored its use. We discussed with the practice the need to ensure a system was put in place to monitor uncollected prescriptions for vulnerable patients. Following the inspection the practice provided evidence that showed a system had been put in place.
- Staff prescribed, administered or supplied medicines to patients and gave advice on medicines in line with legal requirements and current national guidance. The practice had audited antimicrobial prescribing. There was evidence of actions taken to support good antimicrobial stewardship.
- Patients' health was monitored to ensure medicines were being used safely and followed up on appropriately. The practice involved patients in regular reviews of their medicines.

Track record on safety

The practice had a good safety record.

- There were risk assessments in relation to safety issues including building maintenance.
- The practice monitored and reviewed activity. This helped it to understand risks and gave a clear, accurate

and current picture that led to safety improvements. For example the practice ensured practice activity such as medicines management, safeguarding, clinical governance and finance had a designated clinical lead and deputy to enable effective monitoring.

Lessons learned and improvements made

The practice learned and made improvements when things went wrong.

- There was a system for recording and acting on significant events and incidents. Staff understood their duty to raise concerns and report incidents and near misses. Leaders and managers supported them when they did so.
- There were effective systems for reviewing and investigating when things went wrong. The practice learned and shared lessons, identified themes and took action to improve safety in the practice. For example, following a significant event with regard to poor communication around the expected death of a patient with the out of hours provider. The practice undertook a review and ensured all staff were aware of the procedure and continued to review the process.
- There was a system for receiving and acting on safety alerts. The practice learned from external safety events as well as patient and medicine safety alerts.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

Our findings

We rated the practice as good for providing effective services overall and across all population groups.

Effective needs assessment, care and treatment

- The practice had systems to keep clinicians up to date with current evidence-based practice. We saw that clinicians assessed needs and delivered care and treatment in line with current legislation, standards and guidance supported by clear clinical pathways and protocols. To support this process the practice had a designated clinician who reviewed all relevant new guidance.
- Patients' needs were fully assessed. This included their clinical needs and their mental and physical wellbeing.
- We saw no evidence of discrimination when making care and treatment decisions.
- The practice was piloting the use of an iPad connected to the practice computer system to support home visits by ensuring patients' records were contemporaneous and referrals or tests were requested in real time.
- Staff advised patients what to do if their condition got worse and where to seek further help and support.

Older people:

- Older patients who are frail or may be vulnerable received a full assessment of their physical, mental and social needs. Those identified as being frail had a clinical review including a review of medication.
- Patients were referred to other services such as voluntary services and supported by an appropriate care plan.
- The practice followed up on older patients discharged from hospital. It ensured that their care plans and prescriptions were updated to reflect any changed needs.
- The practice used the frailty index to ensure this vulnerable group of patients were appropriately monitored and reviewed.

People with long-term conditions:

- The practice actively promoted online access to medical records as a way for patients to self-manage long-term conditions.
- The practice used computer software (PatientChase) to provide a mechanism for the recall of patients with long term conditions as part of the Quality Outcomes Framework (QOF). This system is used in all GP practice to support improved care and patients outcomes. The practice also used the system to monitor patients with such conditions as prediabetes, coeliac disease and thyroid disorders to support proactive care and treatment to reduce risks to these patients.
- Patients with long-term conditions had a structured annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being met. For patients with the most complex needs, the GP worked with other health and care professionals to deliver a coordinated package of care.
- Staff who were responsible for reviews of patients with long term conditions had received specific training.

Families, children and young people:

- The practice offered same day appointments to ill children or where there was parental / health visitor concern.
- The practice offered a full range of contraceptive services including implants.
- Sepsis charts were available in each clinical room as aide memoire for clinicians.
- Chlamydia and cervical screening was available.
- Childhood immunisations were carried out in line with the national childhood vaccination programme. Uptake rates for the vaccines given were above the target percentage of 90%.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The practice offered out of hours healthier living programme to patients that included areas such as diet and exercise advice and guided walks.
- The practice offered patients a same day phlebotomy service available in the building.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

- The practice's uptake for cervical screening was 79%, which was in line with the 80% coverage target for the national screening programme.
- The practice had systems to inform eligible patients to have the meningitis vaccine, for example before attending university for the first time.
- Patients had access to appropriate health assessments and checks including NHS checks for patients aged 40-74. There was appropriate follow-up on the outcome of health assessments and checks where abnormalities or risk factors were identified.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice participated in the patient support programme providing GP services to patients with no registered GP due to previous aggressive and violent behaviour.
- The practice had a carers lead to support and signpost carers to appropriate information and services.
- End of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of those whose circumstances may make them vulnerable.
- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances including homeless people, travellers and those with a learning disability.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- Staff had undertaken dementia friends training.
- The practice had developed a mental capacity assessment consultation template with a link to the Royal College GP toolkit.
- 87% of patients diagnosed with dementia had their care reviewed in a face to face meeting in the previous 12 months. This is comparable to the national average.
- 97% of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses had a comprehensive, agreed care plan documented in the previous 12 months. This is comparable to the national average.
- The practice specifically considered the physical health needs of patients with poor mental health and those

living with dementia. For example the percentage of patients experiencing poor mental health who had received discussion and advice about alcohol consumption (practice 93%; CCG 90%; national 91%).

Monitoring care and treatment

The practice had a comprehensive programme of quality improvement activity and routinely reviewed the effectiveness and appropriateness of the care provided. For example the practice had a schedule of audits in place and all clinicians had lead roles to ensure effective monitoring of the service. Where appropriate, clinicians took part in local and national improvement initiatives. For example the practice was the lead practice working with other Macclesfield practices and the wider health and social care team in an initiative called the Primary Care Home Project to improve the health and social care of the total Macclesfield population.

The most recent published Quality Outcome Framework (QOF) results were 99% of the total number of points available compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 93% and national average of 94%. The overall exception reporting rate was 7% compared with a national average of 9%. (QOF is a system intended to improve the quality of general practice and reward good practice. Exception reporting is the removal of patients from QOF calculations where, for example, the patients decline or do not respond to invitations to attend a review of their condition or when a medicine is not appropriate).

- The percentage of patients with hypertension in whom the last blood pressure reading (measured in the preceding 12 months) is 150/90 mmHg or less was 90% compared to the CCG average of 84% and the national average of 83%.
- The percentage of patients with cancer, diagnosed within the preceding 15 months, who have a patient review recorded as occurring within 6 months of the date of diagnosis, was 93% (CCG average of 76%, national average of 71%).
- The percentage of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions, was 81% (CCG average of 74%, national average of 71%).

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

- The practice used information about care and treatment to make improvements. For example the practice had appointed proactive care administrators to monitor the top 5% of high risk patients to ensure they receive appropriate monitoring and review. The practice had also purchased High BMI scales, an electronic couch and a specialist piece of equipment to examine skin lesions to support improvement in patient experiences and outcomes.

Effective staffing

Staff had the skills, knowledge and experience to carry out their roles. For example, staff whose role included immunisation and taking samples for the cervical screening programme had received specific training and could demonstrate how they stayed up to date.

- The practice understood the learning needs of staff and provided protected time and training to meet them. Up to date records of skills, qualifications and training were maintained. Staff were encouraged and given opportunities to develop. For example the practice had supported a member of staff to become an assistant practitioner.
- The practice provided staff with on-going support
- This included an induction process, one-to-one meetings, appraisals, coaching and mentoring, clinical supervision and support for revalidation. The induction process for healthcare assistants included the requirements of the Care Certificate (This qualification is an agreed set of standards that sets out the knowledge, skills and behaviours expected of specific job roles in the health and social care sectors). The practice ensured the competence of staff employed in advanced roles by audit of their clinical decision making, including non-medical prescribing.
- The practice was a GP training practice and worked hard to ensure their registrars were supported and supervised. This was confirmed during our discussions with the registrars.
- There was a clear approach for supporting and managing staff when their performance was poor or variable.

Coordinating care and treatment

Staff worked together and with other health and social care professionals to deliver effective care and treatment.

- We saw records that showed that all appropriate staff, including those in different teams, services and organisations, were involved in assessing, planning and delivering care and treatment.
- Patients received coordinated and person-centred care. This included when they moved between services, when they were referred, or after they were discharged from hospital. The practice worked with patients to develop personal care plans that were shared with relevant agencies.
- The practice ensured that end of life care was delivered in a coordinated way which took into account the needs of different patients, including those who may be vulnerable because of their circumstances. Following the expected death of a patient the practice conducted a significant event review to ensure the patient's wishes and care needs had been appropriately met.

Helping patients to live healthier lives

Staff were consistent and proactive in helping patients to live healthier lives.

- The practice identified patients who may be in need of extra support and directed them to relevant services. This included patients in the last 12 months of their lives, patients at risk of developing a long-term condition and carers.
- The practice was part of a CCG wide healthy living programme (OneYou) which identified patients who would benefit from more proactive support to make positive changes in their lifestyles to promote better health and wellbeing outcomes. The practice also had its own stand alone, out of hours programme called "Park Lane Healthy Living Programme" where patients came for teaching sessions, support and a guided walk.
- The percentage of new cancer cases (among patients registered at the practice) who were referred using the urgent two week wait referral pathway was 47% which was comparable to the CCG average of 52% and the national average of 50%.

Are services effective?

(for example, treatment is effective)

- Staff encouraged and supported patients to be involved in monitoring and managing their health. For example the practice proactively encouraged patients to access appointments and their records to support them with their own health management.
- Staff discussed changes to care or treatment with patients and their carers as necessary.
- The practice supported national priorities and initiatives to improve the population's health, for example, stop smoking campaigns, tackling obesity.

Consent to care and treatment

The practice obtained consent to care and treatment in line with legislation and guidance.

- Clinicians understood the requirements of legislation and guidance when considering consent and decision making.
- Clinicians supported patients to make decisions. Where appropriate, they assessed and recorded a patient's mental capacity to make a decision.
- The practice monitored the process for seeking consent appropriately.

Are services caring?

Our findings

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for caring.

Kindness, respect and compassion

Staff treated patients with kindness, respect and compassion.

- Staff understood patients' personal, cultural, social and religious needs.
- The practice gave patients timely support and information.
- Reception staff knew that if patients wanted to discuss sensitive issues or appeared distressed they could offer them a private room to discuss their needs.
- All of the 25 patient Care Quality Commission comment cards we received were positive about the service experienced. This is in line with the results of the NHS Friends and Family Test and other feedback received by the practice.

Results from the July 2017 annual national GP patient survey showed patients felt they were treated with compassion, dignity and respect. Two hundred and twenty eight surveys were sent out and 105 were returned. This represented about 1% of the practice population. The practice was in line or above the local and national averages for its satisfaction scores on consultations with GPs and nurses. For example:

- 95% of patients who responded said the GP was good at listening to them compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 92% and the national average of 89%.
- 98% of patients who responded said they had confidence and trust in the last GP they saw; CCG - 97%; national average - 95%.
- 96% of patients who responded said the last GP they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern; CCG - 90%; national average - 86%.
- 95% of patients who responded said the nurse was good at listening to them; CCG - 93%; national average - 91%.

- 99% of patients who responded said they had confidence and trust in the last nurse they saw; CCG - 98%; national average - 97%.
- 91% of patients who responded said the last nurse they spoke to was good at treating them with care and concern; CCG - 92%; national average - 91%.
- 92% of patients who responded said they found the receptionists at the practice helpful; CCG - 89%; national average - 87%.

Involvement in decisions about care and treatment

Staff helped patients be involved in decisions about their care and were aware of the Accessible Information Standard (a requirement to make sure that patients and their carers can access and understand the information they are given):

- Interpretation services were available for patients who did not have English as a first language.
- Staff communicated with patients in a way that they could understand, for example, communication aids such as a hearing loop.
- Staff helped patients and their carers find further information and access community and advocacy services. They helped them ask questions about their care and treatment.

The practice proactively identified patients who were carers by asking new patients in their health assessment and there was information available in the waiting area. The practice's computer system alerted GPs if a patient was also a carer. The practice had identified 184 patients as carers (2% of the practice list).

A member of staff acted as a carers' lead to help ensure that the various services supporting carers were coordinated and effective. The practice assistant practitioner offered healthcare support to carers.

- Staff told us that if families had experienced bereavement, their usual GP contacted them by telephone. This call was either followed by a patient consultation at a flexible time and location to meet the family's needs and/or by giving them advice on how to find a support service.

Are services caring?

Results from the national GP patient survey showed patients responded positively to questions about their involvement in planning and making decisions about their care and treatment. Results were in line with local and national averages:

- 95% of patients who responded said the last GP they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 90% and the national average of 86%.
- 92% of patients who responded said the last GP they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care; CCG - 88%; national average - 82%.

- 94% of patients who responded said the last nurse they saw was good at explaining tests and treatments; CCG - 91%; national average - 90%.
- 90% of patients who responded said the last nurse they saw was good at involving them in decisions about their care; CCG - 86%; national average - 85%.

Privacy and dignity

The practice respected and promoted patients' privacy and dignity.

- Staff recognised the importance of patients' dignity and respect.
- The practice complied with the Data Protection Act 1998.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

Our findings

We rated the practice, and all of the population groups, as good for providing responsive services across all population groups.

Responding to and meeting people's needs

The practice organised and delivered services to meet patients' needs. It took account of patient needs and preferences.

- The practice understood the needs of its population and tailored services in response to those needs. For example, online services such as repeat prescription requests, advanced booking of appointments, advice services for common ailments. The practice also had a 'translate the page' icon available on the practice website for patients when English was not their first language.
- The practice improved services where possible in response to unmet needs.
- The facilities and premises were appropriate for the services delivered.
- The practice made reasonable adjustments when patients found it hard to access services. For example home visits were made by the nursing staff to undertake long term condition reviews for patients who were unable to attend appointments at the practice.
- Care and treatment for patients with multiple long-term conditions and patients approaching the end of life was coordinated with other services.

Older people:

- The practice through their care home visit project offered patients living in care services bi monthly visits by the advanced nurse practitioner.
- The practice placed alerts on patient records to identify disabilities that may prove challenging to patients during consultations or accessing the practice such as hearing and sight loss.
- All patients had a named GP who supported them in whatever setting they lived, whether it was at home or in a care home or supported living scheme.

- The practice was responsive to the needs of older patients, and offered home visits and urgent appointments for those with enhanced needs.

People with long-term conditions:

- The practice had been responsive to the needs of this population group and ensured nurse training had been provided to meet the complex needs of patient including nurses being supported to complete non-medical prescribing training.
- The practice had a robust system in place to ensure patients were reviewed at regular intervals.
- Patients with a long-term condition received an annual review to check their health and medicines needs were being appropriately met. Multiple conditions were reviewed at one appointment, and consultation times were flexible to meet each patient's specific needs.
- The practice held regular meetings with the local community nursing team to discuss and manage the needs of patients with complex medical issues.

Families, children and young people:

- The practice offered postnatal checks and 6 week baby checks performed by GPs at the practice.
- The practice offered chlamydia and cervical screening.
- The practice had a policy of removing mobile phone numbers attached to a patient's records once they reach the age of 14. This was to prevent accidental breaches of confidentiality involving young adults who may be accessing care.
- We found there were systems to identify and follow up children living in disadvantaged circumstances and who were at risk, for example, children and young people who had a high number of accident and emergency (A&E) attendances. We discussed with the practice the need to put a system in place to actively review patients under the age of 16 who do not attend secondary care appointments. This was to ensure possible safeguarding concerns were identified and acted upon in a timely manner. Following the inspection the practice provided evidence that a system had been put in place and that they had raised this issue with the CCG who would ensure this learning was shared CCG wide.

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

- All parents or guardians calling with concerns about a child under the age of 18 were offered a same day appointment when necessary.

Working age people (including those recently retired and students):

- The needs of this population group had been identified and the practice had adjusted the services it offered to ensure these were accessible, flexible and offered continuity of care.
- Telephone consultations were available which supported patients who were unable to attend the practice during normal working hours.

People whose circumstances make them vulnerable:

- The practice held a register of patients living in vulnerable circumstances.
- The practice had developed a gender reassignment policy and procedure and sought input from a local lesbian, gay bisexual and transgender (LGBT) charity to ensure it met the needs and expectations of this patient group.

People experiencing poor mental health (including people with dementia):

- The practice reception staff telephoned patients with memory issues to remind them they had an appointment at the practice.
- Staff interviewed had a good understanding of how to support patients with mental health needs and those patients living with dementia.
- The practice held GP led dedicated mental health and dementia clinics. Patients who failed to attend were proactively followed up by a phone call from a GP.

Timely access to the service

Patients were able to access care and treatment from the practice within an acceptable timescale for their needs.

- Patients had timely access to initial assessment, test results, diagnosis and treatment.
- Waiting times, delays and cancellations were minimal and managed appropriately.
- Patients with the most urgent needs had their care and treatment prioritised.

- The appointment system was easy to use.

Results from the July 2017 annual national GP patient survey showed that patients' satisfaction with how they could access care and treatment was comparable to local and national averages. This was supported by observations on the day of inspection and completed comment cards. Two hundred and twenty eight surveys were sent out and 105 were returned. This represented about 1% of the practice population.

- 79% of patients who responded were satisfied with the practice's opening hours compared with the clinical commissioning group (CCG) average of 77% and the national average of 76%.
- 85% of patients who responded said they could get through easily to the practice by phone; CCG - 77%; national average - 71%.
- 91% of patients who responded said that the last time they wanted to speak to a GP or nurse they were able to get an appointment; CCG - 90%; national average - 84%.
- 85% of patients who responded said their last appointment was convenient; CCG - 87%; national average - 81%.
- 79% of patients who responded described their experience of making an appointment as good; CCG - 78%; national average - 73%.
- 53% of patients who responded said they don't normally have to wait too long to be seen; CCG - 59%; national average - 58%.

Listening and learning from concerns and complaints

The practice took complaints and concerns seriously and responded to them appropriately to improve the quality of care.

- Information about how to make a complaint or raise concerns was available and it was easy to do. Staff treated patients who made complaints compassionately.
- The complaint policy and procedures were in line with recognised guidance. Five complaints were received in the last year. We reviewed four complaints and found that they were satisfactorily handled in a timely way.
- The practice learned lessons from individual concerns and complaints and also from analysis of trends. It

Are services responsive to people's needs?

(for example, to feedback?)

acted as a result to improve the quality of care. For example work was done with staff to ensure they reflected on the impact poor communication had on patients.

Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

Our findings

We rated the practice as good for providing a well-led service.

Leadership capacity and capability

The GP partners had the capacity and skills to deliver high-quality, sustainable care.

- The GP partners had the experience, capacity and skills to deliver the practice vision and strategy and to address risks to it.
- They were knowledgeable about issues and priorities relating to the quality and future of services. They understood the challenges and were addressing them.
- GP partners and managers were visible and approachable. They worked closely with staff and others to make sure staff felt supported and valued.
- The practice had effective processes in place to support the development of planning for the future leadership of the practice.

Vision and strategy

The practice had a clear vision and strategy to deliver high quality care and promote good outcomes for patients.

- There was a clear vision and set of values. The practice had a realistic strategy and supporting business plans to achieve priorities.
- The staff team had worked together to develop the vision, values and strategy for the practice.
- Staff were aware of and understood the vision, values and strategy and their role in achieving them.
- The strategy was in line with health and social priorities across the region. The practice planned its services to meet the needs of the practice population.
- The practice monitored progress against delivery of the strategy.

Culture

The practice had a culture of high-quality sustainable care.

- Staff stated they felt respected, supported and valued. They were proud to work in the practice.
- The practice focused on the needs of patients.

- Openness, honesty and transparency were demonstrated when responding to incidents and complaints. The provider was aware of and had systems to ensure compliance with the requirements of the duty of candour.
- Staff we spoke with told us they were able to raise concerns and were encouraged to do so. They had confidence that these would be addressed.
- There were processes for providing all staff with the development they need. This included appraisal and career development conversations. All staff received regular annual appraisals in the last year. Staff were supported to meet the requirements of professional revalidation where necessary.
- Clinical staff, including nurses, were considered valued members of the practice team. They were given protected time for professional development and evaluation of their clinical work.
- There was a strong emphasis on the safety and well-being of all staff.
- The practice actively promoted equality and diversity. Staff had received equality and diversity training. Staff felt they were treated equally.
- There were positive relationships between staff and teams.

Governance arrangements

There were clear responsibilities, roles and systems of accountability to support good governance and management.

- Structures, processes and systems to support good governance and management were clearly set out, understood and effective.
- Staff were clear on their roles and accountabilities including in respect of safeguarding and infection prevention and control.
- Practice leaders had established proper policies, procedures and activities to ensure safety and assured themselves that they were operating as intended.

Managing risks, issues and performance

There were clear and effective processes for managing risks, issues and performance.

Are services well-led?

Good 

(for example, are they well-managed and do senior leaders listen, learn and take appropriate action)

- There was an effective, process to identify, understand, monitor and address current and future risks including risks to patient safety.
- The practice had processes to manage current and future performance. Performance of employed clinical staff could be demonstrated through audit of their consultations, prescribing and referral decisions. Designated GP partners had oversight of MHRA alerts, incidents, and complaints.
- Clinical audit had a positive impact on quality of care and outcomes for patients. There was clear evidence of action to change practice to improve quality.
- The practice had plans in place and had trained staff for major incidents.
- The practice implemented service developments and where efficiency changes were made this was with input from clinicians to understand the impact on the quality of care.

Appropriate and accurate information

The practice acted on appropriate and accurate information.

- Quality and operational information was used to ensure and improve performance. Performance information was combined with the views of patients.
- Quality and sustainability were discussed in relevant meetings where all staff had sufficient access to information.
- The practice used performance information which was reported and monitored. Management and staff were held to account.
- The information used to monitor performance and the delivery of quality care was accurate and useful. There were plans to address any identified weaknesses.
- The practice used information technology systems to monitor and improve the quality of care.
- The practice submitted data or notifications to external organisations as required.

- There were robust arrangements in line with data security standards for the availability, integrity and confidentiality of patient identifiable data, records and data management systems.

Engagement with patients, the public, staff and external partners

The practice involved patients, the public, staff and external partners to support high-quality sustainable services.

- A full and diverse range of patients', staff and external partners' views and concerns were encouraged, heard and acted on to shape services and culture. The practice carried out regular patient surveys to ensure the services provided met their need and managed their expectations.
- There was an active patient participation group.
- The service was transparent, collaborative and open with stakeholders about performance.

Continuous improvement and innovation

There were systems and processes for learning, continuous improvement and innovation.

- There was a focus on continuous learning and improvement at all levels within the practice.
- The practice was a teaching and training practice and was also involved in training physician associates (a physician associate is a trained health professional, providing crucial support to doctors and regularly dealing with patients) to support the ongoing development of primary care services.
- The practice had recently become involved in a medical research project to support improvements in patient care.
- Staff knew about improvement methods and had the skills to use them.
- The practice made use of internal and external reviews of incidents and complaints. Learning was shared and used to make improvements.
- The GP partners and managers encouraged staff to take time out to review individual and team objectives, processes and performance.